

Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Public Hearing No. 4 (12/7/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
4.a.	Abbe S Land	Other	As a resident of West Hollywood I would like you to consider option F-2 with West Hollywood being removed and placed in SD3 so that we stay with cities that share communities of interest. The city has been working as part of the Westside Council of Governments on issues of mutual concern and impact. West Hollywood is not really a community of interest with East LA. Option G, G-1, B-1, or B-2 are also viable options, ensuring representation of Latinx and African American communities, as well as keeping West Hollywood with communities of interest. Thank you for your consideration. Truly, Abbe Land	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Adam Kroll	Favor	Overall, of the three options up for discussion, B-3 and G-1 appear to best accomplish the goals of the redistricting process.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Adrian Neri	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Alan H Sarkisian	Other	I like option B-2 the best because it keeps Torrance with the beach cities. After all, Torrance also has a stretch of beach, Torrance County Beach. Acceptable are the other options that keep Torrance with the beach cities.	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Alisa Finsten	Other	Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission. We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana.</p> <p>As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.</p>		
4.a.	AMANDA A RILEY	Oppose	<p>Keep Cities Together</p> <p>Supporting map B3</p> <p>As a resident of XXXX/elected official of XXXX, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.</p> <p>We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.</p> <p>At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Ambar Rivera	Favor	<p>CBE is a member organization of the People’s Bloc and CBE empowers environmental justice communities across the state and here in Los Angeles in the Harbor Area and in South East cities of Los Angeles to advocate and organize for clean energy and healthy sustainable neighborhoods. Our membership in Los Angeles is representative of Latinx youth and adult, Spanish language communities, renter and homeowners, working class families, low-income to no-income communities that have been gravely impacted by the health pandemic. It’s critical communities like ours can elect representatives that understand the need for strong</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>political representation.</p> <p>Supports the Wilmington/Harbor areas ability to be grouped with communities that share similar socioeconomic characteristics and policy concerns, especially regarding environmental health. This map keeps the South East Los Angeles cities and unincorporated communities whole in 1 district and grouped with neighboring environmental justice communities in one district with similar incompatible land use concerns, air quality issues, and toxics that harm our health, so that community can elect a representative that understand the health risks and historical disinvestment in environmental justice communities.</p>		
4.a.	Amy Howorth	Other	<p>I support map B3. As a former Manhattan Beach Mayor, it is critically important to keep the beach communities connected as we share waters and coastlines and particular issues. Our current Supervisor is well versed in the complexities of the Coastal Commission as well as the environmental aspects of coastal living. Thank you for your service!</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Andrew Solomon	Other	<p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p> <p>Thank you! Andrew Solomon</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

4.a.	Angela Bernier	Other	Select Option B-2, click Favor. Then write that Map B2 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B2.	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	angela clark	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	angela clark	Oppose	I am a person of color and I am opposed to any changes without community input	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Audrey Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Bob Wolfe	Other	<p>I am a lifelong resident of L.A. County, a graduate of Inglewood High School and a licensed Calif. attorney. I worked for nearly 20 years as a supervising attorney for the Calif. Court of Appeal and am a member of the countywide L.A. Metro Community Advisory Council and Metro's South Bay Service Advisory Council, appointed by the South Bay Cities Council of Governments, as well as a commissioner for the Hermosa Beach Civil Service Board. I write only in my individual capacity and not on behalf of any of these groups.</p> <p>I strongly urge the Commission to adopt Map B-3, and to reject Map F-2 and G-1.</p> <p>My primary interest is in public transportation, both as a means of combating the climate crisis by promoting public transit and discouraging single-use automobile use for choice riders and in ensuring equity and environmental justice for the County's transit-dependent populations.</p> <p>The South Bay, where I live, has been remiss in our dependency upon automobile use and for the absence of viable public transit options. It is critical, for transportation advocacy and planning purposes, that a single supervisor and staff are able to address these regional needs and opportunities. Map B-2</p> <p>Map B-3's District 4 logically links the Beach Cities with Torrance,</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>the Palos Verdes Peninsula and Long Beach, along with the Gateway Cities, Downey and Norwalk. The South Bay and Gateway Cities have worked closely together on transportation needs; on transportation, as but one example, we have mutual interests in promoting Metro C (Green) and Metro J (Silver) lines as unifying regional transportation links.</p> <p>Furthermore, the entirety of the Crenshaw-LAX line will be placed within District 2, giving the District 2 supervisor and her staff strong incentives to promote this vitally needed transportation link, including the northern extension to connect transit dependent populations with available job markets.</p> <p>By contrast, Maps F-2 and G-1 fragment the region into multiple supervisorial districts, preventing the big picture overview that is so sorely needed.</p> <p>Map F-2 irrationally places the South Bay into 3 separate supervisorial districts, with District 3 ranging from San Fernando down to Rancho Palos Verdes. Neighboring San Pedro would be located in District 4, while Lawndale, Hawthorne and Gardena would fall in District 2. For transportation planning purposes, Map F-2 would prevent a unified and holistic approach to regional problems.</p> <p>Map G-1 divides communities of interest which bear common needs for transportation and other purposes. Most notably, Torrance and Redondo Beach would be placed into District 2 while Harbor City, Lomita and all the cities in the Palos Verdes peninsula would find themselves in District 4.</p>		
4.a.	Bonnie Rogers	Other	Constantly changing the maps is against the public interest. I have submitted multiple comments to many of the map changes but cannot keep up with all these changes. By changing the maps constantly you are avoiding receipt of public input. In addition non-	12/6/2021	n/a

			english-speaking stakeholders in my community who have a difficult time submitting comments due to the process and reading the material and now simply have just given up because the maps keep changing. Thank you for your attention to this major concern.		
4.a.	Brenda F Barnette	Other	-	12/6/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Brent Chipeska	Oppose	This would be a disaster to take away local control of land use or development from cities. Each city knows what they need and how it will affect its area and what the local people want. Other areas controlling this area makes no sense. They have no idea what each city needs or wants.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Brian K Chen	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Brianne Logasa	Other	Comments on behalf of the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments are attached.	12/7/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Bryan W Dalton	Favor	I favor map B3 NOT F2 or G1. Redondo has a Harbor and coastal areas, nothing in common with Downtown LA or the Valley. Supervisor and staff of Ms. Hahn have applied their knowledge from LA Harbor and PV area to ensure issues are addressed in a timely manner. Our issues would be drowned out by Downtown LA or Valley concerns. What do they care about sea level rise, ocean water quality or harbor improvements?	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	C Cross	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Christine L Rowe	Other	Map 78 is the only map that Keeps the San Fernando Valley whole with the exception of the map by Commissioner Holtzman Map OP 86.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Craig Funabashi	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Craig Funabashi	Other	I am a resident of current District 4 and in favor of Option B-2 to keep our boundaries the most similar to the current boundaries.	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Dan Wentzel	Other	Whatever option is chosen, the City of West Hollywood should be kept with other cities in the Westside COG.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	DANIEL DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	DANIEL MENDOZA	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

4.a.	Daniel S Gold	Other	<p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission.</p> <p>We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana. As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	debra callabresi	Other	<p>Please protect the voice of our equestrian/high-fire risk community. The proposed redistricting only has one option available (B3) that preserves our ability for unified representation. The other maps break our areas apart in ways that are not in the best interest of the residents with similar zoning, animal keeping, and fire prevention/coordination issues. We are a very close knit community and implore the commissioners to protect our ability to protect our residences and continue to add our unique value to the city.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Delores Sutton	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Dency L Nelson	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Derek T Ryder	Favor	I am in favor of Map F-1.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	DIANA MANN	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	ESPERANZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

4.a.	Eugene Maysky	Other	<p>Hi, As a longtime member of the Russian Advisory Board to the City of West Hollywood I'd like to provide this comment:</p> <p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	F A Lucich	Other	<p>Please support Map B3 and keep Manhattan Beach with the 4th district, where it is currently.</p> <p>As a 40-year resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to me that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.</p> <p>The Beach and Coastal Cities have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our County Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.</p> <p>At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			Thank you.		
4.a.	Gail LeGros	Oppose	Fourth district should not be so split up. Is NIT in the best interests of the constituents!	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Henry Fung	Other	<p>Respectfully there are three items to consider regarding the South Bay, which really is a key question the commission is facing. The South Bay is not big enough to be its own district.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Does it connect with the South Central Los Angeles community and dilute the Black CVAP votes by reducing the delta between Black and White population? Is this a concern for the commission given the opinion from your racial polarization attorney that racially polarized voting doesn't exist in nonpartisan LA County races? Is the perception of Whites outvoting Blacks enough to dissuade commissioners from making this choice? - Does it connect to the San Fernando Valley, which while it may not feel like a natural community of interest, does have shared interests in the 405 freeway and in the many Valley residents who commute south to El Segundo, Redondo Beach, and Playa Vista? - Or does it connect to the Gateway Cities like today, which co-chair Mayeda has persistently objected to because of the "U" shape? There are communities of interest there as well with refineries and oil production in Signal Hill and Torrance, well off communities of La Mirada, La Habra Heights, and the Palos Verdes Peninsula, and open space with the Palos Verdes Hills and the Puente Hills in current SD 4. <p>Overall, these are your only three choices. Keep the South Bay in a SD 4 like today, connect it with SD 2, or connect it to the Malibu coast and northward in SD 3.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Henry Granville	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Hernan G Molina	Other	-	12/7/2021	View attachment

4.a.	Howard Welinsky	Other	Please consider the Jewish Community as a community of interest among other community of interests. We are a diverse community with many cultural and social; institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish community population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish Community has historically been nested with the 3rd district from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as studio city, Encino, Tarzana and Sherman Oaks. I urge the Commission to keep much of our community within the 3rd district.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Ina Barish	Other	I am a long time resident of the unincorporated area of Marina del Rey. We are unusual in that we do not have direct representation in local government OTHER than our board of supervisor. It is vital that our elected Board of Supervisor be familiar with the issues relevant to unincorporated Marina del Rey and coastal cities. It does not serve either South LA or the coastal cities by grouping them together. It is important Marina del Rey remain in a district with other coastal cities facing similar issues. I support keeping black and brown voices in a district together and do not wish to dilute historically underrepresented voices. I am equally concerned about keeping Marina del Rey in the same Supervisorial district that has been serving the people of this area for 25 years.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	INGRID DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	IRMA MENDOZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Irving Lebovics	Other	Representing the LA Jewish community. Letter to follow. Would like to make public comment on the specific issues effecting this community of interest	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Irving Lebovics	Other	see uploaded letter	12/7/2021	View attachment
4.a.	J Cross	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jacqueline A Davis	Favor	We strongly support map option B-3. We feel it is very important for San Pedro, the PV Peninsula cities, and the beach cities to remain together.	12/6/2021	n/a

4.a.	Jake Mason	Other	B-3 unifies WSCCOG cities in one district. This is by far the best option for west hollywood. Please consider. thank you	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	JAMES garrett	Other	Request to approve Map B2 . Please do not allow for splitting up the coastal areas as numerous community links have been established that work cohesively to protect the unique environmental aspects of the coast, including major work to protect its wetlands including Ballona Wetlands Ecological Reserve.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	James Vaughan	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jane A Obradovich	Favor	Please keep the south bay and beach cities on a unique path to meet their unique needs. The harbor and beach area are treasures that should not be combined with other inland areas.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jen Snyder	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	John Damico	Other	<p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p> <p>Thank you. John D'Amico</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	John Mendoza	Other	Craft N.Pomona 10 way north into Supervisors District 5 . More compact and inclusive. Many neighbors in N. Pomona share community interest with La Vern and Claremont. Precinct 1 in Pomona are in Citris College District and Pomona Schhol district dose not include the area. Precinct 5 and 1 are crafted into another water district. N. Pomona District 6 City Council have partnership	12/6/2021	n/a

			with Claremont building affordable housing. Pomona North Ganesha Hills have higher income and are directly connected with Supervisors District 5 Puddingstone Lake. Keep South Pomona neighborhoods below and south of 10 freeway into Supervisors District 1. Many south Pomona areas are designated as Disadvantage Community and share community of interest with El Monte, Valinda, La Puente.		
4.a.	Josephine Hrzina	Favor	Fills need for transparency.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Julie Armenta	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	K C	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Karen Thomson	Other	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Kathy Knight	Favor	Map B-2 is the best. We need to continue to use that one because it represents the coastal communities and critical ecosystems along the coast. If you are going to only use the 3 maps, G2 would be preferable because it would keep the communities of interest of Marina Del Rey and the Ballona wetlands. Also having one district represent the valley and the coast is not good - they have very different interests.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Kellan Martz	Other	Good morning Supervisors. I am writing to support maps F-1, B-2, B-3, G, and G-1. These maps make the most sense based upon the legal requirements for redistricting, the goals of the redistricting commission and the board, what is best for the county, what is sensible for communities of interest. I personally think B-3 is the best map option available. As a West Hollywood resident, it makes sense to include all similar cities together, such as West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, Santa Monica, and Culver City. Many other maps cut out Culver City, which does not make sense. Please choose a map that keeps those together. Thank you for all of the work of the commission and the board of supervisors. I know this is a tiring and arduous process. Your time and efforts are much appreciated.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Kevin McRoberts	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

4.a.	Kim E Brant-Lucich	Other	<p>Please support Map B3 and keep Manhattan Beach with the 4th (our current) district. The reason for this is as follows:</p> <p>As a resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.</p> <p>The Beach and Coastal Cities have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our County Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.</p> <p>At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Kristie Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Kristie Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Lee Sweet	Other	<p>At a time as tenuous as this for all citizens and individual communities of District 4, please consider the, IMHO, negative ramifications resulting from a break-up of District 4. The diverse communities in Dist. 4 have built working relationships with each other under former Supervisor Knabe and continuing under Supervisor Hahn's excellent leadership. As well, the citizens of the 4th have a well-established relationship with Supervisor Hahn and her staff that would suffer - again at a time when we need stability. Please vote for Draft Map B-3. Thank you.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Leslie Ogg	Other	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Lezlie Campeggi	Other	Map B-3 or leave this alone until we can actually ELECT our representative.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Lisa Nelson	Other	Recommend option B-2	12/6/2021	n/a

4.a.	Lisette A Palley	Oppose	See attached letter. More community input is needed before you make this crucial decision.	12/6/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Lucila R Lopez	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	LUIS MIGUEL MENDOZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Maria Garcia	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Marqueece Harris-Dawson	Other	-	12/6/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Mary Campbell	Favor	I strongly support Map Option B-3.	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Mary Kohav	Other	<p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission.</p> <p>We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana. As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Melissa York	Other	<p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission.</p> <p>We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana. As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.		
4.a.	Michael Harbridge	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Michael W George	Other	City of Carson District 1 Councilmember Jawane Hilton expresses his firm opposition to the City of Carson being outside of District 2. Letter attached.	12/7/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Nala P Blue	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Odette Pringle	Other	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Patricia Betzner	Other	<p>Here is my feedback. Thank you!</p> <p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

4.a.	Patricia McPherson	Other	REquest that Map B 2 be selected as the coastal environmental issues need to maintain continuity of justice, attention and transparency that have come with Supervisor Janice Hahn.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Patrick J Healy	Favor	Public discussion is key into maintaining democratic principles.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Penelope Randall	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Q Q	Other	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Rachel Zaiden	Other	<p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission.</p> <p>We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana.</p> <p>As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Randi Spiegel	Other	I would like to retain Map B-2 including Marina Del Rey and Playa Del Rey. We have critical environmental concerns. If not represented, we could lose species which were here long before we were. Not that they'd necessarily move elsewhere, but could die off. Janice Hahn has been very attuned to these issues.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	RENEE SOTLE	Other	<p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p>		
4.a.	Robert M Smith	Other	<p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission.</p> <p>We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana. As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Robert Oliver	Other	<p>No configuration is going to be perfect, but, of the options, F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all do a decent job and accomplish the goals of representation of the Commission and Redistricting process.</p> <p>And of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>In Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.		
4.a.	Rome Mubarak	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Roxanna Natale-Brown	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Ruben D Karapetian	Other	Map B-3, F-2, and G-1 preserve the Tricity area of Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena as one unit/Community of interest. I implore the Commission to maintain the Tricities as one unit as the process moves along. The division of the Tricities - the central hub for the Armenian-American community within Los Angeles County - would prove negative consequences for the community on the county level. Multiple religious, cultural, economic, educational, and community service organizations are located in and serve the Tricity area. Please, moving forward, maintain the cohesiveness of this community and keep the Tricities together.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Ruby C Brown	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Scott Oshima	Other	Support Map F-2 WITH MODIFICATIONS. Oppose Maps B-3 and G-1.	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Sepideh Shyne	Other	<p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission's current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

