

Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission

Reader’s Digest of Public Comments: Highlights of the public comments from Public Hearing No. 4 (December 7, 2021) and prior to the Regular Meeting (December 8, 2021)

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
AAPI	B-3 fractures the Asian community in Koreatown, Chinatown, and the West San Gabriel Valley into multiple pieces. The natural connection between Chinatown and Monterey Park/Alhambra/San Gabriel/Rosemead, where many old Cantonese Chinese and their descendants have moved to, is lost. The weird nub of West Athens in SD 4 is odd. Little Armenia is disconnected from its Armenian sister communities in Glendale and Burbank. Azusa has been in SD 1 and shares more in common with Latino cities to the south, while Covina has historically been in SD 5 and aligns itself more to communities to the north and east.
AAPI	<p>Keep together Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and WSGV communities of interest. These communities should be kept together and not divided. There is no reason to go right through all of them in creating these maps.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do not separate the Metro LA Asian American and Pacific Islander Communities (Koreatown, Thai Town, Historic Filipino town, Little Tokyo, and Chinatown) into three supervisorial districts. - Do no separate Hawthorne from Lennox and Inglewood, where many members of the Tongan community live and should be kept together in Hawthorne, Lennox, and Inglewood whole in SD2.
AAPI and Little Armenia	Opposes B-3: B-3 fractures the Asian community in Koreatown, Chinatown, and the West San Gabriel Valley into multiple pieces. The natural connection between Chinatown and Monterey Park/Alhambra/San Gabriel/Rosemead, where many old Cantonese Chinese and their descendants have moved to, is lost. The weird nub of West Athens in SD 4 is odd. Little Armenia is disconnected from its Armenian sister communities in Glendale and Burbank. Azusa has been in SD 1 and shares more in common with Latino cities to the south, while Covina has historically been in SD 5 and aligns itself more to communities to the north and east.
AAPI: East SGV	unites the API communities in the East San Gabriel Valley such as Walnut, Diamond Bar, Hacienda Heights, and Rowland Heights. It also brings Temple City and Arcadia into SD1 and keeps them together with other API Communities of Alhambra, Monterey Park, and Rosemead. Map F-2 is something no other map accomplishes.
AAPI: Map F-2	Instead, please adopt Map F-2 with modifications as put forth by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 (not Map F-2 as drawn by the Commission). These modifications would: unite the AAPI COI in East SGV (Rowland Heights, Hacienda Heights, Walnut, and Diamond Bar) in SD 4; unite the AAPI COI in West SGV (Alhambra, San Gabriel, Monterey Park, Rosemead, Temple City, and Arcadia) in SD1; keep Little Tokyo, Chinatown,

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>Historic Filipino town, and Thai Town whole and together in SD1; keep Koreatown whole in SD2; keep the Tongan COI in Hawthorne, Lennox, and Inglewood whole in SD2; and maintain a strong Latinx and Black CVAP. These modifications would respect and reflect input from AAPI community members, as well as Latinx and Black community members with shared policy concerns and interests, who belong to communities that have been historically underrepresented and underserved; they would keep our communities of interest whole; and they would more accurately reflect the county’s demographics.</p>
AAPI: SGV	<p>The West and East SGV should not be separated from each other in the wake of the San Gabriel Valley's burgeoning population of Asian Americans which has become a dominant cultural force. Several business districts developed to serve the community’s needs creating a collection of Southern California Chinatowns loosely connected along the Valley Boulevard Corridor, Main Street/Last Tunas Drive Corridor and Colima Road Corridor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The AAPI community in the SGV has a strong and distinct cultural association. Residents go to the same churches, temples, the same shopping centers, the same restaurants. Importantly, the AAPI community throughout the region share policy concerns – the anti-Asian hate that emerged during the pandemic is but one example of this. • The split of the SGV would create an uphill battle to elect a representative concerned about this community of interest and reverse four decades of progress that has been made for the AAPI community in this region
AAPI: Thai Town	<p>Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low income, undocumented workers, and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in healthcare.</p>
