Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Public Hearing No. 3 (12/1/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
4.a.	Adam Galia	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Alayna X Santos	Other	Holly Mitchell was elected in 2020 as the second African American Woman to serve in this powerhouse position as well as the only Black Woman Supervisor in the state. She represents South Los Angeles and surrounding communities including 9 different cities – Inglewood, Hawthorne, Gardena, Carson, Lynwood, Compton, Lawndale, Culver City and Los Angeles as well as the unincorporated communities of Watts, Willowbrook, View Park, Windsor Hills, Ladera Heights, and Dominguez Hills. She also represent our historic Leimert Park. I am concerned on how this district is being cut up. The proposed map that the commission worked on cut out Carson from District 2, which is a majority minority community with Blacks representing 23% of the population. And then replaces it with the Palos Verdes Peninsula, which includes Palos Verdes Estates, Rancho Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills and Rolling Hills Estates – 71% white, 23% Asian and less than 1% Black.	12/2/2021	n/a
4.a.	Anthony Samad	Favor	-	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Bridget Y Horan	Other	Commissioners should select option C for the following reasons: I have been a constituent of District 2 for over 50 years. I am also an Advocate for the Public Advocate Office at the CPUC working on the Climate Change Initiative. Option C is a more viable and robust selection when considering implications to air quality and health disparities. The cities identified in Option C enable representation of diversity in thought when carrying out the implications found in Cal Enviro Screen results. Combing cities alongside the City of	12/1/2021	n/a

			Carson will further complicate the complexities of issues addressed under Climate Change public policies.		
4.a.	Brooke Ervin	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Carolyn Fowler	Other	Please see attached recommendation uploaded	12/1/2021	View attachment
4.a.	David Uebersax	Favor	Thank you for your time, a minute of which I used to address a few issues from my perspective as a Winnetka resident, though I am also President of the Winnetka Neighborhood Council, and Vice Chair of the Los Angeles Neighborhood Councilwe've had our hands full with the City Redistricting. I will say though, our City Community Impact Statement included regrets that the LA City Redistricting Commission did not continue the Best Practice of the 2010 Redistricting, in reaching out to NCs for our expertise and Public Hearing structure to better gauge Communities of Interest, as well as serving as channels to further their Outreach efforts. It was most helpful though that working map drafts were available early, while this County effort didn't seem to include early iterations, steering rather to mapping software. Besides my and my wife's families' history, ranging from parts of District 3 from East Hollywood to Van Nuys, but also to San Gabriel Valley communities, I lived in and/or worked in Agoura for over 40 years. I was involved in the Chamber of Commerce and several City of Agoura Hills (Parks & Rec, Community Services, Open Space Conservation Task Force) until moving near my grandparent's humble old flat below the Silverlake, and then to Winnetka, so my wife's drive to her teaching job at San Fernando High wouldn't be longer. I remember well when District 3 was drastically changed as part of improving representation in the eastern areas of the county, in the wake of a lawsuit. On the other hand, I also watched the Valley Secession movement, which focused on resident's own concerns	12/1/2021	n/a

			Communities Of Interest in terms of ethnic diversity, but we also have a tremendously unifying feature of being in the San Fernando Valley and establishing us in a single District will accomplishes something more profound than separating us by special interest's priorities.		
4.a.	Dennis McLean	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	DeWayne Davis	Other	-	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Duane Muller	Favor	My name is Duane Muller and I am the Senior Executive Director of Government and Community Relations at UCLA; I am speaking on behalf of our Chancellor and our campus community. Throughout the County's redistricting process, UCLA has offered comments at public hearings and provided written comments to ensure UCLA and Westwood remain together in one Supervisorial district. As a member of the Westwood Community, we share strong historical communities of interest. We take great pride in working jointly to address community and regional concerns, and we want to continue being represented by one Supervisorial district. We are pleased to see UCLA and Westwood remain together in SD3 as outlined in maps 64, 65 and 66 – and also in Map options B-1, B- 2, F, F-1 and G. Please ensure UCLA and Westwood remain together in one supervisorial district.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Felissa Mannella	Other	San Fernando valley shouldn't be part of Redondo Bach jurisdiction. Suggest a tighter weave. Hahn has been a blessing for RBch. How can we keep her?	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Flissa Mannella	Oppose	Keep RB in District 4	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Good Citizen	Oppose	I want to point out district 4 nearly cuts in half African America and Asian populations and nearly doubles Latino constituencies.	12/1/2021	n/a