4.a.	Stacey Jones	Other	<p>F-1, B-2, B-3, G, and G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission and the redistricting process generally. Of the three maps currently favored by the Commission, G-1 and B-3 achieve those goals best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>If the Commission decides to move forward with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 and to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exists in District 3.</p> <p>- Stacey Jones Vice-Chair, Planning Commission City of West Hollywood</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Stanley T Kandel	Other	<p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. We know this is not an easy process and understand there are several different versions of maps under consideration with the Commission.</p> <p>We urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest among other communities of interest. We are a diverse and unique community, with many cultural and social institutions serving a wide population. While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district, from Los Feliz to Hancock Park to West Hollywood, Westwood to various parts of the San Fernando Valley such as Studio City, Encino and Tarzana. As the maps continue to evolve, we urge the</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district.		
4.a.	Steve Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Steven S Lamb	Favor	I oppose B3 and F2 and am in favor of the supurb G-1	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Susan Quam	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Sylvia Arredondo	Other	please see attached comments.	12/7/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Therese Li	Favor	Sorry I cannot attend mtg. but would like to vote in favor of Op78 and OP86. thank you	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Tim P Sandoval	Other	I support the placement of Pomona in Supervisorial District 1.	12/7/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Victor Manalo	Other	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Victoria Fox	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	Walter Howells II	Oppose	-	12/5/2021	n/a
4.a.	William J Miller	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	William Krouss	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
4.a.	Wily Young	Favor	Do not redirecting the current restrictions for voting	12/7/2021	n/a
4.a.	yvonne daugherty	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Abraham Santiago	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Adam Kroll	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Adrian Neri	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Alejandra Linares	Favor	I support option b-3	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Alexander L Starr	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Alfred Lay	Favor	I strongly support Option B-3, which would keep the South Bay Cities in Supervisorial District 4. I believe that it's sensible to keep Communities of Interest together.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Alice Chow	Other	<p>There has been an increasing amount of redistricting nationwide that has been very concerning.</p> <p>As a resident of Cerritos, I would be very heartened and thankful for the commission to keep the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map should that continue to unite and support the county and the cities.</p> <p>As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South.</p> <p>The Gateway cities should all be kept together and continue to work and support each other. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Alisa Floyd	Favor	Keep Hermosa and redondo under this zoning, given the proximity to the beach this should stay in this zoning!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Allen Kirschenbaum	Favor	As a resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Amber Goldsmith	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Amy J Hudson	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Amy Josefek	Favor	This makes the most sense for the county and keeps South Bay and Beach Cities' related communities together. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	amy kushigemachi	Other	Asian and Pacific Islander Americans are a diverse and growing population in Los Angeles County. Some API COIs are whole in this map, including Historic Filipinotown and Koreatown in the City of LA, as well as the Tongan community in the northern part of the	12/7/2021	View attachment

			<p>South Bay, and Torrance, which has a large API community, is with other diverse communities with API populations. However, there are areas of concern where established neighborhoods are split over more than one district.</p> <p>In the downtown Los Angeles area, Little Tokyo and Chinatown are divided between SD1 and SD2, and Thai Town in the Hollywood area is divided between SD1 and SD3. Chinatown and Little Tokyo have long histories as cohesive communities and have been home to generations of people and institutions that contribute to the diverse fabric of Los Angeles.</p> <p>Although Little Tokyo is relatively small, the neighborhood’s boundaries are well-known and recognizable. It is both feasible and critical that the neighborhood be kept whole. The attached map represents the boundaries of Little Tokyo based on landmarks and community institutions: Main Street in the west; Temple Street in the north; Vignes Street in the east to E. 1st Street, where Hewitt Street becomes the eastern border; and E. 3rd Street in the south between Main and San Pedro Streets, where E. 4th Street becomes the southern border to E. 4th Place.</p>		
OPTION B-3	Amy Wong	Oppose	This map only creates 2 districts where communities of color would be able to elect a candidate of their choice. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County where minority communities make up the majority of the population.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Andrea Hegybeli	Favor	I am opposed because it will split up San Pedro, with unfortunate consequences. We are a tight community and need to stay together, also along with the Palos Verdes Peninsula.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Andrea Parker	Favor	SHPOA favors Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.</p> <p>SHPOA is against MAP F-2 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.</p> <p>SHPOA is against MAP G-1 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.</p> <p>On a personal note, this entire thing is RIDICULOUS!!! What in the hell is the matter with you people? This has been changed countless times now, all to the absolute disdain of local residents, but you just can't seem to stop screwing with it. Leave it alone and work on something ACTUALLY useful, like getting rid of hobos and</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

			our POS District Attorney. I have zero confidence in you folks to do anything right at this point, but please, leave our community alone once and for all.		
OPTION B-3	Andres Falcon	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	angela clark	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	angela clark	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Angelica Sanchez	Oppose	Map B-3 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-2. It does this by packing black and brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ann Gotthoffer	Favor	Best choice for South Bay Area.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ann Marie Hutcherson	Favor	Yes on B3 no on 71 oppose map G	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ann Masterson	Favor	To Whom it May Concern, I am a 21 year resident of Shadow Hills and am passionately dedicated keeping our unique community together, in the same district. The maps you have drawn do NOT reflect the best interests of our equestrian area which includes high fire risk, challenging terrain, and equine-centric paths, trails and road-ways as a small sampling. There is NO benefit for the stakeholders of the shared-interest communities of Shadow Hills, La Tuna Canyon & Lake View Terrace, represented in the oft-revised maps you have proposed, except B-3.	12/6/2021	n/a

After extensive and passionate engagement from our community, including an exhaustive letter-writing campaign, a map was finally drawn that appropriately reflected the commonsense choice that we have been attempting to convey, one that is critical for the health and wellbeing of our community, and now that's been changed once again in these last minute revisions, with B-3 being the only logical option.

Any other choice, in my opinion, would demonstrate that the civil servants who are supposed to be serving the interests of the people- who have clearly and emphatically conveyed their thoughts, feelings and wishes- in fact, are not interested in doing so.

I ask that you please do the RIGHT thing by keeping our communities together and take the considerations below into account when making your final decisions.

1. I favor Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect

			<p>neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.</p> <p>2. I am against MAP F-2 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.</p> <p>3. I am against MAP G-1 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.</p> <p>Thank you in advance.</p> <p>Regards, Ann Masterson</p>		
OPTION B-3	Ann Wolfson	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Anna Perne	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Anne K Keller	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Anthony Baerga	Favor	B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Anthony Dellamarna	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	April Verrett	Favor	<p>Hello, My name is April Verrett President of SEIU 2015. I represent 400,000 homecare and nursing home workers in California and over 200,000 in Los Angeles County. A large majority of our members are women of color who are low-income earners and live in areas such as South Los Angeles. Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>April Verrett President of SEIU Local 2015</p>		
OPTION B-3	ariel pe	Favor	<p>1) F-1, B-2, B-3, G & G-1 all have merits, and accomplish the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process.</p> <p>2) Of the Commission’s current top 3, G-1 and B-3 achieve them the best, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.</p> <p>3) If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Audrey Solorio	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Ausitn Cyr	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	B Tsai	Favor	As a resident of Norwalk, I support this map to keep the gateway cities together.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Babette Wald	Favor	Best for representing the south bay	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Barbara Ellman	Favor	Janice Hahn has been a great representative for the South Bay. It would be a huge loss for the residents and businesses to lose her voice.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Barbara J Epstein	Favor	We have cohesive, compatible interests and issues within this configuration	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Barry Waite	Favor	This map keeps our city (Lomita) with our surrounding communities of interest and helps us work together. Each district is reasonably compact and logical.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bea Dieringer	Other	Please see official letter attached in Strong Favor of Option B-2 or B-3 if absolutely necessary.	12/7/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	Beata Stylianos	Favor	I have been a resident in Hermosa Beach since 2006. I am asking for our city to be in the B-3 Map. Thank you for your public service. ????	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Benita J Wallraff	Favor	I support Map B-3 because it keeps the three Foothill communities of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Bill Ahlstrom	Favor	Strongly favor because groups South Bay and Beach Cities that have critical similar interests	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bob Anderson	Favor	ACCEPTABLE! Sherman Oaks community is whole in district. Does not extend too far south in county.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bob Wolfe	Favor	Map B-3's District 4 logically links the Beach Cities with Torrance, the Palos Verdes Peninsula and Long Beach, along with the Gateway Cities, Downey and Norwalk. The South Bay and Gateway Cities have worked closely together on transportation needs; on transportation, as but one example, we have mutual interests in promoting Metro C (Green) and Metro J (Silver) lines as unifying regional transportation links.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bonnie Rogers	Favor	SHPOA favors Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. These must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. We also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, our unified voice and actions would be muted. I live in the Stonehurst area of Shadow Hills and due to our high Latino residences and minorities we often do not have a voice and area simply cut out of everything. Please do not marginalize	12/6/2021	n/a

			our community by removing our voice from our strength of Shadow Hills. Keep Sheldon Street and Bromont in Shadow Hills.		
OPTION B-3	Brenda Harvey	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Brian K Chen	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bryan Garrison	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bryan W Dalton	Favor	I favor map B3 NOT F2 or G1. Redondo has a Harbor and coastal areas, nothing in common with Downtown LA or the Valley. Supervisor and staff of Ms. Hahn have applied their knowledge from LA Harbor and PV area to ensure issues are addressed in a timely manner. Our issues would be drowned out by Downtown LA or Valley concerns. What do they care about sea level rise, ocean water quality or harbor improvements?	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Bryant Edwards	Favor	I support option B-3 and encourage the commission to vote in support of this option as well. Thank you!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	C Cross	Favor	I am in support of Map B-3 The Supervisorial districts are too big -- but until we add seats to the Board of Supervisors, we need to make the districts as compact as possible so that each Supervisor can represent their constituents as effectively as possible. Map B does that. According to your own scorecard, Map B-3 has the highest Polsby-Popper Compactness Score and makes the districts as compact as possible. We need a map that allows Supervisors to be in their communities when they need to be. We need Map B-3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Cameron Soholt	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Candice Cho	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Carlene A Davis	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Carol Crosby	Favor	Businesses and organizations in the Gateway cities work together to lobby MTA for projects, work on regional projects to address homelessness and support each other in serving our mutual constituents. These cities are closely aligned and it is important that they be kept together in the 4th District. We lend our support to map B-3 that connects Whittier to the north to San Pedro to the south.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Carol Tatsumi	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Cathy Warner	Favor	As Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Whittier, I believe it is in the City's best interest to support map B-3 for the following reasons: B-3 keeps our communities of interest intact. We work closely with the nearby cities of Santa Fe Springs, La Mirada, Norwalk & Lakewood on a variety of water supply concerns including our participation in the Southeast Water Coalition (SEWC) & the Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Group (LSGR). Most significantly, our ties to the City of Santa Fe Springs include school districts (Los Neitos & Whittier Union High School Districts jointly serve both communities.) The City of Whittier supplies Santa Fe Springs with potable water from our Central Basin wells, and the Whittier Police Department provides contract public safety and police services for the City of Santa Fe Springs.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Cecilia Moreno	Favor	I support this option.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Celina Luna	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Charles Mirkovich	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Christian B Valle	Favor	Keep San Pedro Whole!	12/6/2021	n/a

<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>Christian Horvath</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>Thank you for your service in gathering public testimony for the redistricting process. My name is Christian Horvath and I am the current the Councilmember representing Redondo Beach's District 3. I recognize the challenge you each face and believe that only one option keeps the process simple, equitable and justifiable based on the metrics used to evaluate your process thus far.</p> <p>Options B-2 or B-3 are most representative to the importance of ensuring the South Bay's coastal and beach cities remain within one district. Our shared, unique issues related to the environment, protection of natural resources, transportation, homelessness and more have been priorities of the current 4th District Supervisor. It is extremely important for our cities to continue working collaboratively within the 4th District as a united group with representation that truly understands our municipalities.</p> <p>Maps B-2 /B-3 are the most compact, cleanest and simplest options presented. This allows each Supervisor to truly represent their constituents in the most effective and efficient manner possible. According to your own scoreboard, Option B-2/B-3 has the highest Polsby-Popper Compactness Score and also out-performs the other option's scores on County Statistical Areas splits, LA City Neighborhood Council splits and in Communities of Interest. All this data matters and should be seriously considered and adopted.</p> <p>As a former Chairperson for the South Bay Cities Council of Governments, I wholeheartedly implore the commission to select option B-2 which keeps El Segundo, one of our beach cities, connected to the other three. If for some reason that is not possible, then option B-3 would be my alternate choice.</p> <p>With gratitude,</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------	---	------------------	------------

			Christian Horvath Redondo Beach Councilmember		
OPTION B-3	Christine L Rowe	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Christopher R Moya	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Clayton Cummins	Favor	This map is in the best interest of the County. This map also best serves the interests of MY South Bay community. I am a business and property owner in Torrance, and life long Redondo Beach resident. The South Bay has harbors, beaches and other costal issues which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Council member Richard Montgomery	Favor	I have been on city council for 13 years. Supervisor Janice Hahn is a South Bay native, Knows our city, residents and businesses!! She is intelligent, accessible and passionate! Please keep beach cities with Supervisor Hahn in D4. Do not make a mistake that disavow thousands of residents!!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Councilman Nils H Nehrenheim	Favor	The entire coastline needs to be broken up, keep the South Bay connected. This map is fantastic! Truly the best one so far to keep communities together, Supervisors will be able to represent a cohesive community. Coastline communities tend to be wealthy, putting the majority of the coastline into a single district is not consistent with representation. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Craig Funabashi	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Craig Funabashi	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Dan Wentzel	Favor	This is an acceptable option.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dandy De Paula	Favor	I support map B3 to keep the gateway cities together.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	DANIEL DEL RIO	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	DANIEL GOODROE	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	DANIEL MENDOZA	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Daniel Miranda	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Daria Miyeko Marinelli	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	David Gamble	Favor	I believe the beach cities should all be represented as a group and not split apart.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	David Glassman	Favor	This map is best for the county and communities of interest in the beach cities. We have a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	david hirschmann	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	David Lombard	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	David Mitani	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Deborah Lee	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Deborah Uba	Favor	From District 4 perspective, groups Rancho Palos Verdes with Torrance and Long Beach, reflecting natural community boundaries. Residents from Rancho Palos Verdes attend church,	12/6/2021	View attachment

			volunteer and work alongside Torrance residents. We shop, dine and seek medical care in Torrance. Creating an artificial district border between the two cities could create conflict and competition when cooperation is needed.		
OPTION B-3	Deborah Wolf	Favor	Foothill communities section only	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	Deborah Y Moya	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	debra callabresi	Favor	We strongly favor this map because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Delores Gilmore	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Delores Sutton	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dempsey Garcia	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Dency L Nelson	Favor	As a 40-year homeowner and resident of Hermosa Beach, it is important to me and has been proven that because of our shared interest, our coastal communities here in the Beach Cities of the South Bay should stay together. The issues of greatest concern to us relate directly to our environment and our precious beaches and ocean waters. Our voices must be united with representation from our County Supervisor as they have been under current District 4. There isn't another map that retains those united voices as much as B3. Please! This isn't broken! Don't try to fix it with something that could produce unintended consequences. Please consider map B3! Thank you!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dennis Pungdumri	Favor	As a resident of Downey, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map. As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Derek Steele	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Deslee P Mercier	Favor	We live in a Beach City in the South Bay. We want representation that reflects that and do not want to be lumped in with areas that do not have a beach, a harbor and coastal issues. We chose to live in a Beach City, not the Valley and not downtown Los Angeles. We want local representation and feel that bigger is not better. We do not want to be taken over by greedy developers and have our District rezoned and impacted from far away.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Devra Zandell	Favor	Map B3 is the BEST choice for the county as well as communities of the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3!	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Diana Hernandez	Favor	I am a long time resident of Torrance. Please keep Torrance as part of the Beach Cities and Peninsula Cities, we share so much in common and we would like representation from one Supervisor	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	DIANA MANN	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Diana Skaar	Favor	As a resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. The Supervisorial districts are too big -- but until we add seats to the Board of Supervisors, we need to make the districts as compact as possible so that each Supervisor can represent their constituents as effectively as possible. Map B3 does that. We need a map that allows Supervisors to be in their communities when they need to be. We need Map B3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dianna Chooljian	Favor	Preserve our districts demographics and keep map b3	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dianne Odendahl	Favor	I support option b-3	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dolores A Gamble	Favor	As a resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Donald Barron	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Donna Wright	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Dylan Merkowitz	Favor	B-3 and G-1 are my top 2 maps.	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>I believe maps B-3 and G-1 do a great job of combining historic communities of interest.</p> <p>But I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1</p>		
OPTION B-3	Eileen A Hupp	Favor	Map B3 preserves the integrity of the strong community of interest between all four cities of the Palos Verdes Peninsula and San Pedro. In addition, it preserves the community of interest between the Palos Verdes Peninsula, the three Beach cities (Redondo, Hermosa, and Manhattan) and Torrance.	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	eiman farooqui	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Elaine Covington	Favor	best choice for our county. South Bay has harbors, and beaches which impact B-3	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Elizabeth Lee	Favor	This is the only solution for Redondo Beach	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Elyse V Gura	Favor	<p>I am writing to support of Option B3 and to retain Janice Hahn as our Supervisor.</p> <p>As a resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to me that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. Supervisor Hahn has demonstrated that she understands her constituents & can be a supportive & effective advocate for us.</p> <p>We have community- specific issues related to the coast, our environment, our history and our future. Supervisor Hahn listens to us, understands us, represents us. In a larger district, our issues may be submerged, our voices smothered, our representation</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			nullified. There is nothing to be gained, and much that would be lost, if Supervisor Hahn no longer represented us.		
OPTION B-3	Emilia Hasala	Favor	This is the best option we favor.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Enrique C Valdes	Favor	San Pedro Resident	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Eric Fader	Favor	Then write that Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Eric Klusman	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Eric Maniaci	Favor	Map B-3 maintains the integrity of the South Bay as a community of interest	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Erick Matos	Favor	I am in support of Map B-3 as proposed. The map achieves the goals of the commission and is considerate of regional needs, communities of interest, business districts, and community associations such as the Westside Cities Council of Governments. Thank you for your service on the commission!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	ESPERANZA DEL RIO	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Eva Cicoria	Favor	The map reflects the reality that the coastal/beach/harbor communities share common interests related to our geographic location and nexus that the Supervisor representing the district ought to understand and represent. Please don't split the PV Peninsula or San Pedro.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Faraz Aqil	Oppose	Map B-3 divides our SELA/Gateway Cities into 3 districts. The map forces South Gate, Cudahy, Bell, Bell Gardens Maywood, Huntington Park, Lynwood and the Florence-Firestone (unincorporated) areas into District 2. Please move all these areas into District 4, so that we can create a SELA district. Thank you.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Francisco J Noyola	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	G P Suddeth	Favor	This option best assures the excellent representation we have appreciated in the county government.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Gala S Burkholder	Favor	I am in favor of B-3 over the other options because Redondo Beach has more common issues with other beach cities such as Palos Verdes, San Pedro and Long Beach than with the other options which splits Redondo Beach from them. Our issues are more different from the San Fernando Valley or Downtown LA than with the Beach Cities. We have already built alliances with the beach cities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Gary Westerland	Favor	Closest to our needs in the harbor and at the beach	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Genoveva Fernandez	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	George E Jarrett	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	George Walker	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	georgia goldfarb	Favor	I support B-3 -- but prefer the variation of Map 088 Rim of the Valley (ROTV), with the exception of placing Pomona back in Supervisorial District 1. It is critical to protection of the wildland habitat in the Santa Monica Mountains to have the SMM included in one district. This also extends northward to the contiguous wildland habitat. G-1 would be acceptable secondarily, but definitely not F-2 which has been gerrymandered.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Gerard C La Jeunesse	Favor	I am opposed to the redistricting attempts putting the coastal South Bay communities in with downtown Los Angeles or into the Valley communities by splitting up what are the South Bay cities Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach and south down to Long Beach. We beach towns share the common issues and representation by leaders not common to these communities will cause an erosion of our quality and common vision we share.	12/7/2021	n/a