AAPI: Thai Town	<p>Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low income, undocumented workers, and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. T
Beach Cities	<p>Shared COIs: ports & harbors from Marina del Rey & Redondo Beach to San Pedro & Long Beach have a certain affinity, share many of the same issues, and belong in the same district. Indeed, Torrance, the beach cities, and the entire South Bay have more in common with Santa Monica, San Pedro, and Long Beach than Malibu & the West Valley areas. opposed to the redistricting attempts putting the coastal South Bay communities in with downtown Los Angeles or into the Valley communities by splitting up what are the South Bay cities Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach and south down to Long Beach. We beach towns share the common issues and representation by leaders not common to these communities will cause an erosion of our quality and common vision we share.</p> <p>The Beach and Coastal Cities have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach.</p>
Beach Cities: El Segundo	select option B-2 which keeps El Segundo, one of our beach cities, connected to the other three. If for some reason that is not possible, then option B-3 would be my alternate choice. South Bay’s coastal and beach cities remain within one district. Our shared, unique issues related to the environment, protection of natural resources, transportation, homelessness and more have been priorities of the current 4 th District Supervisor. It is extremely important for our cities to continue working collaboratively within the 4th District as a united group with representation that truly understands our municipalities.
Beach Cities: Hermosa Beach, El Segundo, Manhattan Beach	Supports being with Beach Cities shared interest, our coastal communities here in the Beach Cities of the South Bay should stay together. The issues of greatest concern to us relate directly to our environment and our precious beaches and ocean waters.
Beach Cities: Huntington Beach	We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach.
Beach Cities: Manhattan Beach	<p>Manhattan Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together</p> <p>We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.</p> <p>Important it is that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. SoCalROC which has trained and certified thousands of high school students and adults in Career & Technical Education subjects over 50 years, operates under a joint powers</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>agreement amongst 7 South Bay School Districts. We work closely together with LACOE, our member districts, and hundreds of affiliated South Bay employers on the priorities for CTE training in the South Bay. The demand for skilled employees in the medical, dental, engineering, and other trades will only increase with the funding of additional infrastructure investments. Likewise, we have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including focused improvements with the Hyperion Plant to avoid additional sewage spills, our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach, and building out collaborative solutions to improve our storm drains to reduce polluting runoff. These issues must be a priority and we need focused representation by our Supervisor. Keeping the cities together ensures that.</p>
<p>Beach Cities: Palos Verdes, San Pedro</p>	<p>Map B3 preserves the integrity of the strong community of interest between all four cities of the Palos Verdes Peninsula and San Pedro. In addition, it preserves the community of interest between the Palos Verdes Peninsula, the three Beach cities (Redondo, Hermosa, and Manhattan) and Torrance.</p> <p>Do not split up San Pedro, with unfortunate consequences. We are a tight community and need to stay together, also along with the Palos Verdes Peninsula.</p>
<p>Beach Cities: Redondo Beach</p>	<p>Redondo Beach, CA, I have seen the demographic change and increase in the number of minority residents in the area. Fighting the segregation and exclusion of the past, the diversity issues are being addressed on a very high level with intention and integrity. With Bruce’s Beach and the LA vs Hate activations, breaking up District 4 could stall the progress that we’ve been making.</p> <p>They have to be kept together because the problems affect the entire coastal communities. The oil spills and other natural disasters that come up need to be dealt with in a way that is strategic and thoughtful and in the best interest of those that live in these community. The learning curve could cause health and safety issues. This is something other parts of LA county don't have to deal with and for that reason should not be broken up.</p> <p>Redondo Beach has more common issues with other beach cities such as Palos Verdes, San Pedro, and Long Beach than with the other options which splits Redondo Beach from them. Our issues are more different from the San Fernando Valley or Downtown LA than with the Beach Cities. We have already built alliances with the beach cities.</p>