4.a.	Good Citizen	Oppose	I would like to point out that in map f1 you have taken historically underrepresented populations and separated them from a broader group of socially diverse populations, specifically those of the peninsula cities, adding them to historically advantaged communities such as the beach cities and Southbay region.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Good Citizen	Oppose	I would like to point out that the breakdown of African American populous in district 4, map F, will be greatly reduced, thus setting barriers for that group to achieve representation in the proposed map.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Gwen Hernandez	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Heather D DeCristo	Favor	I am in favor of B-1	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Heather St Rock	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Henry Fung	Other	 Historic Black-White racism is especially salient given the history of this country and of Los Angeles County. The risk of Whites block voting against Blacks, or the perception of risk, is something to consider when drawing the lines, although I have no opinion on how to prioritize this. The deltas (differences) between Black and White CVAP for the following maps are as follows: Subtract the WCVAP_D19 from BCVAP_D19 to confirm. SD 2 current Black-White delta: 13.78% SD 2 F-1 delta: 12.44% SD 2 G delta: 1.10% SD 2 63 delta: 2.09% SD 2 67 delta: 4.89% If a Map G-like alternative is chosen, I would recommend Map 67 be used. It maintains a relatively high delta between Black and White population. Other reasons like keeping Armenian 	12/1/2021	n/a

4.a.	Isabella Bacallao	Favor	communities whole and keeping LGBT communities whole are listed in the document. I would support moving La Habra Heights to SD 4, but not Rowland Heights nor Hacienda Heights as the San Gabriel Valley should not be in three districts. Thank you.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Janet D Kelly	Other	I am a resident of the 2nd district and am the Executive Director of Sanctuary of Hope(SOH). SOH operated homeless and crisis services for young people in the norhtern South Bay region that comprises the 2nd District: Inglewood, Hawthorne, Carson, Gardena, and Lawndale. Ninety percent of the young people we serve are Black and remaining 10% is Latinx. It is very important to our programs and young constituents to keep this region whole particularly the City of Carson and its assets CSU Dominguez Hills. Youth in a housing and economic crisis benefit from SOH resources and deserve not to have their power and representation split or removed as we are an important community of interest. It is for this reason, I strongly urge you (Commissioners) to decline Map B1 and B2 because of it dilutes the voice of a strong Black community and disrupts critical crisis service delivery to youth and young adult constituents in Carson; accept Map F1 because it keeps historical communities of South LA together and provides a voice and strong representation for Black residents and stakeholders; and accept Map G with modifications of keeping the City of Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSU Dominguez Hills from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2 (I have students from my program attending there); Carson has historically been connected to South LA and Gardena as recognized Black communities. As a resident whose quality of life is impacted by the LAX flight path, adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communitie like mine to now have a voice about noise and other issues.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	JEANNIE B HOBERT	Other	No on Map B1: Packs Latinos into two districts, including District 2, which takes away the African American voice at the Supervisor level.	12/1/2021	n/a

			Yes on Map F1 - Keeps Historical communities of South LA together and provides a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice. Yes, on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson is historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths		
4.a.	Jen J Dohner	Other	In favor of Map B.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jennifer Grijalva	Other	As a resident and mental health practitioner of South LA I oppose map B-2 And Map G as these maps would dilute the voices of black and brown communities. I am in support of Map F-1 with the addition of LAX into district 2. I urge the commissioners to adopt MAP F-1 and add LAX airport because these communities face similar issues such as displacement and gentrification hence we need representation that will work to tackle those issues and provide us with the political power that we deserve. Map G would negatively impact South LA due to the differences that exist within South LA and coastal communities. For instance, South LA has some of the highest rates of poverty, houselessness, mental health needs and educational needs in Los Angeles. Which is far different than the issues that coastal communities are facing. Our competing interests would cause even more inequities among the black and brown community compared to more affluent communities.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Joey Mitchell	Other	Let's hear what you all have to say	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	JOSE CORNEJO	Other	I have lived and worked in the North San Fernando valley for 20 year. For 30 years the people of the North San Fernando Valley	12/1/2021	n/a