			We will be hurt by representation that will not focus on the issues we have as they will be overshadowed by crime and infrastructure decays in the Downtown and Valley areas. I am in strong favor to keep our beach cities together and in favor for Option B-3.		
OPTION B-3	Gerry OConnor	Favor	Keep South Bay Beach Cities together!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Gina Economou	Favor	It seems odd and ridiculous to separate La Tuna Canyon from north and south. This is definitely a community that supports one another. We've been through fire and floods, especially recently. Both sides of our canyons burned during the La Tuna fire. To have us be separated seems like such an odd choice. We had evacuation plans in place, we supported each other, regardless of the side of the road. We were in it together and it should be kept that way. LVT, Tujunga, Shadow Hills, etc, we all live a rural life and truly understand each other. Please work to keep us together! Besides being rural, we are an equine community. Very much like the Rancho District in Burbank. It's important to maintain and encourage our trails and equine community. If a major road needs to be chosen, why not Glenoaks? It keeps our hills and burn danger in one zone. I implore you to maintain our hills and community. Our fire burned both side of La Tuna Canyon, it too wanted us to have the same experience. Follow along with Mother Nature. Thank you	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	gissela chavez	Oppose	The Black community has historically been able to elect a candidate of their choice in District 2, it is important for the commission to preserve this historical black seat and create additional districts that allow for more communities to be represented instead of packing black and brown voters into one district.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Gregory Edmonston	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Gregory L Kelly	Favor	Map B3 provides the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Hai Chi Pham	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Hai Tran	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Harriet Chase	Favor	I am a resident of Manhattan Beach. I support Option B-3, keeping the beach cities together because of their connection to the coast and the need to protect that environment. We need to talk with one voice about off shore drilling and issues with oil spills, etc. Harriet Chase Concerned citizen	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Heather St Rock	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Heidi Maniaci	Favor	yes, please	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Henry Fung	Oppose	B-3 fractures the Asian community in Koreatown, Chinatown, and the West San Gabriel Valley into multiple pieces. The natural connection between Chinatown and Monterey Park/Alhambra/San Gabriel/Rosemead, where many old Cantonese Chinese and their descendants have moved to, is lost. The weird nub of West Athens in SD 4 is odd. Little Armenia is disconnected from its Armenian sister communities in Glendale and Burbank. Azusa has been in SD 1 and shares more in common with Latino cities to the south, while Covina has historically been in SD 5 and aligns itself more to communities to the north and east.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Henry Granville	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ina Barish	Oppose	I am opposed to Map B-3 pursuant to which we would lose Janice Hahn as our Board of Supervisor and dilute APII voices. Janice Hahn is familiar with the issues relevant to MdR and I urge the Commission to revert to Map B-2.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	INGRID DEL RIO	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ira Ellman	Favor	Janice Hahn has been a terrific representative of our community. She is active and gets personally active in local and county issues. It would be a loss to the community if she is removed from Hermosa Beach representation.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Irene Huerta	Favor	It only makes sense to keep the Port of LA and Port of LB in the same district.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	IRMA MENDOZA DEL RIO	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	J Cross	Favor	Map B-3 makes the most sense and keeps communities of similar interest together and strong.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jack L Epstein	Favor	South Bay has distinct needs and issues that are best addressed in this plan	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jaclyn Gonzales	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jacqueline A Davis	Favor	Supervisor Hahn has done an excellent job in her role for our communities, she knows us, and knows this area so very well. It is important for San Pedro to remain a part of the beach cities, Palos Verdes Peninsula cities, and the port - we are connected in so many ways and can be best served staying together.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jacqueline M Crowley	Favor	I think this represents the best all around community interests of the areas!!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jacqueline Rivas	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jaime Lopez	Favor	As a resident of Unincorporated Whittier, I would like to support the revised B-3 draft map: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. • Keeps Whittier Union High School District together and other shared school Districts in on map • Keeps Whittier-area hills, big cities, and beaches connected that oftentimes students visit with their friends & families and create 	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>great memories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shares community colleges, CSU, Long Beach, & museums that students utilize for educational advancement • The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents 		
OPTION B-3	Jaimie Burkhart	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jake Mason	Favor	This is the best option for our community and keeps Weho unified with other west side cities we work with on numerous items. There is a community here.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	James Abramowski	Favor	Let's go with B-3	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	James Crawford	Favor	Please use this map! I do not want to be lumped into the San Fernando Valley or Downtown LA.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	James Light	Favor	Keeps our beaches and harbors with common issues under one supervisor.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	James Mando	Favor	Map B-3 keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga	12/5/2021	n/a

			Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.		
OPTION B-3	James Phillips	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	James Vaughan	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jane A Obradovich	Favor	This is the best choice for the county as well as the Beach Cities. This area has a harbor, beach and other coastal issues that impact the entire area which is reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jasna Penich	Favor	Malaga Bank has five offices in the South Bay area and we vote in favor on keeping the community designation as it has been...	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jason Bautista	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jason Mayerle	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and the Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3. The other maps under consideration do	12/7/2021	n/a

			not reflect the best needs of the county nor of the South Bay and Beach Cities. This map provides the best representation for the communities of the South Bay and Beach Cities.		
OPTION B-3	Jay Dohner	Favor	<p>Keep Cities Together</p> <p>Supporting map 64</p> <p>As a resident of Manhattan Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.</p> <p>We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.</p> <p>At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jeanne Lux	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jeffrey Baird	Favor	As a resident of Whittier and 20+ year member of Whittier Union High School Board, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. Additionally, I support the revised B-3 map. As Gateway cities and communities we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier to Long Beach to San Pedro, north to south. Folks in the Gateway Cities want to work together to lobby for MTA projects like West Santa Ana branch, and also regionally work together on homelessness issues, as a single similarly interested constituency. Jeff Baird	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jen Snyder	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jennifer Cochran	Favor	As a resident of Manhattan Beach and elected official in Manhattan	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>Beach, I support map 64 and feel it is important that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.</p> <p>We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that. We also have issues related to our small public school districts. Our funding structures and needs are different and it is important to have our Supervisor represent these districts.</p> <p>Thank you for all the thought and attention on the redistricting decisions.</p>		
OPTION B-3	Jennifer Westerland	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as South Bay communities and the Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jerome Chang	Favor	I support option b-3	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jerrriane Rousseau	Favor	B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jerry Gaines	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jerry Gaines	Favor	Balances population, community of interest history, and assists where feasible recognition of diverse ethnic engagement in governance. Other options place more priority on one factor over others The legal charge is to weigh the key criteria equally.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jesse Murphy	Favor	This is the best option for the county and South Bay communities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jesse R Miranda III	Favor	Simply, as the region grows, existing relationships are significant. As a 30 resident of District 4, Supervisor Hahn and her predecessor, Don Knabe; the Gateway cities have been important to one	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>another. Change can be a challenge, there's not ample reason to mess with the boundaries.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and the effort placed here.</p>		
OPTION B-3	Jessica O Lee	Favor	<p>As a resident of Cerritos, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map.</p> <p>As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South.</p> <p>The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ji-eun Song	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jimmy Gow	Favor	<p>Honorable Citizens Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>As a resident of the City of Torrance and an active member in my community, we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Map B Option. This plan, placing Torrance & the South Bay in a "Coastal LA" District 4 from Santa Monica to Long Beach is our preference, as it is our "community of interest" and the ports & harbors from Marina del Rey & Redondo Beach to San Pedro & Long Beach have a certain affinity, share many of the same issues, and belong in the same district.</p> <p>Indeed, Torrance, the beach cities, and the entire South Bay have more in common with Santa Monica, San Pedro and Long Beach than Malibu & the West Valley areas. Please keep the cities that share similar concerns together. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Joan Engelhaupt	Favor	<p>I live in one of the South Bay beach cities, where we face many of the same issues in common with one another and with Torrance. We should be represented together. I understand another proposal would link us with a city in the San Fernando Valley! That certainly looks like cynical gerrymandering to me and certainly is not compact!</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Joan riley	Favor	The county deserves this choice. Its the best one for the county and the communities in the South bay.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Joanne Hadley	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Joe F Corey	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Joey Mitchell	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are best reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John M Erickson	Favor	<p>B-3 and G-1 are my top 2 maps.</p> <p>I believe maps B-3 and G-1 do a great job of combining historic communities of interest.</p> <p>But I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John Mendoza	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John Mendoza	Oppose	New modified maps bait and switch to mislead public. Taking the essence out of original maps creates a different map. This done behind closed doors who unlike the California Redistricting Commission door map revisions in public. Independent Redistricting Commission but same old political trickery.	12/5/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	John Mendoza	Other	See comments regarding crafting N. Pomona above and North of 10 freeway into current Supervisor District 5. Satisfies request to keep Pomona in District 1 while not compacting all Pomona into First Supervisors District. Crafting line around N Pomona at east adjoining Claremont discriminates against Pomona in North as not equal and suspicious gerrymandering crafting to exclude Pomona into predominantly white neighborhoods of Claremont and La Vern.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John Mendoza	Oppose	Spea	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John Odendahl	Favor	I support option b-3	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John Olivas	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	John Steinbrun	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jonathan Quick	Favor	I am writing in strong support of Map B-3. This map achieves the goals of the commission by taking into account the regional needs of the west side communities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jonathan Vasquez	Favor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good evening, my name is Jonathan Vasquez and I am the Superintendent of the Los Nietos School District in Whittier. I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in District 4 in all of the proposed maps. I would like to offer my support for the new revised B-3 that goes from Whittier to San Pedro. As a Superintendent in one of the Gateway Cities my community values working closely with our neighbors from Whittier to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. Businesses and organizations in all of these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents. Thank you for your consideration and allowing me to voice my support for the B-3 option. 	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Jonathan Williams	Favor	Important to keep the Port's together and this map allows the district to allows for continued success.	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Joseph C Valdes	Favor	San Pedro Resident	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Josephanie R Franco	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Josephine Hrzina	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for LA County,as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor,beaches, and the Coastal issues that impact the areas which are reflected in Map B 3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Josue Alvarado	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Joy Kim	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Juan Garza	Favor	As Past Mayor of the City of Bellflower, I support further consideration of both Map B-3 and Map G-1. Of all the maps current considered, these two maps in particular have merit and best accomplish the overall representation goals of the Commission and Redistricting process. Thank you for your consideration of these comments and for your continued work in this process.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Judi Clarke	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Judith A Trujillo	Favor	This option keeps the three horse-keeping low density housing high fire danger communities together. These areas have traditionally supported one and other and worked together to address the issues that we have in common. To separate them is a waste of scarce resources and denies the residents of the support of like communities.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	JUDITH D ST JOHN	Other	B-2 was preferable to B-3 because it kept the neighborhoods of interest around Fairplex under one Supervisor. In this case representation of a neighborhood of shared interests is more important than keeping an entire City together. La Verne borders Fairplex on the north, and Pomona's residential neighborhoods border Fairplex on the east and south. We need to have the same supervisor who can represent the unique concerns about the 487	12/6/2021	n/a

			acreage of Fairplex. We need one Supervisor who can address our shared issues together. Please tweak the B-3 map to include Fairplex with District 5.		
OPTION B-3	Julia P Rosas	Favor	I support Map B-3 as it maintains the integrity of the South Bay as a community of interest. The Peninsula needs to stay with San Pedro as we are a community of interest. I strongly urge you to keep our community, as the entire Palos Verdes Peninsula, with our community of interest--San Pedro. We are a Harbor community with many shared services and interests.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Julianna Nemeth	Favor	I am writing in support of Option B3 and to retain Janice Hahn as our Supervisor. As a resident of Hermosa Beach, it is important to me that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. Supervisor Hahn has demonstrated that she understands her constituents & can be a supportive & effective advocate for us. We have community - specific issues related to the coast, our environment, our history and our future. Supervisor Hahn listens to us, understands us, represents us. In a larger district, our issues may be submerged, our voices smothered, our representation nullified. There is nothing to be gained, and much that would be lost, if Supervisor Hahn no longer represented us.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Julianne M Maunder	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Julie Armenta	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	K C	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kamala M Horwitz	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Karen Edmonston	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Karen Klink	Favor	Leave things the way they are! We in the South Bay want to be district 4 with Janice Hahn! No F2 NO G1 Stop the madness	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Karen Strgacich	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Karen Thomson	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Katherine Butler	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kathleen A Martin	Favor	Keep San Pedro Together.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kathy S Shih	Favor	As a resident of Cerritos, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map. As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other. Your effort is greatly appreciated.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kathy Wiechman	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kellan Martz	Favor	I personally think B-3 is the best map option available. As a West Hollywood resident, it makes sense to include all similar cities together in D3, such as West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, Santa Monica, and Culver City. Many other maps cut out Culver City, which does not make sense.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kelli Hines	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kerilyn Sato	Oppose	I STRONGLY oppose map option B-3. This map will reduce the representation of communities of color that make LA County unique. It is important that the elected officials are chosen by districts that reflect its population demographics.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Kevin J Lanke	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kevin McRoberts	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kristie Solorio	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kristie Solorio	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kurt C Kainsinger	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Kyle E FARRELL	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Laila M Sanchez	Favor	<p>We would like to support the new revised B-3 that goes from Whittier to San Pedro.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · As a resident/business owner in Whittier I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4 and would especially like to lend my support to the revised B-3. · As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South, so it is crucial that we be kept together. · Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects, work on regional projects to address homelessness and support each other in serving our mutual constituents. 	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lana Rizika	Favor	<p>I support map 64</p> <p>As a resident of Manhattan Beach, I believe it is beneficial to the Beach and Coastal cities that we keep these communities together. We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment,</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that. At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, and the beach city voices must be united and not diluted.		
OPTION B-3	Larissa Lee Gadda	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Laura Dotson	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Laura Kainsinger	Favor	As a beach cities resident, it is imperative that the beach cities stay together. We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Laura Rosenthal	Favor	Please keep the communities of the Santa Monica Mountains together. We deal with many of the same issues and Malibu needs to stay with their COG and other mountain communities. Thank you.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Laurie M Perschbacher	Favor	-	12/7/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	Leanne Meyers	Favor	This option provides the continuity of our district that is desperately needed. Janice has been our representative and should remain so. She is and has been deeply involved in the affairs of the South Bay and we need her to remain so. Thank you	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lee Coller	Favor	This is the best map for the South Bay, it makes no sense to group the South Bay with San Fernando Valley as the interests are very different. We fit better with the PV Peninsula, San Pedro, and Long Beach	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lee Sweet	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lena Ng	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Leslie Ogg	Favor	This map is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a	12/7/2021	n/a

			harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.		
OPTION B-3	Leticia Alvidrez	Favor	<p>As a 24 year resident of Whittier, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3</p> <p>As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South.</p> <p>The Gateway cities should all be kept together. It is important to maintain existng partnerships and relationships that include businesses and organizations in all these cities. I appreciate your consideration.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lezlie Campeggi	Favor	<p>The South Bay area of this district is unique, currently represented by a native to the area. We are in the middle of many projects with Supervisor Hahn and cannot afford to lose her. As you all know, Ms. Hahn was an elected member of congress at the Federal level, and opted to scale back to more local representation where she wins her office handily at election time. We are lucky to have her back, focused on local issues and communities that she understands better than the other Supervisors, has made great, positive impacts, and implemented several programs that have become the gold standard for other cities to follow, such as the pallet shelter and room key programs. To lump the South Bay in with the Valley is a geographical- logistics nightmare and all areas within such a crazy schematic would be grossly under-served. It's particularly egregious to see these "new" maps even suggested on that basis, and for representation WE THE PEOPLE DID NOT ELECT.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Linda Brown	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Linda Sibkhe	Oppose	I am a former resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development	12/7/2021	n/a

			Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.		
OPTION B-3	Lisa M Dabbs	Favor	-	12/7/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	Lisa Nelson	Oppose	Recommend option B-2	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lisa Richardson	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lisa Youngworth	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Long N Tran	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lucia Moreno-Linares	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Lucila R Lopez	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	LUIS MIGUEL MENDOZA DEL RIO	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	M Houske	Favor	Please don't cut up / change District 4. Sup. Hahn knows our District well, and it should remain as-is. The relationships between the cities in District 4 go back countless decades. Please don't cause unnecessary problems by changing it! Thank you.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Marc Kravetz	Favor	This is the best option for the county and the South Bay	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	MARCEL ROSS	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Maria Garcia	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Marie Olivas	Favor	As a Manhattan Beach resident, I am in support of keeping the beach city coastal towns together. I am calling to support Map B/OP64. I believe Map B will make the districts more compact so the supervisors can be near their communities. Because of our unique coastal environmental-climate such as off-shore drilling, all of our coastal communities need representation as a whole.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Mark J McLaughlin	Favor	B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Mark Mercier	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Mark Nelson	Oppose	Favoring Option B-2.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Martin Plourde	Favor	I am in favor of keeping the Gateway Cities together with Whittier as we share many common issues. The businesses and cities in these areas have formed a tight relationship and it is incumbent to keep them in one supervisory district.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Mary Campbell	Favor	See attached written comments	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	MaryAnne Viveros	Favor	I am a life long resident of the Harbor Area. Please keep us together with Torrance and other South Bay Cities, we share much more in common with them than other cities being proposed in another district.	12/6/2021	n/a

<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>Mayor Bill Brand</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>As I have listened, remember there are 10 million people in LA County. I would give priority to minimizing changes in order to keep communities of interest in the same Districts.</p> <p>Map F-2, while it has received much support, clearly screams gerrymandering. Why else would there be a very narrow band of land where no one lives connecting the San Fernando Valley with coastal areas south of LAX? Or suddenly grab the UCLA/West LA areas and fold them into District 2.</p> <p>I support map B-3 as it better aligns the other cities of the South Bay and Long Beach and gateway communities. The South Bay has little in common with the San Fernando Valley, Malibu, or the areas adjacent to downtown Los Angeles as in F-2.</p> <p>But I agree with the South LA communities concerned about the dilution of their neighborhoods. This dilution can be eliminated with modifications by the working group formed to merge B-3 and G, by not extending D2 to the coast and including Carson in D2, and extending District 4 to include LAX.</p> <p>Thank You</p>	<p>12/7/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>Mayor Bill Brand</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>B-3 is by far the best map. Not only does it eliminate the gerrymandering of Map F-2, it does not form almost an entirely new District 2 as in Map G.</p> <p>As an elected official for the last 12 years in Los Angeles County, I have never heard complaints about the current County District lines. Only once this Commission began deliberations did I see and hear of special interests groups filing complaints and asking for the County to be significantly redrawn.</p> <p>As I have listened, remember there are 10 million people in LA County, and is the most diverse metropolitan area in the entire</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>

			<p>world! I would give much more priority to maintaining communities of interest and keeping changes to a minimum than the minuscule amount of public comment you are able to hear. Clearly there are special interests groups with agendas that this Committee was formed to see through. Please do not give them the same or even more credibility simply because they appeared over and over again at your meetings.</p> <p>Map F-2, while it has received much verbal and written support, the shape of it clearly screams gerrymandering. Why else would there be a very narrow band of land connecting the San Fernando Valley with coastal areas south of LAX? Utterly ridiculous! Or suddenly grab the UCLA/West LA areas and fold them into District 2. This map should not be under consideration and should have already been eliminated.</p> <p>Map G is better than F-2, but it needlessly creates an entirely new District 2 and for what? Other than creating an entirely new District 2, it is almost identical to Map B-3. The new working group formed to merge B-3 and G should simply make B-3 their choice.</p> <p>As the Mayor of Redondo Beach, I ask that you keep our City in District 4. It is much more aligned with the other cities of the South Bay and Long Beach, and has little in common with the San Fernando Valley, Malibu, or the areas adjacent to downtown Los Angeles.</p>		
OPTION B-3	Melinda Grotz	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Michael A Podue	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Michael D Molina	Favor	Thanks for keeping the South Bay together.	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Michael Deto	Favor	As the current Mayor of Hermosa Beach, I am asking you to support option B-3. It is important to our community that the Beach and Coastal cities remain together in the same Supervisor District. Our cities have unique issues regarding our local economy and desire to protect our environment. We are dedicated to protecting our coasts and we need to have a collective voice with our Supervisor. Our South Bay cities need to be united in helping solve current issues together, such as homelessness, housing and supporting our small businesses. Please keep our South Bay cities together and support option B-3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Michael Harbridge	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Michael Klug	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Michael Kuan	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Michael Santoro	Favor	Keep Santa Clarita and unincorporated North County areas separate from the San Fernando Valley.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Mickey McNearney	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Milan Mali	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Miriam Faugno	Other	Return to Map B-2 --- Protect our Wildlife and Coast	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Moira L Nelson	Favor	I live in Hermosa Beach and feel strongly that the areas along the coast be included in a single district as much as possible. We need to be united to protect the coast from offshore drilling, oil spills, and other threats to our sensitive environment.	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Myron Chen	Favor	<p>As a resident of Cerritos, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would be supportive of the revised B3-map to ensure the unity of Gateway Cities.</p> <p>As Gateway cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier to Long Beach to San Pedro. The Gateway cities together will allow Businesses and organizations to work together to lobby for the betterment of our cities, including lobbying MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and many more ways to support each other.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Nala P Blue	Favor	B-3 accomplishes the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process. In particular, it unifies, WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Nan Wilson	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	nancy Manning	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Nancy Yap	Oppose	<p>I am writing as an individual in relation to this map.</p> <p>As a leader in the Asian and Pacific Islander community, I oppose this map that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Splits the Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and WSGV communities of interest. These communities should be kept together and not divided. There is no reason to go right through all of them in creating these maps. - Separates the Metro LA Asian American and Pacific Islander Communities (Koreatown, Thai Town, Historic Filipinotown, Little Tokyo, and Chinatown) into three supervisorial districts. - Separates Hawthorne from Lennox and Inglewood, where many 	12/6/2021	n/a

			members of the Tongan community live and should be kept together.		
OPTION B-3	Nick Barnes	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Nick Mastro	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Nicole Burke	Favor	Please keep Janice Hahn in our district and do not change it! She has done wonderful things for the South Bay and no reason to redistrict us with the San Fernando Valley. Thank you!!!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Niecia Staggs	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Nora L Moreno-Hernandez	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Odette Pringle	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Pamela Combar	Favor	This is in the best choice for the interests of the the cities effected	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Patricia Ballew	Other	This is an acceptable map as it keeps the SFV together; am concerned as how the central part of LA is divided. This would be choice 2	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Patricia E MorenoUrueta	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Patrick J Furey	Favor	I am the mayor of Torrance - the 2nd largest city in Supervisor District 4. As such, I encourage the commission to adopt B-3 as this provides the greatest continuity of representation by the BOS - and continuity is most important to the operations of local agencies. In addition, B-4 will continue the great commonality of interests of the cities involved - employment, public transportation, shopping, education, beaches and, most importantly, the great diversity of which BOS District 4 is so proud.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Patrick J Healy	Oppose	Option B-3 does not make sense. Maintaining the status quo does not serve the best interest of the South Bay Community.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Patty Padilla	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Paul N Glasser	Favor	Please keep Janice Hahn as our county commissioner.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Paul Rousseau	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Paul Schlichting	Favor	Keep us with the coastal environs. Inland areas DO NOT have the same interests/priorities for their locales.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Paul Stansbjury	Favor	On behalf of the members of NAMI (National Alliance on Mental Illness) South Bay and from my experience and involvement in the Department of Mental Health Service Area Leadership Team of Service Area 8 (SALT 8) I want to express support for Option B-3 as it keeps includes almost all of Service Area 8 in one Supervisorial District. NAMI South Bay providers programs and services in the South bay and has worked with and been engaged in the Service Area 8 community to provide support, education and advocacy regarding mental health through the SALT 8. By keeping the Service Area 8 in one Supervisorial district it will provide a much more clear community of interest in these traditionally linked communities. The other options split up and divide the communities and the understanding, connection and involvement in services will be fragmented. I have witnessed the strong community interest of providers, non-profit service organizations, government entities in the Service Area 8. By keeping it in one supervisorial district like proposed in B-3 will allow this collaboration and more effective services to continue and grow. The proposed option B-3 also promotes a diversity of groups while have common interests. The other options would seriously fragment communities.This approach in keeping the SA 8 and these communities in one Supervisorial District is especially important and significant to the area of mental health as the county and the Supervisors are primarily responsible for mental health services in	12/7/2021	n/a

			the county. Having a suprviorial district that connects the communities of interest on mental health issue in this area is important to address the issues unique to this area. The issues such as mentally ill and homelessness, and increasing need for mental health services are common throughout the county there are special service issues and collaboration of organizations that are best served by being in the same district. The other options would create the fragmentation, confusion and less effective collaboration on services.		
OPTION B-3	Penelope Randall	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Penelope Randall	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Perri Veganes	Favor	support Map B-3 because it keeps San Pedro together with other communities of interest such as the PV Peninsula, and Beach cities.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Pornlert Pongsamart	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	PRISCILLA RODRIGUEZ	Favor	Thank you to the commission for keeping the gateway cities together in all maps for district 4. Organizations like LA CADA works	12/7/2021	n/a

			closely on projects with neighboring cities to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents.		
OPTION B-3	Punnpavin Herabat	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Koreatown whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Rachel Swenson	Favor	Best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Rafaela Falcon	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ramon Ponce de Leon	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Raquel Hernandez	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Raymond Jackson	Favor	Respectfully request you not divide the beach cities. — Support map B3 — As a resident and elected official of Hermosa Beach, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have unique issues related to the coast and our 	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted. <p>Thank You!</p>		
OPTION B-3	Rebecca OGorman	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	RENEE SOTLE	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Richard Janecki	Other	All of the options are bad for the South Bay / Coastal cities but this is less bad than others	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Rick Viveros	Favor	I have been a resident of Harbor City for over 50 years, we would like to remain with the South Bay Cities	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Robert A Maynez	Favor	As a homeowner and resident of Manhattan Beach with a vested interest in the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, I support Option B-3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Robert Gaddis	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Roberta Knutson-Ratto	Favor	This is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ron Iacopucci	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Roxanna L Natale-Brown	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Roxanna N Natale-Brown	Favor	Please disregard first response, I fully support B-3. Misread it the first time! Thank you	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Roxanne Workmon	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ruby C Brown	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Russell A Castaneda Calleros	Favor	<p>As a lifelong resident of Whittier, and as a member of the Whittier Union High School District Board of Trustees, I would like to thank the Commission for keeping the Gateway cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 option.</p> <p>I recognize that the Gateway cities are closely aligned with each other, from the cities of Whittier in the North to the cities of Long Beach and San Pedro in the South.</p> <p>The Gateway cities should all remain together. Businesses and organizations in all of these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Ryan A Welch	Favor	Map B-3 makes the most sense in retaining vital constituencies within and outside of my District. Communities have worked hard to gain their voices, and breaking them up would negatively impact our County's diversity of voices. We need varied interests strongly represented on the Board of Supervisors, and map B-3 best insures this diversity.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sal Failla	Favor	-	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-3	Sally Michael	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sandra Osmond	Favor	Keeps Foothill communities with livestock abutting Verdugo Mountains/Shadow Hills/La Tuna Cyn together	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Schuyler Kent	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Scott Oshima	Oppose	JACCC opposes B-3 because it divides Little Tokyo between SD1 and SD2. Our historic Japantown must stay united.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sean Connolly	Favor	<p>B-3 and G-1 are my top 2 maps.</p> <p>I believe maps B-3 and G-1 do a great job of combining historic communities of interest.</p> <p>But I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sepideh Shyne	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Shannon Ross	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sharon Alexander	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Shaun King	Favor	This is the best and most reasonable option for the South Bay to protect the harbor and marina.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sheila Anderson	Favor	It is the only map that makes sense for the South Bay area	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sheila Coyazo	Favor	This option is best because it retains a coastal focus for our coastal area and doesn't try to artificially combine us with areas that are far from us geographically, as well as regarding land use. It groups similar communities and is the most reasonable in terms of geographical area.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Sheryl Brog	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Stacey Armato	Favor	<p>Dear esteemed Commissioners,</p> <p>First of all, thank you for so thoughtfully dedicating your time for such an important, and consequential, event as redistricting. Your service is truly appreciated.</p> <p>In lieu of being able to call in this evening, I wanted to express my support for map B3. Although each remaining map has merit, B3 does the best job of keeping our South Bay coastal cities together in one district, and would also unify the WSCCOG in its district. For the South Bay coastal cities, we have unique issues related to the coast and our environment and in keeping our cities together in one district, it helps ensure our Supervisor would make those a priority.</p> <p>Thank you so much for your time and consideration in support of Map B3.</p> <p>Sincerely, Stacey Armato Councilmember City of Hermosa Beach</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Stacey Jones	Favor	Of the three maps currently favored by the Commission, G-1 and B-3 best achieve the representation goals of the Commission and redistricting process, and were created with those goals in mind. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Stella Hernandez	Favor	Please keep Lomita as part of the South Bay Cities	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Steve Falcon	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Steve Smisko	Favor	Makes the most geographic and land use sense.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Steven Gonzalez	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Steven Zuckerman	Favor	This option would keep existing interjurisdictional affiliations such as our Council of Governments, Sheriff’s operations, emergency warning system, local road congestion planning, and high speed wireless project intact.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Susan Higgins	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Susan Quam	Favor	Please use Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various	12/6/2021	n/a

			wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). The amount of team work that went on during the La Tuna Canyon fire was amazing! We need to keep our communities and mutual interests together.		
OPTION B-3	Susan Wong	Favor	I AM IN FAVOR OF Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Suzanne M McCune	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sylvia Arredondo	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Sylvia Boris	Oppose	Opposed to B2	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Tanya L Wold King	Favor	I live at King Harbor Marina and this is the best map that will keep the South Bay together and will keep the interests of the harbor and marina in mind.	12/7/2021	n/a

<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>tara Crimin</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>Beach Cities/Beach Cities/Support Map 64 1. Keep Cities Together Supporting map B-2 As a resident of Redondo Beach/elected official Janice Hahn, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together.</p> <p>Most compact, least gerrymandered district I am calling in to support Map B/ OP64 The Supervisorial districts are too big -- but until we add seats to the Board of Supervisors, we need to make the districts as compact as possible so that each Supervisor can represent their constituents as effectively as possible. Map B does that. According to your own scorecard, Map B has the highest Polsby-Popper Compactness Score and makes the districts as compact as possible. We need a map that allows Supervisors to be in their communities when they need to be. We need Map B.</p> <p>We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that. At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted.</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>Tara L Hurd</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>Tara Owen</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>The South Bay elected Supervisor Haan and we would like to keep her in our district. She has supported out communities and represents us well. Please approve map 3B. Thank you.</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION B-3</p>	<p>Taylor Gamble</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>As a resident of Manhattan Beach, currently represented by Janice Hahn, it is important to us that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. We have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including our concerns about offshore drilling and</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>

			the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach. These issues must be a priority to our Supervisor and keeping the cities together ensures that. At this pivotal point, we need to protect our resources, the beach city voices must be united and not diluted. I support map B3.		
OPTION B-3	Teresa Mando	Favor	Map B-3 keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Terry clapper	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Tessy L Valdes	Favor	San Pedro Resident	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Thiago Wong	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Thien-Tu To	Favor	As a resident of Cerritos, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map. As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>in the South.</p> <p>The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.</p>		
OPTION B-3	Tony Petraborg	Favor	<p>The South Bay needs its own representation, the culture and needs are completely different from the San Fernando Valley or Downtown LA. Districting should be based upon common interests, lifestyles and needs, not on gerrymandering for political agendas.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Tonya McKenzie	Favor	<p>I am writing in full support of Map B/ OP64 as a resident, a county commissioner, and a concerned citizen.</p> <p>In my eight years living here in Redondo Beach, CA, I have seen the demographic change and increase in the number of minority residents in the area. Fighting the segregation and exclusion of the past, the diversity issues are being addressed on a very high level with intention and integrity. With Bruce's Beach and the LA vs Hate activations, breaking up District 4 could stall the progress that we've been making.</p> <p>Also, beach cities have a very distinct number of issues around the quality of life of the residents here. They have to be kept together because the problems affect the entire coastal communities. The oil spills and other natural disasters that come up need to be dealt with in a way that is strategic and thoughtful and in the best interest of those that live in these community. The learning curve could cause health and safety issues. This is something other parts of LA county don't have to deal with and for that reason should not be broken up.</p> <p>Gerrymandering also needs to be addressed. This map is the least gerrymandered and we hope that you will also consider that.</p> <p>According to your own scorecard, Map B has the highest Polsby-</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			Popper Compactness Score and makes the districts as compact as possible.		
OPTION B-3	Tricia Lee	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Vadik Swenson	Favor	Best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Veronica Davis	Favor	This is the best option for the county and the South Bay. We need Commissioners who are familiar with the beach cities	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Vicki L Callahan	Favor	This is the best option for areas with such diverse needs.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Victor Manalo	Favor	B-3 is the best option which demonstrably achieves all the goals of the commission. B-3 in particular unifies WSCCOG cities in one district, which is not currently the case with the existing County lines.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Victor Zuniga	Favor	It is imperative that the ports of Los Angeles & Long Beach remain in one district for the benefit of the ports and of the region.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Victoria Fox	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Victoria Hernandez	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Victoria Vasquez	Favor	Makes the most sense. Downtown Los Angeles and San Fernando Valley are very different areas. The three areas have vastly different issues and needs	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Vince A DiLeva	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Vincent Manto	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Vincent Tiplado	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Virginia Butler	Favor	As a 40 year resident of Palos Verdes Estates, I'm strongly in favor of Option MB3. I'm also a local business woman and feel that it will best serve and maintain our community's best interest. Thank you for listening. Virginia	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Virginia L Wade	Favor	Keep San Pedro aligned with other local communities of similar interests, e.g., Palos Verdes Peninsula and other beach cities.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Wai Vong	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Walter Howells II	Favor	-	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Wayne Craig	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Wiggins L Wiggins	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	WILLIAM H LIPPERT	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	William Krouss	Favor	I support map b3 since it keeps San Pedro with other areas of interest like Palos Verdes and other beach cities	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	William McKenna	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	William S Fournell	Favor	Please support Map B3 as it keeps the South Bay intact and with our current 4th district. It is critical to keep our South Bay cities together for a number of reasons. As a resident of Manhattan Beach, 13 years as an MBUSD School Trustee and as a current board member of the Southern California Regional Occupation Center, I see day to day how important it is that the Beach and Coastal cities stay together. SoCalROC which has trained and certified thousands of high school students and adults in Career & Technical Education subjects over 50 years, operates under a joint powers agreement amongst 7 South Bay School Districts. We work closely together with LACOE,	12/6/2021	n/a