<p>Chatsworth: SD 5</p>	<p>Chatsworth Lake Manor Community of the unincorporated 5th District is begging you to please acknowledge the concerns of our small town to remain in the 5th District.</p> <p>Chatsworth Lake Manor (which is to the west of the LA city line and north of the Chatsworth Nature Preserve) should be included in the same district as the rest of Chatsworth as we are part of the same community and have the same</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>priorities. We are a rural community just beyond the city limits and subject to the same concerns as our close neighbors in Chatsworth who share our rural concerns of fire and wildlife preservation.</p> <p>We are part of Chatsworth ... not part of West Hills or Canoga Park</p> <p>Chatsworth Lake Manor Rural Town Council, sponsored and recognized by the 5th District County of Los Angeles, I can say without hesitation that our community is in favor of staying within the 5th District. I and our community request that all three remaining map options G-1, F-2 and B-3 be adjusted to leave Chatsworth Lake Manor and the greater Chatsworth and West Hills areas together within the 5th District as they are in the current 2020 County Map and have been historically. In addition, we have a long-standing productive relationship with our representatives in the 5th District which has developed over the last 6 years to greatly benefit our community. We have been able to transform an under-represented and largely ignored community into one that has a true symbiotic working relationship with their county government. We have a working relationship with our government that is almost unheard of in these times, that is a product of years of patient and dedicated hard work by the Lake Manor Community, Town Council and the 5th District, County of Los Angeles. Through this partnership with the 5th District we have been able to achieve things that our small community has been unable to do in the past. Following are a few of our more notable achievements: 1) The 5th District has been instrumental in assisting us in stopping illegal dumping and forcing the removal of tens of thousands of cubic yards of illegal materials, toxic to our community, our fragile 12/7/2021 n/a 6 hillside environment and actually blocking our local stream beds. This involved coordinated community involvement with California Highway Patrol, California Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, Offices of LA County Council & District Attorney and LA County and LA City Departments of Transportation. 2) The 5th District acting on our communities outcries, forced the closure and removal of two illegal marijuana dispensaries with gang affiliations that suddenly appeared, operating in a small rental house and then a closed local restaurant both on the main road through our community. This involved coordinated community involvement LA County Sheriff's Department and Offices of LA County Council & District Attorney. 3) The 5th District Assisted us in our liaison with Ventura County in removing squatters from an abandoned house thereby stopping a local crime wave that originated from that house which persisted for months including mail theft, car theft, discharge of firearms and general disruption throughout our small community. 4) The 5th District Established a direct relationship with L.A. County Fire Operations and our Town Council during wildfire events such as the 2005 Topanga Fire that surrounded our community on all sides and later the 2018 Woolsey Fire including numerous smaller fires that gravely threatened our community. We were able to warn residents when immediate evacuation was needed even before the news media was notified through this contact. We have been lucky to be spared significant damage from wildfire in recent years in this extremely high-risk fire zone which suffered wildfires on a regular basis historically. But it is not just luck, it is preparation, activism, and enhanced communication with L.A. County Fire enabled through our relationship with the 5th District. 