4.a. 4.a.	Karen Klink Karen L DiBiase	Other	 have gotten treated like redistricting dust spread to the winds. Please keep the SFV together. District 5 and 3 in map B-2 does the best job at keeping the communities united. Make adjustments to District 1,2,4 simular to MAP G. For example move Santa Monica into District 2 as well as all of the south bay cities. Move palos verdes communities into district 4. Move Whittier to distict 1 I am NOT in favor of losing Janice Hahn as South Bay Representative!!! So I am in favor B1 and and opposed to F. Please do not do this! Dear LA City Redistricting Commission, I attended the zoom meeting on 11-22-2021 concerning redistricting and have comments related to redistricting as it affects my community of Woodland Hills, withing the San Fernando Valley. Attached are my comments related to Map B-1 and the process of keeping the San Fernando Valley as one community and district. Sincerely, Karen DiBiase 	12/1/2021 11/30/2021	n/a View attachment
4.a.	Kevin McRoberts	Oppose	Resident of Woodland Hills for 30+ years.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Matthew Wong	Other	We need to keep the SGV cities together. The API community also should have the opportunity to elect one of their own to the Board of Supervisors. I would prefer that the Board of Supervisors be expanded to at least 10 in order to reflect the diverse populations of LA County.	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Mayor Pro Tem Margaret Finlay	Other	Please see the attached letter.	12/1/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Michael W George	Other	Attached are two letters regarding the City of Carson and the County Redistricting Process. The first is from Mayor Lula Davis-	12/1/2021	View attachment

			Holmes and Councilmember Cedric Hicks in opposition to the City of Carson being split into more than one Supervisor District. The second letter is from Councilmember Jawane Hilton in opposition to the City of Carson being split into more than one District or being put outside of the City's current District 2.		
4.a.	Monique Bacon	Other	I have attached my full opinion NO ON MAP B1 YES ON MAP F1 YES ON MAP G WITH MODIFICATIONS	11/30/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Nathan Ail	Oppose	South Bay cities should have our own representation	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Norma D Citron	Oppose	The city of Calabasas is a small community of 23,000. It is imperative that our community voice not be separated by an arbitrary dividing line that literally divides our voice. Please map our city as one voice. Our community is best served by one dedicated person rather than divided.	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Revernd Eddie Anderson	Other	My name is Rev.Eddie Anderson and I Pastor the historic McCarty Memorial Christian Church in the 2nd District. My congregation has been in this community since 1932 and was pivotal in the integration of our county and nation. I am concerned that the alternative maps proposed would dilute the African-American vote by removing Carson and Cal State Dominguez Hills out of the district. Afro-Americans are a protected class and I implore this commission to ensure that District 2 remains a voter rights and opportunity district for my community. We face many challenges in our city, poverty, homelessness and economic erasure please do not exacerbate the dilution of black vision and voice for a multi- racial LA County by moving maps forward that do not protect black CVAP.	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Roslyn Warren	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
4.a.	Vanessa Bailey	Other	My name is Vanessa Bailey I am a 30 year resident of West Adams Avenues a 14 year business owner in south LA Vermont Knolls and a member of community coalition	12/1/2021	n/a

			We oppose Map B-2 and Map G because these maps will dilute the voices of our black and brown communities. I am in support of Map F-1 with the addition of the LAX into District 2. South LA and coastal communities have very different issues and priorities. The commission should adopt Map F-1 and add the LAX airport to SD2 as an economic asset for us. We do not support Map B-2 because it puts the Black community in South LA and the Latinx community in South East LA into one District which reduces their ability to have more representation at the county level.		
4.a.	Vanessa Poster	Other	I support option B-1 or B-2 over option F-1 as they recognize that my community, the South Bay of LA County, is a place that shares Communities of Interest (we use the same hospitals and doctors, our school teams play their school teams, we all read the same local newspapers, we shop at the same mall and go to the same movie theaters, and we live near the same refineries) Options B1 and 2 provide a more concise geographical distribution. Option F-1 does not recognize Communities of Interest, nor does it make geographical sense based on shared topography or contiguity.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Adam Galia	Favor	This is best option to keep all of the beach cities together, I believe that the beach cities have more in common with Long Beach, San Pedro, the Peninsula and Santa Monica than they do with Malibu and the West Valley.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Adam Schaeffer	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Aimee Kuan	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Alexander Clark	Oppose	This map is nonsensical and groups disparate communities together. I strongly oppose this map.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Alison M Arnoff	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Alyssa Watanabe	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Amber Goldsmith	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Amy J Wong	Oppose	Please reject Option B. This map only creates 2 districts where communities of color would be able to elect a candidate of their choice while Map F- 1 allows for the creation of 3 districts where communities of color can have more representation. The Black community has historically been able to elect a candidate of their choice in District 2. It is important for the commission to preserve this historical Black seat and create additional districts that allow for more communities to be represented instead of packing Black and Brown voters into one district.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	An Le	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	ANDREA SAMPSON	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Andrea Valcourt	Favor	Ms. Hahn has properly represented the South Bay and I don't loose that continuity and support. Thank you.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Andy Dellenbach	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Andy Shelby	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Ann Wolfson	Favor	I am in favor of option B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Annette Garner	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	April Telles	Favor	l support Map B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Aqueena Render	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