			our member districts, and hundred of affiliated South Bay employers on the priorities for CTE training in the South Bay. The demand for skilled employees in the medical, dental, engineering, and other trades will only increase with the funding of additional infrastructure investments. Likewise, we have unique issues related to the coast and our environment, including focused improvements with the Hyperion Plant to avoid additional sewage spills, our concerns about offshore drilling and the recent oil spill in Huntington Beach, and building out collaborative solutions to improve our storm drains to reduce polluting runoff. These issues must be a priority and we need focused representation by our Supervisor. Keeping the cities together ensures that. Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment.		
OPTION B-3	Wilson Dias	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Wilson Lee	Favor	As a resident of Cerritos, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I would like to support the revised B-3 map. As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Yanel Saenz	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	Yuli Huang	Favor	Map B3 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION B-3	yvonne daugherty	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION B-3	Zoe E Masongsong	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Abraham Santiago	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Adam Kroll	Oppose	Option F-1 makes a lot more sense than F-2. West Hollywood should be with district 3 to align with the communities of interest. We're part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments and to group it with Downtown and East LA doesn't make as much sense.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Adrian Neri	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Alejandro Juarez-Ugalde	Favor	Good evening commissioners my name is Alejandro Juarez and I am a resident of Supervisorial District 1 and I work with youth in the eastside of Los Angeles and teach indigenous traditions. I'm here to urge all the commissioners to listen to the community and adopt map 81 which makes modifications to map F-2. You see for decades district 1 has been home to the predominantly working-class Mexican/Latinx community, that has a long history of struggle and equitable victories. From the early 1960 East LA walkouts to the high school students I help get college today. Maps B-3 and G-1 puts our communities at risk of not having the needed representation we have fought so hard for, as well as diluting the political power of our black neighbors in district 2. Please support F-2 and take the following recommendations: 1) Remove West Hollywood and Hollywood from SD1, connecting them with similar communities in SD3. 2) Unite WSGV COIs in SD1 (Arcadia and Temple City to SD1). THIS IS ONLY PALATABLE IF WEST HOLLYWOOD IS REMOVED. 3) Collectively, this increases Latinx CVAP back to the +53% range we submitted.- Thank you very much.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Alexander L Starr	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Amber Goldsmith	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Amy Josefek	Oppose	San Fernando Valley has no relationship whatsoever to the communities of the South Bay. This map makes no sense whatsoever.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Andres Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	angela clark	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	angela clark	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Angelica Sanchez	Favor	<p>The modified Map F-2, keeps the Metro API communities whole and unites the API communities in the East San Gabriel Valley such as Walnut, Diamond Bar, Hacienda Heights and Rowland Heights. It also brings Temple City and Arcadia into SD1 and keeps them together with other API Communities of Alhambra, Monterey Park, and Rosemead. This is something no other map accomplishes.</p> <p>I urge the commission to NOT be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise the communities that we stand in solidarity with and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-2(Option Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.</p> <p>IN FULL SUPPORT OF F-2</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Ann Gotthoffer	Oppose	Combining the SF Valley and South Bay does not seem to make sense for residents in either area.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Ann Wolfson	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Anthony Dellamarna	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	April Verrett	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Audrey Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Ausitn Cyr	Oppose	<p>I am genuinely concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2.</p> <p>East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1</p> <p>Thank you</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Avron Daniller	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Barbara J Epstein	Oppose	<p>Makes no sense geographically.</p> <p>Far different needs and interests in different parts of this map.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Barry Waite	Oppose	<p>This map contains many twists and turns to try to make it work.</p> <p>Let's admit that it does not work and has too many peninsulas and tendrils within it to be practical.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Bob Anderson	Oppose	<p>UNACCEPTABLE! Although Sherman Oaks community is whole in district, district extends too far south (to Torrance) and this takes away from our San Fernando Valley Community of Interest.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Bob Wolfe	Oppose	<p>Map F-2 fragments the South Bay into 3 separate supervisorial districts, preventing the big picture overview that is so sorely needed.</p> <p>Map F-2 irrationally places the South Bay into 3 separate supervisorial districts, with District 3 ranging from San Fernando down to Rancho Palos Verdes. Neighboring San Pedro would be located in District 4, while Lawndale, Hawthorne and Gardena would fall in District 2. For transportation planning purposes, Map F-2 would prevent a unified and holistic approach to regional problems.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Bonnie Rogers	Oppose	We (Homeowner, Horse Owners, Tax-payers, Stakeholders) are against MAP F-2 because it inexplicably cuts out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. (I live here and am a Neighborhood Council member and tax-payer and horse owner and home owner!!!) and put our relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates my homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Brenda Harvey	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Brian K Chen	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Bryan Cook	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Bryan W Dalton	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Cameron Soholt	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Candice Cho	Favor	<p>My name is Candice Cho. I grew up in Walnut, graduated from high school in Rowland Heights, and live and work in the City of LA, where I'm a resident of Supervisorial District 2 and work for the Asian Pacific Policy & Planning Council or A3PCON.</p> <p>A3PCON is a coalition of over 40 community-based organizations serving the 1.5 million Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPIs) who make up 15 percent of LA County. Our members come from the communities they serve - Koreatown, Thai Town, Historic Filipinotown, Little Tokyo, Chinatown, and the West SGV, to name a few.</p> <p>All three maps being considered by this Commission (B-3, F-2, and G-1) break up specific AAPI communities of interest (COIs) across</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>the county. For example, Map B-3 breaks up Little Tokyo and Chinatown and Map G-1 divides Koreatown across three districts.</p> <p>Given these choices, please do not adopt Maps B-3 or G-1, which undercuts the voices of AAPI, Latinx, and Black communities.</p> <p>Instead, please adopt Map F-2 with modifications as put forth by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 (not Map F-2 as drawn by the Commission). These modifications would: unite the AAPI COI in East SGV (Rowland Heights, Hacienda Heights, Walnut, and Diamond Bar) in SD 4; unite the AAPI COI in West SGV (Alhambra, San Gabriel, Monterey Park, Rosemead, Temple City, and Arcadia) in SD1; keep Little Tokyo, Chinatown, Historic Filipinotown, and Thai Town whole and together in SD1; keep Koreatown whole in SD2; keep the Tongan COI in Hawthorne, Lennox, and Inglewood whole in SD2; and maintain a strong Latinx and Black CVAP. These modifications would respect and reflect input from AAPI community members, as well as Latinx and Black community members with shared policy concerns and interests, who belong to communities that have been historically underrepresented and underserved; they would keep our communities of interest whole; and they would more accurately reflect the county’s demographics.</p> <p>Thank you for your hard work.</p>		
OPTION F-2	Carla Torres Montero	Favor	-	12/7/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-2	Charles Mirkovich	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Christine L Rowe	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Clayton Cummins	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Craig Funabashi	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Craig Funabashi	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Dan Wentzel	Oppose	West Hollywood should not be put in District 1, but kept with other cities in the Westside COG.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	DANIEL DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	DANIEL MENDOZA	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Daria Miyeko Marinelli	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	David Lombard	Oppose	This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Deborah Lee	Oppose	combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Deborah Wolf	Oppose	Foothill communities section only	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-2	debra callabresi	Favor	We strongly oppose this map because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area. This makes no sense, and appears that special interest is trying to manipulate the commissioners into making this choice despite the ongoing pleas by this and neighboring communities to keep these residents collectively represented.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Delores Sutton	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Dempsey Garcia	Favor	Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People's Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index, you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.		
			Respectfully,		
OPTION F-2	Derek Steele	Favor	<p>My name is Derek Steele and I am a resident of Inglewood, CA. I work in Inglewood. I live in Inglewood, my children go to school in Inglewood. I am here, representing my family, my neighbors, my fellow community members. I would like to remind you that the work you are doing has tremendous impact on working class people, on historically impacted community members. You are on this commission and I just want to lift up the gravity of your decision... millions of lives hang in the balance. This is not the time to test theories. Lives and whole communities of people hang in the balance. because of that, because of the importance of representation and access and leveling up impacted peoples needs and how they are heard, especially the black community, I urge the commission to NOT consider Map B or Map G.</p> <p>Please move forward with Map F-2 with modifications, particularly looking at map 81. It protects historical communities of interest and maintains Black Influence District with 30% CVAP. It keeps the LAX airport in SD2 will serve as an economic engine and large amounts of our community work there. We need to definitely consider keeping Cal State Dominguez Hill in SD2 is of great importance. Without it, the other maps make SD2 the only district without at least 1 Cal State University. And lastly being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities.</p> <p>I know you are trying to not use race as the determining factor of how these maps are made up. But you can't ignore it outright either.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast.</p> <p>F-2 particularly version map 81.</p>		
OPTION F-2	Deslee P Mercier	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	DIANA MANN	Oppose	San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Donald Barron	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Donna Wright	Oppose	Does not serve the best interests of the beach cities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Dylan Merkwowitz	Oppose	I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	eiman farooqui	Favor	<p>Hello Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Eiman Farooqui and I live and work in the Los Angeles Metro area. I've was raised on the outskirts of Los Angeles County in Cerritos and I've lived in Koreatown for 2.5+ years. I'm writing to express my support of map F-2 with the modifications outlined in OP 081 submitted by the People's Bloc.</p> <p>The amendments outlined in People's Bloc map OP 081 would unite East San Gabriel Valley and West San Gabriel Valley in SD4.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>The map would keep AAPI COI's in Little Tokyo, Chinatown, HiFi, and Thai Town all whole and in SD1, and Koreatown whole in SD2. It would also keep the Tongan COI in Hawthorne, Lennox, and Inglewood whole in SD2.</p> <p>The People's Bloc map would center a strong Latinx and Black CVAP, and allow communities of color to elect the representatives of their choice in three districts.</p> <p>As it stands, Map F-2 divides ESGV (Walnut in SD1, and Diamond Bar, Hacienda Heights, and Rowland Heights in SD4) and WSGV (Alhambra, San Gabriel, Rosemead, Monterey Park in SD1, and Temple City and Arcadia in SD5). The LA metro AAPI COI's in Little Tokyo, Chinatown, HiFi, and Thai Town are kept whole and together in SD1. Most of Koreatown is intact in SD2, but F-2 is missing the rectangle bound by Vermont, Hoover, San Marino, and Washington. Additionally, the Tongan COI (Hawthorne, Lennox, Inglewood) is whole in SD2.</p> <p>As a staff member of A3PCON (a coalition of 40+ organizations that serve low - income, immigrant, refugee and undocumented AANHPI communities) and a lifetime Los Angeles resident - I urge the commissioners to adopt these amendments submitted by the People's Bloc to equitably and accurately reflect the lines drawn and informed by Los Angeles County residents and service providers.</p>		
OPTION F-2	Elaine Covington	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Emilia Hasala	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Eric Fader	Oppose	hen detail the reasons why combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a

<p>OPTION F-2</p>	<p>Eric Klusman</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>Subject Line: Protect Black Voices</p> <p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there.</p>	<p>12/6/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
------------------------------	---------------------	--------------	---	------------------	------------

		<p>Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully, Eric Klusman</p>		
OPTION F-2	Erick Matos	Oppose	<p>I am writing in opposition to map F-2. As a resident of West Hollywood, I am deeply concerned by this map because it lumps West Hollywood in with communities on the far east side of the county which have vastly different needs, business districts, communities of interest, and community associations. For example, the City of West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments. This map would annex West Hollywood from sister cities in that regional partnership, despite numerous areas of shared interest and concern. Please vote no on Map F-2. Thank you for your service on the commission!</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	ESPERANZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Eva Cicoria	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Faraz Aqil	Oppose	<p>F-2 map unfortunately stretches my home District 4 from Diamond Bar all the way to Rancho Palos Verdes. The cities of: Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights (unincorporated), and Hacienda Height (unincorporated) should be together with the San Gabriel Valley in District 1.</p> <p>District 2 unfortunately breaks up the West Side region. I urge that UCLA, Westwood (LA), and West Los Angeles (LA), be moved to</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>District 3.</p> <p>And I agree with some of the Commissioners comments that District 3 doesn't make sense because of how it stretches from Sylmar/San Fernando all the way down to Torrance/Redondo Beach. The beach cities should have a representative in the South Bay/South LA area. If District 3 stay the way it is, their representative will mostly be focusing on the West LA/San Fernando Valley regions, which means the Beach Cities will not have good representation.</p>		
OPTION F-2	G P Suddeth	Oppose	Combining representation for the constituencies of Beach Cities and San Fernando Valley makes no sense, will be a disservice to both.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Gala S Burkholder	Oppose	I oppose option 4-2 because Redondo Beach's issues are more different with the San Fernando Valley than with the beach cities south of us. Citizens who are united behind common interests are better served when their voices on their important issues are heard. Redondo Beach residents are more interested in how the expansion of mass transit could negatively affect their lives in a potentially negative way than those who live in the valley. RB residents are more concerned about the rise in sea levels than the rise in temperature of the valley due to climate change.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Gary Westerland	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	George E Jarrett	Favor	<p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People's Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of the Black community.</p> <p>As a longtime resident of Los Angeles County, and as a college educator in Los Angeles County who serves a primarily Latinx, Black, and Asian community of students at Cerritos College, I can see firsthand both the harm done by misallocation of public</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>resources, AND the benefit of having truly representative government bodies. Since Cerritos College's Trustees have gone to a by-district election, the Board has become much more representative of the community we serve, AND it has been more engaged and effective in leading the college.</p> <p>I expect the same for Los Angeles County. Personally, as a resident of District 4, I don't have much in common with the wealthy residents of the coastal cities and Palos Verdes Peninsula, nor do I believe that these people need any help getting their voices heard or needs met by County government. The SELACO communities that I live and work in, however, desperately need a voice in County government.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People's Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully, George Jarrett</p>		
OPTION F-2	gissela chavez	Favor	<p>Keeps the Eastside communities whole and raises the Latinx CVAP in D1 to 53%.The communities in the Eastside of Los Angeles are home to majority Latinx populations that share a common cultural and historical experience. These communities are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who rely on services and programs from social service providers with a long history of work in SD 1.</p> <p>Supports the Wilmington/Harbor areas ability to be grouped with communities that share similar socioeconomic characteristics and policy concerns, especially regarding environmental health. This</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			map keeps the South East Los Angeles cities and unincorporated communities whole in 1 district and grouped with neighboring environmental justice communities in one district with similar incompatible land use concerns, air quality issues, and toxics that harm our health, so that community can elect a representative that understand the health risks and historical disinvestment in environmental justice communities.		
OPTION F-2	Gregory Edmonston	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Gregory L Kelly	Oppose	It makes no sense combining the South Bay with the San Fernando Valley. The issues facing these two areas are completely different and therefore it makes no sense combining them and undermines our representation.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Heather L Hays	Favor	I endorse this map as it best segments communities by interest and population from the options currently available. The coastal cities make sense as a block, not across 3 districts.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Heather St Rock	Oppose	This is not a fair mapping to represent the residents of the South Bay cities. Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine South Bay's representation in Los Angeles County. There are extreme differences between needs, impacts and interests of the South Bay beach communities and the San Fernando Valley in terms of geography, climate, housing, demographics and many other impact areas. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and MUST be REJECTED!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Heidi Maniaci	Oppose	absolutely not	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Henry Fung	Oppose	As the developer of original Map F, I strenuously object to splitting the San Gabriel Valley into three pieces. That was one of the flaws of the original People's Bloc map (Map A), although they have apparently used this as an opportunity to bring back putting Hacienda and Rowland Heights in SD 4 to reintroduce the previously discredited concept of the Torrance to Pomona map. As for SD 2 taking in UCLA, the intent of this was to connect UCLA	12/6/2021	n/a