5) The 5th</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>District has partnered with Lake Manor in our ongoing community relationship with Southern California Edison (SCE) in order to make SCE more responsive in mitigating Power Outages 7 and utility caused fires in our area, including sponsoring town hall meetings, online meetings, and hearings to allow direct communication with SCE and California Public Utility Commission Officials . We have the most power outages of any circuit that SCE operates in Southern California. This is due to old , poorly maintained, non- fire-resistant transmission line infrastructure. In large part due to our community activism, vigorously supported by the 5th District, SCE is now proactively installing new insulated transmission lines, quick acting fuses, more sub circuits to help mitigate power line sparking and equipment failures that were responsible for past fires, most notably the Woolsey and Thomas Fires. We are now touted by SCE as the first example of a SCE circuit that will have a fully hardened power grid in the near future. We have also demanded from SCE, with full support of the 5th District , more mitigation measures during the numerous Public Safety Power Shutdowns (PSPS) power outages our community suffers due to High Wind Events and planned power outages to install Power Grid upgrades. SCE has reluctantly increased some assistance to the community during these power blackouts due to our collective efforts, but we have much more to accomplish.</p>
Crenshaw	<p>Furthermore, the entirety of the Crenshaw-LAX line will be placed within District 2, giving the District 2 supervisor and her staff strong incentives to promote this vitally needed transportation link, including the northern extension to connect transit dependent populations with available job markets.</p>
Florence-Firestone	<p>Both Florence-Firestone and SELA share similar demographics with regards to the Latinx population, Florence-Firestone has more in common demographically (both Latinx and Black) with its surrounding neighborhoods of South Central, such as Central-Alameda, Watts, and Green Meadows and they have shared histories being part of South Central.</p>
Gateway Cities	<p>Businesses and organizations in the Gateway cities work together to lobby MTA for projects, work on regional projects to address homelessness and support each other in serving our mutual constituents. These cities are closely aligned, and it is important that they be kept together in the 4th District. We lend our support to map B-3 that connects Whittier to the north to San Pedro to the south.</p> <p>keep the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map should that continue to unite and support the county and the cities. As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. The Gateway cities should all be kept together and continue to work and support each other. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.</p> <p>Option B-3, which would keep the South Bay Cities in Supervisorial District 4</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>Map B-3 divides our SELA/Gateway Cities into 3 districts. The map forces South Gate, Cudahy, Bell, Bell Gardens Maywood, Huntington Park, Lynwood, and the Florence-Firestone (unincorporated) areas into District 2. Please move all these areas into District 4, so that we can create a SELA district.</p> <p>As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeps Whittier Union High School District together and other shared school Districts in on map • Keeps Whittier-area hills, big cities, and beaches connected that oftentimes students visit with their friends & families and create great memories • Shares community colleges, CSU, Long Beach, & museums that students utilize for educational advancement • The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents
Gateway Cities: Cerritos, Norwalk	Keep Gateway Cities together
Gateway Cities: Downey	<p>Downey, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map. As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.</p>
Gateway Cities: Torrance, Beach Cities, PVP, Long Beach together	Keep Gateway Cities together: Map B-3's District 4 logically links the Beach Cities with Torrance, the Palos Verdes Peninsula and Long Beach, along with the Gateway Cities, Downey and Norwalk. The South Bay and Gateway Cities have worked closely together on transportation needs; on transportation, as but one example, we have mutual interests in promoting Metro C (Green) and Metro J (Silver) lines as unifying regional transportation links.
Harbor Area	<p>Keep Port of LA and Port of LB together</p> <p>Harbor Area. Please keep us together with Torrance and other South Bay Cities, we share much more in common with them than other cities being proposed in another district.</p> <p>Keep Long Beach and San Pedro together</p>
Harbor: Palos Verdes-San Pedro	The Peninsula needs to stay with San Pedro as we are a community of interest. I strongly urge you to keep our community, as the entire Palos Verdes Peninsula, with our community of interest--San Pedro. We are a Harbor community with many shared services and interests.