			·		
OPTION B-2	Armando Otero	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Aurora Rios	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Barbara J Epstein	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Barbara Shigekuni	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Betty Fekete	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Bianca Morgan- Sementilli	Favor	I am in supoort of this map	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Bill Ahlstrom	Favor	Support vigorously	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Bill Brand	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Bill Heuisler	Favor	I support option B-2 which would keep Janice Hahn in the South Bay. Supervisor Hahn has done outstanding work in the South Bay, built relationships with local leaders and groups and I would like her to continue in that role.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Blake Gillan	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Branden Rubasky	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Brian J Smith	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Briana Leon	Favor	-	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Brianna Egan	Favor	In support of retaining Redondo Beach in District 4 represented by Janice Hahn. Supervisor Hahn has been a clear-headed advocate for the South Bay area, including propelling the efforts to restore Bruce's Beach to the Bruce family and showing up at local events including LA County vs Hate and Stop Asian Hate events. She has a deep knowledge of the needs of the beach cities, including	12/1/2021	n/a

			environmental advocacy and has supported numerous projects such as habitat restoration on the Redondo Beach Esplanade bluffs.		
OPTION B-2	Bridget Y Horan	Oppose	Commissioners should select option C for the following reasons: I have been a constituent of District 2 for over 50 years. I am also an Advocate for the Public Advocate Office at the CPUC working on the Climate Change Initiative. Option C is a more viable and robust selection when considering implications to air quality and health disparities. The cities identified in Option C enable representation of diversity in thought when carrying out the implications found in Cal Enviro Screen results. Combing cities alongside the City of Carson will further complicate the complexities of issues addressed under Climate Change public policies.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Brooke Ervin	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Brooke Gillan	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Bruno Geoffrion	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Bryan K Stone	Oppose	Better than F-1 but not as good as G	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Cameron Soholt	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Carolyn Fowler	Oppose	No, on Map B2 totally fractures and disenfranchises African American communities of interest.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Catherine Barnes	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Charles Evans	Oppose	We oppose this map because it splits the Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and WSGV COIs. Hawthorne is separated from Lennox and Inglewood, where many members of the Tongan community live. It packs Latinx and Black communities into a single district, reducing representation of communities of color.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Charles Phillips	Favor	I support Map B-2 and oppose Map F	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Cheryl Tchir	Favor	LA County Redistricting Please choose option B-2 that will allow Supervisor Hanh to still be our County Supervisor. She knows and represents our Redondo Beach/South Bay area well. Thank you, Cheryl Tchir Resident of Redondo Beach over 50 years	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Christine Gaian	Favor	I want to keep my current supervisor Janice Hahn	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Daniel Barker	Favor	In Favor if B-2. Why this drastic redistricting the South Bay with West Side and San Fernando? Not even close to our area. Seems fishy to me. Opposed to F	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Danielle Anderson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	David McGrory	Favor	I 100% support the map that keeps the Westside and the South Bay together, separate from the Valley. I work in the film industry and travel to different areas of the city and county. What is necessary in one geographical and topographical area may not be in another. The South Bay is very uniquewe have our own issues that are only understood by similar communities. The main reason people move here is that "you don't feel like you live in LA". Let's keep it that way.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	DAVID Q MCCLURE	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	David Uebersax	Favor	The opportunity to unify the San Fernando Valley is an important goal, along with the COG, cities, and communities which safeguard the Santa Monica Mountains, though some of the current configurations don't quite accomplish that. While other districts have had plenty of advocacy citing the importance of diversity in consolidating boundaries, my community is among those that are already very diverse, as with my former home (now a rental) near	12/1/2021	n/a