			students and staff, who are mostly renters along the 405 corridor and in Palms, to the campus while excising homeowners in Cheviot Hills and Brentwood as they are not part of the same community of interest. It also gives SD 2 another asset or economic engine as some commissioners have called it. But I do admit it may have been too cute by half.		
OPTION F-2	Henry Granville	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Hernan G Molina	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Hyepin Im	Favor	We support Map F-2 as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 so to Unite ESGV in SD4. Unite WSGV in SD1. Keep Little Tokyo, Chinatown, HiFi, and Thai Town all whole and together in SD1, and Ktown whole in SD2. Keep the Tongan COI in Hawthorne, Lennox, and Inglewood whole in SD2. Maintain strong Latinx and Black CVAP, and allow communities of color to elect the representatives of their choice in three districts.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	INGRID DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	IRMA MENDOZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jack L Epstein	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jaclyn Gonzales	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jacqueline Rivas	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jake Mason	Oppose	West hollywood and east LA do not share the same issues and concerns and shouldn't be grouped together. Thank you	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	James Crawford	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	James Light	Oppose	Splits up beaches and harbors which have common issues.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	James Mando	Oppose	against MAP F-2 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	James Phillips	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	James Vaughan	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jane A Obradovich	Oppose	There is no sense in combining the SF Valley and the South Bay as each area has unique interests and requires special consideration.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jason Bautista	Favor	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Jason Mayerle	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This looks like gerrymandering.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jeanne Lux	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jen Snyder	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jerrriane Rousseau	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jerry Gaines	Oppose	See B3	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jesse Murphy	Oppose	This option does not make sense. It does not make sense to lump San Fernando Valley and the South Bay beach cities together. This option is not in the best interest of the County	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jimmy Gow	Oppose	F-2 does not represent the best “community of interest” of the Torrance community.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Joey Mitchell	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	John Damico	Favor	<p>This map is not acceptable.</p> <p>If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	John M Erickson	Oppose	As a Councilmember, I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please do not choose this map.</p>		
OPTION F-2	John Mendoza	Other	<p>Vernon, Huntington Park and Vernon need to be included into Supervisor District 1. They share more community of Interest regarding environment issues including affordable housing and education. Crafting those cities into the 1st District and removing higher income neighbors community in N, Pomona into Supervisor District 1 makes more impact by breaking down the Iron Curtain line along east SGV cities like La Verne, San Dumas, Claremont and other similar cities that have preserved Supervisor D 5 white anglo dominance.</p> <p>N. Pomona above the 10 freeway N. of 10 share similarities. N. Pomona Council D 6 recently partnered with Claremont on construction of affordable housing. La Vern and N. Pomona Precinct 1-5 and a area near Claremont in N Pomona east N. of Grove Ave and west of Garey Blvd are share same school district and not in Pomona Unified School District. No part of N Pomona have a disadvantage community classification as what exist in South Pomona below and South of 10 freeway. El Monte, Huntington Park and Vernon share the same community interest with South Pomona. N. Pomona Ganesha Higher income neighbors share community of Interest with La Vern and San Dumas Supervisor 5 as related to Mountain Meadows Golf course and Bonelli Park/Puddingstone Lake and Bracket Air Port.</p> <p>N.Pomona FAIRPLEX issues such as a storm water project Measure W are partners with San Dumas, La Vern and Claremont on a water study to capture storm water at FAIRPLEX. Pomona North and La Vern community interest near close proximity to FAIRPLEX share environmental and social issues. Put Vernon, Huntington Park and Maywood in Supervisor District 1 is the right step to take and does not falter on the issues of community of Interest.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	John Steinbrun	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Jonathan Quick	Oppose	I am writing in opposition to Map F-2. I am concerned that the map is not sensitive to the unique needs and communities of interest here in West Hollywood, which are quite different from the cities on the far east side of the county.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Josephine Hrzina	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the Coastal Soth Bay cities doesn't make sense. This F1 gerrymandered map will undermine the South Bay representation in LA County. It must be stopped.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Judith A Trujillo	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Julie Armenta	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Karen Edmonston	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Karen Thomson	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Katherine Butler	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Kathy Banuelos	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-2	Kathy Wiechman	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-2	Kellan Martz	Oppose	West Hollywood should not be kept out of D3, where it has much more community of interest. Please oppose this map. F-1 is a better map compared to F-2.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Kelli Hines	Favor	Subject Line: Protect Black Voices Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People's Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.	12/7/2021	n/a

		<p>Our country and even our state have a long history of using the law and legal boundaries to decide who to privilege and who to marginalize. It is time to reset the districts to a more just and equitable map that truly represents the people who live here. They deserve a voice.</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index, you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5% in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. Respectfully, Kelli Hines		
OPTION F-2	Kerilyn Sato	Other	I am in favor of map F-2 with modifications. East San Gabriel Valley should be united under district SD4. West San Gabriel Valley should entirely be under SD1. Little Tokyo, Chinatown, HiFi and Thai Town should be kept whole and in SD1. Koreatown should be kept whole and in SD2. Lastly, Tongan COI in Hawthorne, Lennox, and Inglewood must remain whole in SD2.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Kevin McRoberts	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Kristie Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Kristie Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Kyle E FARRELL	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Larissa Lee Gadda	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lee Coller	Oppose	It makes no sense to group the South Bay with San Fernando Valley as the interests are very different.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lee Sweet	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lena Ng	Oppose	Gerrymandering	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Leslie Ogg	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lezlie Campeggi	Oppose	Ridiculous	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Linda Brown	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Linda Sibkhe	Favor	I am a former resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lisa Nelson	Oppose	Strongly oppose. Favor B-2	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lisa Youngworth	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Lucila R Lopez	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	LUIS MIGUEL MENDOZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Manjusha P Kulkarni	Other	A3PCON is a coalition of over 40 community-based organization serving and representing the 1.5 million AAPIs who make up 15% of LA County. All three maps being considered by this Commission are troubling for AAPI communities across the county. All three maps break up AAPI communities of interest that share historic, cultural, ethnic and socioeconomic characteristics, and common	12/7/2021	n/a

			policy concerns and interests. Given the choices being considered, I urge you to reject Maps B-3 and G-1 and support Map F-2 with modifications as put forth by the People's Bloc. These modifications reflect and respect input from AAPI community members on their communities of interest. They also allow communities of color to elect representatives in three districts, reflecting the county demographics. Thank you for your consideration.		
OPTION F-2	Marc Kravetz	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Maria Garcia	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Mark Mercier	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Mayor Bill Brand	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Mayor Bill Brand	Oppose	Map F-2 is clearly gerrymandering. This Committee was formed to see through this. Connecting the San Fernando Valley with coastal communities south of LAX makes no sense. Neither does reaching west to include UCLA and other areas and folding into District 2. This map should have already been eliminated.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Melinda Grotz	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Michael Harbridge	Oppose	No! How does this benefit the South Bay? Focus on the mess in the valley.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Michael Klug	Oppose	It makes no sense to include the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay because a majority of the area is not close to beach access and a harbor and does not have the same associated issues.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Nala P Blue	Other	If you wish to continue with plan F. F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Nan Wilson	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Nancy Yap	Favor	<p>Of the three options, this map with amendments would most strengthen representation for the Asian American and Pacific Islander communities. However, it needs some revision.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Move Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep WSGV together. - Move Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep ESGV together. - Shift the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole. - Extend the border of SD2 to keep Koreatown whole. 	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Nick Barnes	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Nick Mastro	Favor	<p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People's Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People's Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p>		
OPTION F-2	Odette Pringle	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Pamela Combar	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Patricia Ballew	Oppose	there is no relationship between the West end of the SFV and down to the coast; This is a horrible choice for the SFV, which is historically mistreated.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Patrick J Furey	Favor	I am the mayor of Torrance. Option F-2 is a horrible suggestion. Torrance is located just 20 miles from Malibu - the northern, coastal boundary, but it is well more than an hour drive - in good	12/6/2021	n/a

			traffic. And, what commonality of interests do the South Bay Cities have with the San Fernando Valley?		
OPTION F-2	Patrick J Healy	Favor	Personally, I used to live in the San Fernando Valley area and my family would often visit other cities in the South Bay Coastal regions. I can only imagine that this option would not be favored by xenophobes and white supremacists, citing gerrymandering.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Paul Rousseau	Oppose	Map F-2 combines the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay and makes no sense. We have very little in common and it will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Paul Schlichting	Oppose	This will greatly diminish/remove our representation, needs and priorities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Penelope Randall	Favor	<p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p>		
OPTION F-2	Penelope Randall	Favor	<p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index, you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5% in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p>		
OPTION F-2	Pornlert Pongsamart	Favor	<p>I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.		
OPTION F-2	Punnpavin Herabat	Favor	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Koreatown whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Rachel Swenson	Oppose	Combining the the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in LA county.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Rafaela Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Raymond Jackson	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Rebecca OGorman	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	RENEE SOTLE	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Richard Janecki	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Robert Gaddis	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Roberta Knutson-Ratto	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Rome Mubarak	Other	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Ron Iacopucci	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Roxanne Workmon	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Ruby C Brown	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Sally Michael	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Schuyler Kent	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Scott Oshima	Favor	JACCC supports F-2 with following modification: Keep Little Tokyo, Chinatown, HiFi, and Thai Town within SD1.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Sean Connolly	Oppose	I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify	12/7/2021	n/a

			West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.		
OPTION F-2	Sepideh Shyne	Oppose	If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Shaun King	Oppose	It is entirely inappropriate to jumble the South Bay with SF Valley & Beverly Hills. Absolutely opposed to this idea as it makes no sense since all of the money and focus would go to BH and NOT the harbor, marina, or South Bay.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Sheila Coyazo	Oppose	This is an example of extreme gerrymandering. I can't understand how anyone would favor grouping such disparate areas under a single County Councilperson. What is reasonable for a coastal area has no relevance to the San Fernando Valley, and vice versa.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Sheryl Brog	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Stacey Jones	Oppose	F-1 is the better option should the Commission move forward with map F. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 and to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exists in District 3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Steve Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Steve Smisko	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Steven Gonzalez	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is	12/7/2021	n/a

			missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.		
OPTION F-2	Susan Quam	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Susan Wong	Oppose	I AM OPPOSED TO MAP F-2 because because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Suzanne M McCune	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Sylvia Arredondo	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Sylvia Boris	Favor	Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81)submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community. The commission is making history as being the first independent	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1. Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for power-building are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

			<p>COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Sylvia Boris, Ph.D.</p>		
OPTION F-2	Tanya L Wold King	Oppose	Absolutely INSANE and ridiculous to split the South Bay and include the South Bay with SF Valley, Westlake, Beverly Hills, etc. NO!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Teresa Mando	Oppose	against MAP F-2 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along	12/5/2021	n/a

			Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.		
OPTION F-2	Thiago Wong	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Tomas C Castro	Favor	I want a map that represents the people of the district not one that benefits any party particularly that people of color are properly represented.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Tony Petraborg	Oppose	Combining the South Bay with San Fernando Valley, really, seems self explanatory. Different areas have different needs and this seems nowhere close to similar.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Vadik Swenson	Oppose	Combining the the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in LA county. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Veronica Davis	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Victor Manalo	Other	If they wish to go with Map F, F-1 is the better option than F-2. F-2 needs to change to reunite West Hollywood with District 3 & to align communities of interest. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG, which exist in District 3.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Victoria Fox	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Vince A DiLeva	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Vincent Manto	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Vincent Tiplado	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION F-2	Walter Howells II	Oppose	-	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Wayne Craig	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-2	Wiggins L Wiggins	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	WILLIAM H LIPPERT	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Wilson Dias	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	XOCHITL ESCALANTE	Favor	Es la mejor opcion para mantener a nuestra ciudad dentro de nuestras prioridades ya que nuestra comunidad es mayormente latina y de bajos ingresos	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Yanel Saenz	Other	Hello, my name is Yanel Saenz I am a resident of unincorporated Florence-Firestone in SD2. I oppose map F-2 in its current form and would support map F-2 with the modification that unincorporated Florence-Firestone be moved back to SD2. Florence-Firestone is a neighborhood that is historically a part of South Central LA and not SELA (Southeast LA). Alameda St was the racial boundary that existed prior to desegregation and influenced the development of Florence-Firestone and the other SELA cities into distinct communities with different histories. Although both Florence-Firestone and SELA share similar demographics with regards to the Latinx population, Florence-Firestone has more in common demographically (both Latinx and Black) with its surrounding neighborhoods of South Central, such as Central-Alameda, Watts, and Green Meadows and they have shared histories being part of South Central. As an unincorporated community we lack proper political representation and heavily rely on the Supervisor as a our sole representative and so redistricting Florence-Firestone away from SD2 will only cause further confusion for residents living here and further disenfranchise our community. Please keep Florence-	12/6/2021	n/a

			Firestone in SD 2!! I attached an article from Manuel Pastor that shows a map of South Central LA and demonstrates that Florence-Firestone falls within the South Central boundaries. I also attached a map of Florence-Firestone's current boundaries for reference (it is a page taken from a the draft TOD Specific Plan for Florence-Firestone).		
OPTION F-2	Yanel Saenz	Favor	<p>Hello, my name is Yanel Saenz, part of Juntos Florence-Firestone Together and I am a resident of unincorporated Florence-Firestone in SD2. I am in favor of map F-2 with the modification that unincorporated Florence-Firestone be moved back to SD2. Florence-Firestone is a neighborhood that is historically a part of South Central LA and not SELA (Southeast LA). Alameda St was the racial boundary that existed prior to desegregation and influenced the development of Florence-Firestone and the other SELA cities into distinct communities with different histories. Although both Florence-Firestone and SELA share similar demographics with regards to the Latinx population, Florence-Firestone has more in common demographically (both Latinx and Black) with its surrounding neighborhoods of South Central, such as Central-Alameda, Watts, and Green Meadows and they have shared histories being part of South Central. Moving Florence-Firestone to District 4 will contribute to erasure of Black residents that live in Florence-Firestone (around 9% of our population or ~5,700+ residents.) As an unincorporated community we lack proper political representation and heavily rely on the Supervisor as a our sole representative and so redistricting Florence-Firestone away from SD2 will only cause further confusion for residents living here and further disenfranchise our community. Please keep Florence-Firestone in South Central and SD 2 by modifying map F-2!! I attached an article from Manuel Pastor that shows a map of South Central LA and demonstrates that Florence-Firestone falls within the South Central boundaries. I also attached a map of Florence-Firestone's current boundaries for reference (it is a page taken from a the draft TOD Specific Plan for Florence-Firestone).</p>	12/7/2021	View attachment

OPTION F-2	Yolanda Martinez	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	yvonne daugherty	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION F-2	Zoe E Masongsong	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Abraham Santiago	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Adam Kroll	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Adrian Neri	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	al shayne	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Alexander L Starr	Oppose	This option is as bad as F-2 as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown L.A.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Amber Goldsmith	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Ana Connell	Favor	Keep Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena together	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Andres Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	angela clark	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	angela clark	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Angelica Sanchez	Oppose	Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to the residents of South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there.	12/7/2021	n/a

			<p>Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what the community needs.</p>		
OPTION G-1	Ann Gotthoffer	Oppose	This option does not make sense for residents of the South Bay in my opinion.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Ann Wolfson	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Anne K Keller	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Anthony Dellamarna	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	April Verrett	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Audrey Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Ausitn Cyr	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Barbara H Mack	Favor	Keep the unique small communities of Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank in one district please.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Barbara J Epstein	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Barry Waite	Favor	This map is acceptable to our city of Lomita in keeping us with many of our adjacent communities while maintaining reasonably compact and logical districts overall. Having been on the LAUSD redistricting, I respect the tremendous amount of work that went into all of these maps and thank you for your effort and patience!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Bert Johnson	Favor	Keep Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena in one district as we have many things in common and the airport commission is made up of people from each of these communities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Bob Anderson	Favor	ACCEPTABLE! Sherman Oaks community is whole in district. Does not extend too far south in county.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Bob Wolfe	Oppose	Map G-1 divides communities of interest in the South Bay, which bear common needs for transportation and other purposes. Most notably, Torrance and Redondo Beach would be placed into District 2 while Harbor City, Lomita and all the cities in the Palos Verdes peninsula would find themselves in District 4.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Bonnie Rogers	Oppose	We (Homeowner, Horse Owners, Tax-payers, Stakeholders) are against MAP G-1 because it inexplicably cuts out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. (I live here and am a horse owner, homeowner, tax-payer, Neighborhood Council Member) and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also cut these homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put	12/6/2021	n/a

			these homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.		
OPTION G-1	Brenda Harvey	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Brian K Chen	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Bryan Cook	Favor	-	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION G-1	Bryan W Dalton	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Burton Brink	Favor	Keep Arcadia attached to the other San Gabriel Valley Foothill communities like Monrovia and Sierra Madre. These communities work together on many issues. Breaking them up would hurt the residents in this area.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Cameron Soholt	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Candice Cho	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Cherokee-lar ODea	Favor	Please Commission The Chatsworth Lake Manor Community of the unincorporated 5th District is begging you to please acknowledge the concerns of our small town to remain in the 5th District. We have been working together for the betterment of our residents for the past 60 years. Our impacts have increased dramatically over the years and we are sponsored by the 5th District for our Rural Town Council. We have saved 17 commercial zones, donated 70 acres to our local park and had tremendous input on the General Plan. This shift can drastically affect our efforts and will force us to completely rebuild our infrastructure as a town. The lack of acknowledgement from the Commission to our Community is sad considering most of our residents are elderly or new to our town. We have had little notice and no matter how many times we sign	12/7/2021	n/a

			up for updates to the meetings the website has failed to properly notify us of meetings. Our dynamic as a Canyon community is highly affected by wildfires, psps and flood when rain is present. It is important to us as one of the smallest towns in all of LA County to have our concerns heard by this Commission. Please do not remove us from the unincorporated 5th District in the final maps. Thank you kindly, Cherokee-lar from the historic Log Cabin Mercantile Heritage, Inc. A cultural landmark in LA County.		
OPTION G-1	Christine L Rowe	Other	Map G-1 is the most compact but it divides the San Fernando Valley. Please keep the San Fernando Valley whole as in OP 78. Put Santa Monica with its closer coastal communities. Please keep the Flats of Beverly Hills with its closer communities. Areas south or east of Sunset should be together. This will allow you to unite communities. Westwood and UCLA belong with the Flats of Beverly Hills, West Los Angeles, Century City, Hollywood, and the Western Wilshire Corridor. Santa Monica also is more aligned with the above mentioned communities than the San Fernando Valley. Please keep the San Fernando Valley's 1.8 million people with Burbank and Glendale, Calabasas, and the unincorporated areas of West Hills, Lake Manor, unincorporated Chatsworth, and the Las Virgenes COI to the Pacific Palisades. We do have underserved people in the San Fernando Valley, but we try to be aligned with our neighbors rather than to divide our neighbors based on race and ethnicity. You received a comment in support of Map 78 and Map 86 from one of my Asian Neighbors a resident of West Hills. Tonight I was on a committee meeting of the Canoga Park Neighborhood Council. On that meeting, two members were Black, one was Latino, and two were White. We were discussing how to spend the Neighborhood Councils funds to support their community which is also suffering during this pandemic.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Clayton Cummins	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Craig Funabashi	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Craig Funabashi	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Dan Wentzel	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	DANIEL DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	DANIEL MENDOZA	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Daria Miyeko Marinelli	Oppose	<p>Emailing Letter to Commissioners</p> <p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