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
Lomita	<p>Map B: keeps our city (Lomita) with our surrounding communities of interest and helps us work together</p> <p>B-3 places Lomita with its surrounding communities</p>
Map B	<p>Supervisory Districts arranged according to general geography, employment and shopping patterns, generally shared cultural events. The places communities with common interests together logically in the same supervisory districts.</p>
Map F-2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Move Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep WSGV together. - Move Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep ESGV together. - Shift the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole. - Extend the border of SD2 to keep Koreatown whole. <p>1) Remove West Hollywood and Hollywood from SD1, connecting them with similar communities in SD3. 2) Unite WSGV COIs in SD1 (Arcadia and Temple City to SD1). THIS IS ONLY PALATABLE IF WEST HOLLYWOOD IS REMOVED. 3) Collectively, this increases Latinx CVAP back to the +53% range we submitted.</p>
Map G-1	<p>cleanup in the Azusa/Covina area. In particular, the City of Covina has expressed interest in staying in SD 5 and I see no reason not to grant the request and swap Azusa and Covina between SD 1 and SD 5. At the north end, I would continue to redraw La Tuna Canyon into SD 5 to be with its rural communities. If you have to cut the San Fernando Valley keeping the northwest portion in SD 5 would be fine. I would place Lake Manor, an unincorporated community bordering Ventura County, in SD 5 as they requested which would mean that Chatsworth is in SD 5, and maybe put West Hills as well as this is newer subdivisions more similar to Santa Clarita or Stevenson Ranch than the part of the Valley developed in the 1970's and earlier like Reseda or Northridge.</p>
Santa Clarita	<p>Keep Santa Clarita and unincorporated North County areas separate from the San Fernando Valley.</p>
Santa Monica Mountains	<p>keep the communities of the Santa Monica Mountains together. We deal with many of the same issues and Malibu needs to stay with their COG and other mountain communities.</p> <p>protection of the wildland habitat in the Santa Monica Mountains to have the SMM included in one district. This also extends northward to the contiguous wildland habitat.</p>
SELA	<p>Map B-3 divides our SELA/Gateway Cities into 3 districts. The map forces South Gate, Cudahy, Bell, Bell Gardens Maywood, Huntington Park, Lynwood, and the Florence-Firestone (unincorporated) areas into District 2. Please move all these areas into District 4, so that we can create a SELA district.</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>Keep the South East Los Angeles cities and unincorporated communities whole in 1 district and grouped with neighboring environmental justice communities in one district with similar incompatible land use concerns, air quality issues, and toxics that harm our health, so that community can elect a representative that understand the health risks and historical disinvestment in environmental justice communities.</p> <p>Map B-3 divides our SELA/Gateway Cities into 3 districts. The map forces South Gate, Cudahy, Bell, Bell Gardens Maywood, Huntington Park, Lynwood, and the Florence-Firestone (unincorporated) areas into District 2. Please move all these areas into District 4, so that we can create a SELA district.</p>
SGV	<p>It has been asked many times by many cities that each city be placed in one Supervisorial district without being in two or more districts. This map although is improving but still bifurcates district there is no need to have district 1 split district 5 at the Azusa intersection albeit district 5 goes all the way into the high desert the lower portion of district 5 has a natural barrier the San Gabriel Mountain range essentially cutting the lower portion of district 5 in half.</p>
SGV: Foothills	<p>Arcadia and the other Foothill Cities of the San Gabriel Valley share a community of interests. The Foothill communities have similar issues and already have a long history of sharing resources, staff and departments to successfully deal with challenges to the betterment and quality of life of our combined populations. It is best for the residents to keep Arcadia in the same Supervisorial District as the other San Gabriel Valley Foothill communities like Monrovia and Sierra Madre</p>
SGV: Hacienda and Rowland Heights	<p>Put Hacienda and Rowland Heights in SD 4</p>
SGV: Pomona	<p>Keep Pomona in SD 1</p> <p>crafting N. Pomona above and North of 10 freeway into current Supervisor District 5. Satisfies request to keep Pomona in District 1 while not compacting all Pomona into First Supervisors District. Crafting line around N Pomona at east adjoining Claremont discriminates against Pomona in North as not equal and suspicious gerrymandering crafting to exclude Pomona into predominantly white neighborhoods of Claremont and La Vern.</p> <p>Interest around FairPlex under one Supervisor. In this case representation of a neighborhood of shared interests is more important than keeping an entire City together. La Verne borders FairPlex on the north, and Pomona's residential neighborhoods border FairPlex on the east and south. We need to have the same supervisor</p>