		<p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p> <p>I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People's Bloc.</p> <p>Respectfully, Daria marinelli</p>		
OPTION G-1	David Glassman	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	david hirschmann	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	David Lombard	Oppose	This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Deborah Lee	Oppose	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Deborah Wolf	Oppose	Foothill communities section only	12/6/2021	View attachment
OPTION G-1	debra callabresi	Oppose	We strongly oppose this map because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map F-2 has also has cut the homes on the south	12/6/2021	n/a

			side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area. This makes no sense, and appears that special interest is trying to manipulate the commissioners into making this choice despite the ongoing pleas by this and neighboring communities to keep these residents collectively represented.		
OPTION G-1	Delores Sutton	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Dempsey Garcia	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	denise anderson	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Derek Steele	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Derek T Ryder	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Deslee P Mercier	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	DIANA MANN	Oppose	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Donald Barron	Oppose	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Dylan Merkwitz	Favor	<p>B-3 and G-1 are my top 2 maps.</p> <p>I believe maps B-3 and G-1 do a great job of combining historic communities of interest.</p> <p>But I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which</p>	12/7/2021	n/a

			exists in District 3. Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1		
OPTION G-1	eiman farooqui	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Elaine Covington	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Emilia Hasala	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Eric Fader	Favor	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Eric Klusman	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Erick Matos	Favor	I am writing in support of Map G-1 as proposed. The map is considerate of regional needs, communities of interest, business districts, community associations such as the Westside Cities Council of Governments. Thank you for your service on the commission!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	ESPERANZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Eva Cicoria	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Faraz Aqil	Favor	Map G-2 is the best map I've seen. I love how District 4 compacts the SELA/Gateway Cities region. I like how District 1 keeps the San Gabriel Valley mostly together. And I love how the District 2 is finally including the Beach Cities (to the west) and is keeping the South Bay Cities compacted. I never liked how there was a U-Shape area that would isolate District 2 from the rest of the region. Now, to help balance the district populations (so that it moves closer to 0% deviation), here are some of my recommendations: -District 4 can incorporate the area from District 2 that's borders	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>the District 4 & District 1 area.</p> <p>-District 5 can incorporate Sun Valley (LA), and possibly either Pacoima (LA), San Fernando, or Sylmar (LA).</p> <p>Thank you.</p>		
OPTION G-1	G P Suddeth	Oppose	The priorities of Central Los Angeles residents are very different from those of coastal communities. Combining these two would be a disservice to both.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Gala S Burkholder	Oppose	I opposed G-1 because Redondo Beach's issues are more different with those who live in downtown L.A. than those who live in the beach cities south of us. Citizens are better able to come to common ground when they share similar concerns such as how they are impacted by living near the beach verses than issues of living in downtown L.A. Redondo Beach residents are more concerned with rising sea levels, economic development and how expanded mass transit could negatively could affect their lives than those who live downtown who would be concerned with increased smog, grocery shopping options and the inequity of education.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Gary Westerland	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	George E Jarrett	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Geraldine J Walters	Favor	Please keep Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena in one district!!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	gissela chavez	Oppose	You cannot possibly think it is okay to pair the political power of people who are struggling to keep a roof over their head, with Beach home-owners who are in the process of buying their next yacht. Pairing communities like mine with a wealthy coastal beach community will dilute my political power and will to vote for representatives and policies that represent me.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Gregory Edmonston	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Gregory L Kelly	Oppose	Again it makes no sense combining the South Bay with downtown LA. The issues facing these two areas are completely different and	12/7/2021	n/a

			therefore it makes no sense combining them. This also undermines the representation of the coastal and South bay areas.		
OPTION G-1	Heather L Hays	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Heather St Rock	Oppose	Combining the Downtown LA area with the South Bay will also unfairly undermine and underserve the South Bay's representation in Los Angeles County. There are extreme differences between needs, impacts and interests of the South Bay beach communities and Downtown LA in terms of geography, climate, housing, demographics, lifestyle, and many other impact areas. This map is also the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and MUST be REJECTED!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Heidi Maniaci	Oppose	absolutely not	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Henry Fung	Favor	<p>G could use cleanup in the Azusa/Covina area. In particular, the City of Covina has expressed interest in staying in SD 5 and I see no reason not to grant the request and swap Azusa and Covina between SD 1 and SD 5. At the north end, I would continue to redraw La Tuna Canyon into SD 5 to be with its rural communities. If you have to cut the San Fernando Valley keeping the northwest portion in SD 5 would be fine. I would place Lake Manor, an unincorporated community bordering Ventura County, in SD 5 as they requested which would mean that Chatsworth is in SD 5, and maybe put West Hills as well as this is newer subdivisions more similar to Santa Clarita or Stevenson Ranch than the part of the Valley developed in the 1970's and earlier like Reseda or Northridge.</p> <p>I have no opinion on whether the Black-White delta should be an issue that doesn't allow you to support this map, I just bring it to your attention. Overall G-1 may not be a perfect option but it still is a solid work, provided that the Black community's concerns of being outvoted by affluent coastal residents is addressed. It is very clear that White, affluent people are a squeaker wheel and more</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>savvy of requesting government services and intervention than Black, lower income people. The question is whether a compact, easier to understand map which is not so racially focused outweighs those concerns. In my opinion, they do slightly, but the leadership of SD 2 must continue to elevate those voices which have historically not been heard.</p> <p>Also, I disagree 100% with the commissioner who insists on pairing Palos Verdes with Torrance. Many Palos Verdes residents have already expressed they feel closer with communities to their east and not the north. The Commissioner's personal preferences need to be supported with data.</p>		
OPTION G-1	Henry Granville	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	INGRID DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	IRMA MENDOZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jack L Epstein	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jaclyn Gonzales	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jacqueline Rivas	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	James Crawford	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	James Light	Oppose	Splits up beaches and harbors which have common issues.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	James Mando	Oppose	<p>against MAP G-1 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3.</p>	12/5/2021	n/a

			This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.		
OPTION G-1	James Phillips	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	James Vaughan	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jane A Obradovich	Oppose	There is no sense in combining the downtown area and the South Bay as each area has unique interests and requires special consideration.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jason Bautista	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jason Mayerle	Oppose	Combining the South Bay with DTLA does not serve the needs of the South Bay community. The needs are vastly different, and the South Bay will suffer with far poorer representation with a map drawn in this matter.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jeanne Lux	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jeanne Vlazny	Favor	Please keep Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena in one district.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Jen Snyder	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jerrriane Rousseau	Oppose	combining the South Bay with Downtown LA.makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jerry Gaines	Oppose	See B3	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jesse Murphy	Oppose	This option also does not make sense to lump downtown LA and the South Bay beach cities together. It is not in the best interest of the County.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jimmy Gow	Oppose	G-1 does not represent the best “community of interest” of the Torrance community.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Joey Mitchell	Oppose	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	John M Erickson	Favor	<p>B-3 and G-1 are my top 2 maps.</p> <p>I believe maps B-3 and G-1 do a great job of combining historic communities of interest.</p> <p>But I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	John Mendoza	Oppose	What the Los Angeles County “Independent “ Redistricting Commission falter on is the realization that Vernon, Maywood, South Gate and Huntington Park are being crafted out of Supervisor District 1 and crafted into 4 Supervisor District in which their environmental issues of polluted water, exposures to toxic	12/7/2021	n/a

		<p>waste and air quality concerns will be compromised by not having the tools to elect a candidate of choice if a coalition reaching across racial barriers can not be achieved in racial polarization politic that often splits different nationalities. The cities of Maywood, Southgate, Vernon and Huntington Park continued injustices will not be overcome by crafting them out of Supervisor District 1. Furthermore, mapping of Supervisor 5 District along the southern boundary in cities like Glendora, La Vern, San Dimas, Pasadena, Bradbury, Sierra Madre, Glendora, and Claremont crafts the racial domination of White community members that has intentionally historically diluted the LatinoX community by gerrymandering around N. Pomona and Pomona who are insistent upon being in the SGV. Too address the Substantial Injury being mapped into all the revised maps that have crafted Maywood, Vernon, South Gate, and Maywood the LACRC "Independent Redistricting Commission" should make compromises by not Gerrymandering North Pomona north of the 10 freeway into Supervisor 5. North Pomona shares many community of interest with La Vern, San Dimas and Claremont. Ganesha Hills Mountain Meadows close proximity to Mountain Meadows golf course and Bonelli Park/Puddingstone Lake and Pomona FAIRPLEX. Areas in N Pomona in precinct 1 and areas north of Grove Ave and east of Garvey are crafted into the water district and school and college Citris College and Claremont. N. Pomona Council District 6 partnership with Claremont with affordable housing at Town and Bonita. A Measure W Water Project in N Pomona has been approved and San Dimas, Claremont and La Vern and Pomona with partner with a storm water project at FAIRPLEX. South Pomona below 10 freeway south have been classified as Disadvantaged lake El Monte and Easter cities like Huntington Park, Maywood, Vernon and South Gate. Gerrymandering in Supervisor District lines that borders N Pomona is a Iron Curtain preserving the status quo of Supervisor District 5 and the L.A. County Redistricting Commission should not</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			Falter to take command and bring down the wall.		
OPTION G-1	John Mendoza	Oppose	Want to PTYUKspeak	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	John Steinbrun	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Jonathan Quick	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Josephine Hrzina	Oppose	Again, Downtown LA , coupled with the Coastal South Bay cities, undermines the particular issues of each area.I	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Juan Garza	Favor	As Past Mayor of the City of Bellflower, I support further consideration of both Map B-3 and Map G-1. Of all the maps current considered, these two maps in particular have merit and best accomplish the overall representation goals of the Commission and Redistricting process. Thank you for your consideration of these comments and for your continued work in this process.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Judith A Trujillo	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Julie Armenta	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Karen Edmonston	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Karen Thomson	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Katherine Butler	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kathy Wiechman	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kellan Martz	Favor	This map is decent to achieve the requirements of the law and the redistricting goals, but should really be revised to include Culver City into D3.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kelli Hines	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Kerilyn Sato	Oppose	I STRONGLY oppose map option G-1. This map dampens the historic Black community and reduces their voting power.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kevin McRoberts	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kristie Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kristie Solorio	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Kyle E FARRELL	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Larissa Lee Gadda	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lee Coller	Oppose	While this map is better than F-2, it separates the south bay cities from cities of similar interests such as PV, San Pedro, and Long Beach	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lee Sweet	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lena Ng	Oppose	Gerrymandering too	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Leslie Ogg	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lezlie Campeggi	Oppose	Absurd	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Linda Sibkhe	Oppose	I am a former resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in	12/7/2021	n/a

			SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.		
OPTION G-1	Lisa Nelson	Oppose	Strongly oppose. Favor B-2	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lisa Richardson	Oppose	This map will combine the South Bay with Downtown LA, which does not make sense. This and Option F1 will move us into either District 3 or District 2, sticking us with an unelected new Supervisor who has zero experience with our area. No doubt they would focus on their current area of representation. With such a large budget and so much financial assistance and other support coming from our current Supervisor, this would be a huge loss to Redondo Beach, the Beach Cities, the PV Peninsula and much of the South Bay.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lisa Youngworth	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Louise Rozansky	Favor	Chatsworth Lake Manor and Chatsworth are communities of interest. I request that this map be adjusted to keep Chatsworth Lake Manor and the greater Chatsworth area together in the 5th District.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Lucila R Lopez	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	LUIS MIGUEL MENDOZA DEL RIO	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Marc Kravetz	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Marc Silverman M Silverman	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Maria Garcia	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Marianne Hunter	Oppose	<p>Commissioners, i am an ACTIVE VOTER. I CARE ABOUT THIS IMMENSELY PROCEDURE SND ITS OUTCOMES.</p> <p>I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81)submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>(Please add a personal statement here - a personal connection of why this is important to you/your organization, what community and areas your organizations serves etc)</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occured as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.</p> <p>Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People's Bloc. Respectfully,		
OPTION G-1	Mark Heller	Favor	I posit that proposed map G-1 best accomplishes an important goal of the redistricting process: "The geographic integrity of city, local neighborhood, or community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division."	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Mark Mercier	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Marlene Rader	Favor	I want to encourage the commission to keep Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena in one district, in G-1 option. These's three areas are communities of common interest, and should not be group in the San Fernando Valley. Thank you	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Mary Anne Been	Favor	Hello, my name is Mary Anne Been, I want to encourage the commission to keep Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena in one district. These three areas are communities of interest and should not be grouped together with the San Fernando Valley. I support option G-1.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Mayor Bill Brand	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Mayor Bill Brand	Oppose	Map G is better the F-2, but it creates an entirely new District 2 by including coastal areas that have little in common with the areas adjacent to downtown. B-3 is superior to Map G.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Melinda Grotz	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Michael Allawos	Favor	It has been asked many times by many cities that each city be placed in one Supervisorial district without being in two or more districts. This map although is improving but still bifurcates district	12/5/2021	n/a

			5 with district 1 and includes parts of Glendora in district 1. Also there is no need to have district 1 split district 5 at the Azusa intersection albeit district 5 goes all the way into the high desert the lower portion of district 5 has a natural barrier the San Gabriel Mountain range essentially cutting the lower portion of district 5 in half.		
OPTION G-1	Michael Harbridge	Oppose	No! How does this benefit the South Bay? Focus on the mess in downtown.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Michael Klug	Oppose	It makes no sense to include the Downtown L.A. with the South Bay because it is not close to beach access and a harbor and does not have the same associated issues.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Michael Kuan	Oppose	Combining the San Fernando Valley with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in LA County. This map is the textbook definition of gerrymandering and must be rejected	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Nala P Blue	Favor	G1 accomplishes the representation goals of the Commission & Redistricting process and was created with those goals in mind.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Nan Wilson	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Nancy Yap	Oppose	I oppose this map because it also: - Splits the Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and WSGV communities of interest. These communities should be kept together and not divided. There is no reason to go right through all of them in creating these maps. - Separates the Metro LA Asian American and Pacific Islander Communities (Koreatown, Thai Town, Historic Filipinotown, Little Tokyo, and Chinatown) into three supervisorial districts.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Nick Mastro	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Odette Pringle	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Pamela Combar	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Patricia Ballew	Favor	keeps the SFV whole; Is the best map in my opinion	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Patrick J Furey	Oppose	I am the mayor of Torrance. And, I encourage the commission to reject option g-1 for the following reasons: 1. It would remove both ports - which are major employment centers for Torrance and the South Bay; 2. It would remove all of the cities on the Palos Verdes Peninsula from our district; and 3. All of the common interests we share - employment, public transportation, education and recreation would be affected. Please adopt B-3!	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Patrick J Healy	Favor	Since Redondo Beach is a city in Los Angeles County this option makes a great deal of sense.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Paul Rousseau	Oppose	Map G-1 combines the South Bay with Downtown LA. and makes no sense. It will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Paul Schlichting	Oppose	This will greatly diminish/remove our representation, needs and priorities.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Penelope Randall	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Penelope Randall	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Peter Amundson	Favor	Arcadia and the other Foothill Cities of the San Gabriel Valley share a community of interests. The Foothill communities have similar issues and already have a long history of sharing resources, staff and departments to successfully deal with challenges to the betterment and quality of life of our combined populations. It is best for the residents to keep Arcadia in the same Supervisorial District as the other San Gabriel Valley Foothill communities like Monrovia and Sierra Madre, sincerely Peter Amundson, former Mayor of Arcadia.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Pornlert Pongsamart	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would	12/7/2021	n/a

			like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.		
OPTION G-1	Punnpavin Herabat	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People’s Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Koreatown whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Rachel Swenson	Oppose	How does it make sense to combine the South Bay with Downtown LA? These are two completely polar opposite areas with completely different issues.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Rafaela Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Raymond Jackson	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Rebecca OGorman	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	RENEE SOTLE	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Richard Janecki	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Robert Gaddis	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Roberta Knutson-Ratto	Oppose	Combining the South Bay with downtown LA again would not support our interests in our area and downtown LA would always take precedence.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Rome Mubarak	Other	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Romik Hacobian	Favor	Please keep Burbank, Glendale and Pasadena in one district	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Ron Iacopucci	Oppose	It makes no sense to change South Bay's districting combining it with other non beach oriented areas!	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Roxanne Workmon	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Ruby C Brown	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sally Michael	Oppose	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sandra Shlapak	Other	Chatsworth Lake Manor (which is to the west of the LA city line and north of the Chatsworth Nature Preserve) should be included in the same district as the rest of Chatsworth as we are part of the same community and have the same priorities. We are a rural community just beyond the city limits and subject to the same concerns as our close neighbors in Chatsworth who share our rural concerns of fire and wildlife preservation. We are frequently	12/6/2021	n/a