South Bay	<p>Map F-2 irrationally places the South Bay into 3 separate supervisorial districts, with District 3 ranging from San Fernando down to Rancho Palos Verdes. Neighboring San Pedro would be located in District 4, while Lawndale, Hawthorne and Gardena would fall in District 2. For transportation planning purposes, Map F-2 would prevent a unified and holistic approach to regional problems.</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
South Bay	<p>On behalf of the members of NAMI (National Alliance on Mental Illness) South Bay and from my experience and involvement in the Department of Mental Health Service Area Leadership Team of Service Area 8 (SALT 8) I want to express support for Option B-3 as it keeps almost all of Service Area 8 in one Supervisorial District. NAMI South Bay providers programs and services in the South bay and has worked with and been engaged in the Service Area 8 community to provide support, education, and advocacy regarding mental health through the SALT 8. By keeping the Service Area 8 in one Supervisorial district it will provide a much clearer community of interest in these traditionally linked communities. The other options split up and divide the communities and the understanding, connection and involvement in services will be fragmented. I have witnessed the strong community interest of providers, non-profit service organizations, government entities in the Service Area 8. By keeping it in one supervisorial district like proposed in B-3 will allow this collaboration and more effective services to continue and grow. The proposed option B-3 also promotes a diversity of groups while have common interests. The other options would seriously fragment communities.</p> <p>This approach in keeping the SA 8 and these communities in one Supervisorial District is especially important and significant to the area of mental health as the county and the Supervisors are primarily responsible for mental health services in the county. Having a supervisorial district that connects the communities of interest on mental health issue in this area is important to address the issues unique to this area. The issues such as mentally ill and homelessness, and increasing need for mental health services are common throughout the county there are special service issues and collaboration of organizations that are best served by being in the same district. The other options would create the fragmentation, confusion, and less effective collaboration on services.</p>
South Bay	Do not put South Bay Cities with SFV
South Bay: Lomita, Cerritos	keep as part of the South Bay Cities
South Bay: Palos Verdes Peninsula	Keep Palos Verdes Peninsula with the South Bay Cities
South LA	<p>...it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community, and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade, the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred due to our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade, which is why it is essential to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP, as seen in Map F-1.</p>
Torrance	Please keep Torrance as part of the Beach Cities and Peninsula Cities
Tri-Cities	Keep Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena in one district
UCLA	Do not put UCLA with SD2

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>As for SD 2 taking in UCLA, the intent of this was to connect UCLA students and staff, who are mostly renters along the 405 corridor and in Palms, to the campus while excising homeowners in Cheviot Hills and Brentwood as they are not part of the same community of interest. It also gives SD 2 another asset or economic engine as some commissioners have called it.</p>
<p>Universal City: Universal Studios</p>	<p>Option F-2 would divide the Universal Studios Lot, spanning 400 acres, into two different supervisorial districts. The Universal lot is already a multi-jurisdictional property with most of the lot located in unincorporated county, and parcels on property edges located within City of Los Angeles limits (see attached map). Dividing the property further into two supervisorial districts creates redundancies and could potentially make it more difficult for us to coordinate with the county on numerous business and community-related matters. To maximize coordination and efficiency for the property and the business operations on-site, it would be best for our entire property to be represented by one county supervisor.</p>
<p>Verdugo: Foothills</p>	<p>Keeps Foothill communities with livestock abutting Verdugo Mountains/Shadow Hills/La Tuna Cyn together</p>
<p>Verdugo: Foothills, Route 210</p>	<p>Keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest, and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER.</p> <p>For B-3: t keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest, and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (i.e., La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.</p>
<p>Verdugo: La Tuna Canyon east of Rt. 210 south of where it merges with Rt. 5</p>	<p>It seems odd and ridiculous to separate La Tuna Canyon from north and south. This is definitely a community that supports one another. We've been through fire and floods, especially recently. Both sides of our canyons burned during the La Tuna fire. To have us be separated seems like such an odd choice. We had evacuation plans in place, we supported each other, regardless of the side of the road. We were in it together and it should be kept that way. LVT, Tujunga, Shadow Hills, etc., we all live a rural life and truly understand each other. Please work to keep us together!</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>Besides being rural, we are an equine community. Very much like the Rancho District in Burbank. It's important to maintain and encourage our trails and equine community. If a major road needs to be chosen, why not Glenoaks? It keeps our hills and burn danger in one zone. I implore you to maintain our hills and community. Our fire burned both side of La Tuna Canyon, it too wanted us to have the same experience. Follow along with Mother Nature.</p>
<p>Verdugo: Lake View Terrace (along Rt. 210)</p>	<p>SHPOA favors Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest, and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, and La Tuna Canyon Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (i.e., La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.</p>
<p>Verdugo: Shadow Hills</p>	<p>Against Map G-1: inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also had cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.</p> <p>Map B-3 it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest, and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (i.e., La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.</p>
<p>West Hollywood</p>	<p>I am genuinely concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
	<p>District 3 in map F-2.</p> <p>F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 and to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p> <p>Keep in SD 3</p>
Westside	<p>F-2: I urge that UCLA, Westwood (LA), and West Los Angeles (LA), be moved to District 3. District 3 doesn't make sense because of how it stretches from Sylmar/San Fernando all the way down to Torrance/Redondo Beach.</p> <p>Keep all similar cities together in D3, such as West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, Santa Monica, and Culver City. Many other maps cut out Culver City, which does not make sense.</p> <p>Keep Westside Cities COG cities together in one SD</p> <p>B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines</p>
Westside: Jewish Community	<p>While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County and is very diverse, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district. The communities with the largest gathering of population include Santa Monica, Pico, and South Robertson, Beverlywood, Beverly Hills, Bel Air, Cheviot Hills, Century City, Westwood, Brentwood, Beverly Fairfax, Hancock Park, Valley Village, Valley Glenn, Studio City, Sherman Oaks, Encino, Tarzana, and Agoura Hills. The largest practicing Jewish Communities are within the communities of Westwood, Pico-Robertson, Fairfax-Labrea, Beverly Hills Hancock Park and the areas between them. Valley Village, Sherman Oaks, Encino, and Tarzana have sizable traditional Jewish residents as well in the San Fernando Valley.</p> <p>The F2 map would need to do the following to achieve this task: 1. Include Pico Robertson and neighborhoods south down to the 10 Freeway. 2. Expand District 3 further East alongside the southern border of West Hollywood, so that it can include the Jewish communities from The Grove, Hancock Park, and the Fairfax-La Brea area and everything in between.</p>

Area	Comment Highlights of 200 Pages of Public Comments – Not Exhaustive
Whittier	<p>Regarding Whittier: B-3 keeps our communities of interest intact. We work closely with the nearby cities of Santa Fe Springs, La Mirada, Norwalk & Lakewood on a variety of water supply concerns including our participation in the Southeast Water Coalition (SEWC) & the Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Group (LSGR). Most significantly, our ties to the City of Santa Fe Springs include school districts (Los Neitos & Whittier Union High School Districts jointly serve both communities.) The City of Whittier supplies Santa Fe Springs with potable water from our Central Basin wells, and the Whittier Police Department provides contract public safety and police services for the City of Santa Fe Springs</p> <p>Keeping the Gateway Cities together in District 4 in all of the proposed maps. I would like to offer my support for the new revised B-3 that goes from Whittier to San Pedro. As a Superintendent in one of the Gateway Cities my community values working closely with our neighbors from Whittier to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. Businesses and organizations in all of these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents.</p>
Wilmington	Supports the Wilmington/Harbor areas ability to be grouped with communities that share similar socioeconomic characteristics and policy concerns, especially regarding environmental health.