			ignored because our area is small but we will be damaged if we are incorporated with the areas to the south and east of us with which we do not share common interests. We are part of Chatsworth ... not part of West Hills or Canoga Park.		
OPTION G-1	Schuyler Kent	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Scott Oshima	Oppose	JACCC opposes G-1 because it divides fellow, vital communities of color, including West San Gabriel Valley, Thai Town, and K-town.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sean Connolly	Favor	<p>B-3 and G-1 are my top 2 maps.</p> <p>I believe maps B-3 and G-1 do a great job of combining historic communities of interest.</p> <p>But I am very concerned that my city of West Hollywood is disconnected from District 3 in map F-2. East LA does not identify West Hollywood as a community of interest, and West Hollywood is a part of the Westside Cities Council of Governments — which exists in District 3.</p> <p>Please reunite West Hollywood with District 3 in map F-2, or go with map B-3 or G-1</p>	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sepideh Shyne	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Shaun King	Oppose	Again, why would anyone think it is okay to group together the South Bay and Downtown LA? No, this is not a good idea as the interests are completely different. Do not approve this map.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sheila Coyazo	Oppose	This map is nearly as ludicrous in scope as Map F-2. Downtown LA and the coastal areas have very little in common, and shouldn't be shoehorned together.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sheryl Brog	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Stacey Jones	Favor	Of the three maps currently favored by the Commission, G-1 and B-3 best achieve the representation goals of the Commission and redistricting process, and were created with those goals in mind.	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Steve Falcon	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Steve Smisko	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Steven Gonzalez	Oppose	I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to oppose 2 maps, namely Map B-3 and Map G-1. We oppose Map B-3 because it divides Thai Town between SD1 and SD3 and is missing a block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. We also oppose map G-1 as it is missing that same block bound by Hollywood, Sunset, Western and Serrano. However, we support Map F-2 with modifications as modified by the People's Bloc in Map 81 as it keeps Thai Town, Little Tokyo, Chinatown whole and together in SD1, and Known whole in SD2. The Thai community needs to be kept whole and intact as we are comprised of limited English proficient, low-income, undocumented workers and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement. We need access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent healthcare. Thank you.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	steven P anderson	Favor	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Steven S Lamb	Favor	Both map B-3 and F-2 look gerrymandered. They each hack up communities with common interests and separate them out of Supervisorial districts. Map G-1 looks logical. It arranges Supervisorial Districts according to general geography, employment and shopping patterns, generally shared cultural events, in other words, this map places communities with common interests together logically in the same supervisorial districts.,	12/6/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Susan Quam	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Susan Wong	Oppose	I am against MAP G-1 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Suzanne M McCune	Oppose	Almost as bad as Map F as it would combine the South Bay with Downtown LA	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sylvia Arredondo	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Sylvia Boris	Oppose	Opposed to G	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Tanya L Wold King	Oppose	Combining the South Bay with downtown LA? No, this is not appropriate. Our issues are completely different.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Teresa Mando	Oppose	against MAP G-1 because it has inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, AND Map G-1 has also has cut the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put those homes into District 3. This separates these homes from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities in their area.	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Thiago Wong	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Tomas C Castro	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Tony Petraborg	Oppose	Downtown LA and The South Bay. There is no similarity at all in the needs of the two areas. Downtown LA has issues with infrastructure, housing and crime that need to be addressed and the feeling would be that the South Bay would not receive the attention from its representation because of the complexity of the downtown core.	12/7/2021	n/a

OPTION G-1	Vadik Swenson	Oppose	How does it make sense to combine the South Bay with Downtown LA?	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Veronica Davis	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Victor Manalo	Favor	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Victoria Fox	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Vince A DiLeva	Oppose	Combining downtown LA with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Vincent Manto	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Vincent Tivaldo	Oppose	-	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Walter Howells II	Oppose	-	12/5/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Wayne Craig	Oppose	Another map that Gerrymanders the South Bay into one which includes Downtown Los Angeles. This is not a viable option and must be rejected.	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Wiggins L Wiggins	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	WILLIAM H LIPPERT	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	William R Slocum	Favor	We request that Pasadena, Glendale and Burbank remain in the same district	12/6/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Wilson Dias	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Yanel Saenz	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Yanel Saenz	Other	Hello, my name is Yanel Saenz I am a resident of unincorporated Florence-Firestone in SD2. I oppose map G-1 in its current form and would support map G-1 with the modification that unincorporated Florence-Firestone be moved back to SD2. Florence-Firestone is a	12/6/2021	n/a

			neighborhood that is historically a part of South Central LA and not SELA (Southeast LA). Alameda St was the racial boundary that existed prior to desegregation and influenced the development of Florence-Firestone and the other SELA cities into distinct communities with different histories. Although both Florence-Firestone and SELA share similar demographics with regards to the Latinx population, Florence-Firestone has more in common demographically (both Latinx and Black) with its surrounding neighborhoods of South Central, such as Central-Alameda, Watts, and Green Meadows and they have shared histories being part of South Central. As an unincorporated community we lack proper political representation and heavily rely on the Supervisor as a our sole representative and so redistricting Florence-Firestone away from SD2 will only cause further confusion for residents living here and further disenfranchise our community. Please keep Florence-Firestone in SD 2!! I attached an article from Manuel Pastor that shows a map of South Central LA and demonstrates that Florence-Firestone falls within the South Central boundaries. I also attached a map of Florence-Firestone's current boundaries for reference (it is a page taken from a the draft TOD Specific Plan for Florence-Firestone).		
OPTION G-1	yvonne daugherty	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
OPTION G-1	Zoe E Masongsong	Oppose	-	12/7/2021	n/a
-	Pamela Farr	-	I live in Shadow Hills and am in favor of Map B-3 because it keeps the three (3) Foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon TOGETHER. They must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council. All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All three communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.</p> <p>I am against the proposed MAP F-2 and MAP G-1 because they both cut out a segment of the Stonehurst area out of Shadow Hills along Wealtha Ave. and the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road and put them in other Districts.</p> <p>Thanks,</p> <p>Pamela Farr</p> <p>Shadow Hills resident</p>		
-	Michael Calvert	-	<p>I would like to support the new revised B-3 That goes from Whittier to San Pedro.</p> <p>The Gateway Cities should be together. Businesses and organizations in all of these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch along with regional</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>projects to address homelessness along with other mutual constituents.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Michael Calvert</p>		
-	Diane Britton	-	<p>My name is Diane Britton, and I have been a resident of Whittier for nearly 50 years.</p> <p>I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4. I support the revised B-3 proposal. As Gateway cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the south. I believe the Gateway cities should all be kept together as we are closely connected with one another. Businesses and organizations in all of these cities work in concert to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness, and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents.</p> <p>The alliance of the Gateway cities regarding the homeless issue is of particular importance to me. For 25 years I have advocated for the Women's and Children's Crisis Shelter, Inc., which serves families fleeing domestic violence, who need shelter, support services, and resources. I also am actively involved with Shelter's Right Hand, which is the the non-profit, all-volunteer fundraising auxiliary to the Women's and Children's Crisis Shelter. Keeping together the Gateway cities is critical to serving ours and our neighboring cities' constituents who require our services.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			Thank you for your consideration, Diane Britton		
-	Penny Randall	-	<p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p> <p>It is incredibly important for maintaining and promoting unity that the redistricting reflect the actual communities within the districts and not politics. Please follow your moral compass when making such decisions. Our community, Los Angeles, is the most racially diverse in the country. We need to be a unity of people and voices. This includes South Central and South LA as being viewed by district by the economic reality and subsequent issues and priorities in the area.</p> <p>Minorities are in the majority in LA and the redistricting needs to accommodate these minority communities whose economic reality and issues and priorities are distinctly different from the more affluent communities the redistricting is attempting to pair them with. They will lose their voice in the political fallout of disenfranchising these minority communities such as South LA.</p> <p>The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

		<p>historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the US Census. This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic dis-investments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.</p> <p>Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the Redistricting Equity Index , you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there.</p> <p>Historic SD2 cities share similar economic hardships. 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities.</p> <p>For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%).

Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities, highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and voter turnout are also stark when comparing communities east of the 405 and on the coast. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.

How race, class, and place fuel a pandemic - Race Counts

Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.

I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People's Bloc.

Respectfully,

Have a great day.

			Penelope Randall		
-	Oscar Hernandez	-	<p>As a member of the community, leading youth programs and supporting over 3500 families in the city of Whittier, I would like to thank the commission for keeping the Gateway Cities together in all maps for District 4 and would especially like to lend my support to the revised B-3.</p> <p>We have worked closely with all gate way cities to align services and programs from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South, so it is crucial that we be kept together.</p> <p>As a Board Member for the Chamber of Commerce for Whittier, Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects, work on regional projects to address homelessness and support each other in serving our mutual constituents.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration .</p>	12/6/2021	n/a
-	Mary Buttice	-		12/6/2021	View attachment
-	Taj Lalwani	-	<p>Commissioners, I am writing to you to please be guided by equity and racial justice as you make your final redistricting decisions. We ask that you support modified Map F-1 (OP Map 81) submitted by the People’s Bloc. This is the only map that does not dilute the voices of our Black community.</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

The commission is making history as being the first independent commission to draw new district lines for the county. However, it is very disappointing to see the voices of our Black residents being overlooked at the expense of more affluent areas. South LA has historically been a place of residence for our Black community and it is the last place in the county where the majority reside. In the last decade the demographics of LA County show that the Black population has declined by 7.3% according to the [US Census](#). This is a worrisome trend that has occurred as a result of our community being driven out through racist policies, economic disinvestments, and gentrification. This trend will not improve in the next decade which is why it is important to draw a district that maintains the highest percentage of Black CVAP as seen in modified Map F-1.-

Map G, which is being put forward, has very harmful effects to our communities in South LA by pairing more affluent parts of the coast with South LA. The issues and priorities of these two areas are vastly different. South LA has fought for racial justice, affordable housing, and has been one of the communities most impacted by COVID-19. When looking at the [Redistricting Equity Index](#), you can see how these communities are on the complete opposite spectrums and the opportunities for powerbuilding are not there. Historic [SD2 cities share similar economic hardships](#). 74.4% of Watts residents live under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL), this figure is 55.6% in Compton, 48.7% in Koreatown, 46.9% in Hawthorne, 42.5 % in Hyde Park, and 38.6% in Inglewood. Just across the 405 Freeway, residents experience very different economic realities. For example, 8.2% of Manhattan Beach residents live below 200% of the FPL, a figure mirrored in other coastal cities (Rolling Hills Estates 8.5%, Palos Verdes Estates 9.7%, Hermosa Beach 10.3%, Rancho Palos Verdes 10.4%, and Redondo Beach 11.4%). Being paired with communities with more wealth and opposite interests will lead to political representation that

compromises the needs and interests of South LA in favor of the coastal cities. These differences stretch far beyond economic interests. In the midst of the pandemic, the average number of COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents was as much as [four to five times higher in historic SD2 cities east of the 405 than neighboring coastal cities](#), highlighting some of the health and economic factors leading to very different lived experiences. The disparities in home ownership, denied mortgage applications, subprime mortgage rates, uninsured population, drinking water contaminants, and [voter turnout](#) are also stark when comparing [communities east of the 405](#) and on the [coast](#). It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing South LA with affluent cities on the coast. The pairing of these communities is in no way, shape or form a type of reparations, bringing in assets like the LAX airport is what our community needs.

Map B-2 is also unacceptable as it reduces the representation of communities of color to only elect a candidate of choice in two districts instead of 3 like in the modified Map F-1. It does this by packing Black and Brown communities in District 2. The remaining 3 district would have the highest white CVAP. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County, where the white population only makes up 30% of the county and where minority communities are the majority.

I urge the commission to not be on the wrong side of history by creating lines that will disenfranchise our communities and that will take a decade to fix. Please move forward with the modified Map F-1 (OP 81) submitted by the People's Bloc.

Respectfully,
Taj

-	Yunju Melissa Wong	-	<p>My name is Yunju Melissa Wang and I'm a resident of San Gabriel Valley.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The West and East SGV should not be separated from each other in the wake of the San Gabriel Valley's burgeoning population of Asian Americans which has become a dominant cultural force. Several business districts developed to serve the community's needs creating a collection of Southern California Chinatowns loosely connected along the Valley Boulevard Corridor, Main Street/Last Tunas Drive Corridor and Colima Road Corridor. • The AAPI community in the SGV has a strong and distinct cultural association. Residents go to the same churches, temples, the same shopping centers, the same restaurants. Importantly, the AAPI community throughout the region share policy concerns – the anti-Asian hate that emerged during the pandemic is but one example of this. • The split of the SGV would create an uphill battle to elect a representative concerned about this community of interest and reverse four decades of progress that has been made for the AAPI community in this region • The Commission must keep the Asian American community of interest in the SGV whole. 	12/7/2021	n/a

			Thank you!		
			Dear Commissioners:		
			<p>My name is Jane Kuo, and I'm a resident of San Gabriel Valley. The West and East SGV should not be separated from each other in the wake of the San Gabriel Valley's burgeoning population of Asian Americans which has become a dominant cultural force. Several business districts developed to serve the community's needs creating a collection of Southern California Chinatowns loosely connected along the Valley Boulevard Corridor, Main Street/Last Tunas Drive Corridor and Colima Road Corridor.</p>		
-	Jane Kuo	-	<p>The AAPI community in the SGV has a strong and distinct cultural association. Residents go to the same churches, temples, the same shopping centers, the same restaurants. Importantly, the AAPI community throughout the region share policy concerns – the anti-Asian hate that emerged during the pandemic is but one example of this.</p> <p>The split of the SGV would create an uphill battle to elect a representative concerned about this community of interest and reverse four decades of progress that has been made for the AAPI community in this region</p>	12/6/2021	n/a

			<p>The Commission must keep the Asian American community of interest in the SGV whole.</p> <p>Best Regards,</p> <p>Jane Kuo</p>		
-	Michael Kemps	-	<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>The attached letter is being sent on behalf of the City of Palos Verdes Estates in regard to redistricting options involving the Palos Verdes Peninsula, in conjunction with and in support of our neighboring Peninsula cities. Please note that I am forwarding this letter in advance of what I'm told is a meeting occurring this evening; the letter is on our Council's Consent Agenda this evening; as I anticipate it being formally approved, I am sending it in advance of your meeting deadline. Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>largerlogo</p>	12/7/2021	View attachment

			Michael Kemps, Mayor City of Palos Verdes Estates		
-	Adeena Bleich	-	<p>Dear Commissioner Franklin,</p> <p>Thank you for your follow up questions regarding the communities that have high populations of Jewish residents. I submitted the letter and attachment to the County redistricting system as requested and sending to you directly as well.</p> <p>So appreciate your care and efforts for all of our communities County wide.</p> <p>Best,</p> <p>Adeena Bleich</p> <p>12/07/2021</p> <p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>Thank you for all your hard work to ensure an equitable and inclusive map for LA County. As a Jewish community activist, I join with the AAPI and Black and Brown communities in urging this commission to create a map that uplifts disenfranchised communities.</p>	12/7/2021	View attachment

We also urge the Commission to consider the Jewish community as a community of interest in this process. Globally Jewish population is less than .2%, though in Los Angeles we make up about 18% of LA County, comparative to the total we live knowing how few we truly are and how anti-Semitism continues to rise. Therefore being divided into three separate districts as many of the maps currently have us would weaken our voice.

While the Jewish population resides in many parts of the County and is very diverse, the bulk of the Jewish community has historically been nested in the existing 3rd district. The communities with the largest gathering of population include Santa Monica, Pico and South Robertson, Beverlywood, Beverly Hills, Bel Air, Cheviot Hills, Century City, Westwood, Brentwood, Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park, Valley Village, Valley Glenn, Studio City, Sherman Oaks, Encino, Tarzana and Agoura Hills. The largest practicing Jewish Communities are within the communities of Westwood, Pico-Robertson, Fairfax-Labrea, Beverly Hills Hancock Park and the areas between them. Valley Village, Sherman Oaks, Encino, and Tarzana have sizable traditional Jewish residents as well in the San Fernando Valley.

We ask the Commission to support a map that will keep much of our community together in the 3rd district or second best scenario would be for us to only be divided into two different districts.

		<p>F2 could accomplish giving the Jewish Community a collective voice while still giving equity to other communities of interest.</p> <p>The F2 map would need to do the following to achieve this task:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Include Pico Robertson and neighborhoods south down to the 10 Freeway.2. Expand District 3 further East alongside the southern border of West Hollywood, so that it can include the Jewish communities from The Grove, Hancock Park, and the Fairfax-Labrea area and everything in between. <p>Thank you so much for your patience and effort in finding a map that can uplift as many of our communities as possible. Just like so many communities of interest, in Los Angeles we are in need of a collective voice and the ability to heal our collective trauma together.</p> <p>We all need to be connected now more than ever and realize that our needs are all interconnected and the desires of our heart are so much closer together than apart. As I tell my 3 and 4 year old boys all the time—lift each other up—don't be jealous because when one brother rises both do and when one falls the same is true.</p> <p>If you need any more insight on the areas were Jewish community is I am more than happy to assist and can be reached at Adeena@hotmail.com or (323) 746-9924. Very much appreciate your consideration of our request and I have shared some history</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>of the Jewish Community in LA County that the President of the Jewish Historical Society of Southern CA helped me research.</p> <p>In Solidarity,</p> <p>Adeena Bleich</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--