			East Hollywood. This option also keeps Griffith Park in the same District as the rest of the Santa Monica Mountains, so that is admirable. While 078 does a good job of keeping the Rim Of The Valley along the Santa Susanna Mountains with the Valley as well, perhaps an adjustment of at least include Granada Hills yielding the West Side areas such as the Flats of Beverly Hills might serve to do so.		
OPTION B-2	David Wagner	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Deirdre Brand	Favor	We elected Janice Hahn to be our Supervisor! You are essentially, disenfranchising the voters AND enlarging our District's extant as to make it, essentially, underrepresented. Janice Hahn is our supervisor. The voters choose, the County does not choose. If you must redistrict, due it fairly (not by providing majority representation per racial classifications) and not during the middle of a Supervisor's term.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Delores Sutton	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Dency N Nelson	Favor	As a 40-year resident and homeowner here in Hermosa Beach, map B-2(64) is the closest to what we currently have, and I urge approval of this map! It keeps the communities of interest together here in the Beach Cities and the South Bay at large! We have been served marvelously well in the current District 4! Please keep it intact!	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Dennis McLean	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Derek Steele	Oppose	My name is Derek Steele and I am a resident in LA County, I work for the Social Justice Learning Institute representing and working on behalf of black and brown youth and community members mainly in Inglewood, Lennox, South LA, Compton, Long Beach and other cities where communities. Packs the Black community in South LA and the Latinx community in South East LA into one District which reduces their ability to have more representation at the county level. I urge the commission to NOT consider Map B as	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Diane Wallace	Favor	 commission to preserve this historical black seat and create additional districts that allow for more communities to be represented instead of packing black and brown voters into one district. This map only creates 2 districts where communities of color would be able to elect a candidate of their choice while Map F- 1 allows for the creation of 3 districts where communities of color can have more representation. Map B has three districts where the white population has the highest Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) (SD3 53.20%, SD4 43.35%, SD5 43.89%) This is concerning given the demographics of LA County where the majority of LA County is composed of minority communities. Map B-1 does not keep AAPI COIs in Central LA whole. Chinatown is split between SD1 and SD2. Little Tokyo is split between SD1 and SD2. Map B-1 separates priority AAPI COIs in Central LA into three different districts Part of Chinatown and Little Tokyo are in SD1 with East LA. The remaining parts of Chinatown and Little Tokyo are with SD2 with Koreatown and HiFi. Thai Town is with SD3 with SFV and Malibu. This includes the beach cities, which shouldn't be in the same district with areas of the valley. There are environmental issues which we must constantly address. 	11/30/2021	n/a
			this map Merges the Black community in South LA and the Latinx community in South East LA into one District which reduces their ability to have more representation at the county level. District two in this map does not incorporate communities like Carson and Hawthorne which have historically been identified with other South LA communities. Bell Gardens is not included with other South East LA Communities The Black community has historically been able to elect a candidate of their choice in District 2, it is important for the commission to preserve this historical black seat and create		

OPTION B-2	Dorothy G Moore	Favor	I favor B as it encompasses communities with similar concerns/interests. The SF Valley has very different traffic, types of businesses, cost of living etc.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Drew Boyles	Favor	On behalf of the City of El Segundo, I am conveying City Council's support for option B-2 to keep the Fourth Supervisorial District entirely under Supervisor Janice Hahn's jurisdiction. The South Bay has more in common with the cities of San Pedro and Long Beach than it does with Malibu and the West Valley. The City of El Segundo strongly requests to keep the comparable South Bay cities within District 4 to continue the important work we have done thus far and for our future success as a region.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Eileen A Hupp	Favor	The Palos Verdes Peninsula and San Pedro share strong bonds and alignment as a community of interest; based on large part on the impact of the LA Harbor & Port. The Palos Verdes Peninsula requests that we be included with the Harbor communities in any map that is adopted.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Elaine Kirschner	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Elizabeth E Ansel-burke	Favor	Support Map B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Elizabeth Tyndorf	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Elvis Cruz	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Emma Cali	Favor	Dear LA County Commissioners, I was born and raised in Malibu Canyon. I was born in 1988 and lived here until moving to NYC for college in 2009. I am now married and have a 2 year old son, and bought a house and moved back to Monte Nido. Now that I am able to advocate for my son, I strongly feel Map B is the best map going forward as it allows a local LA County Board of Supervisors representative to focus on our special area. The Santa Monica and Venice Beach neighborhoods are extremely urban. These two kinds of communities present very	11/30/2021	n/a

			different needs and lifestyles. Example, our very unique weather needs here. We STRONGLY urge you to push forward the proposed Map B and allow North County to have a dedicated rep that understands the community. Can focus on the community. And can bring together the best programs and resources for constituents. Thank you. The Franzino-Cali Family		
OPTION B-2	Emmanuel Nunez	Oppose	Map B has three districts where the white population has the highest Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) (SD3 53.20%, SD4 43.35%, SD5 43.89%) The majority of	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Eunice Song	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Eveline Bravo- Ayala	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Faraz Aqil	Oppose	As a resident of the city of Downey, I want to be in a district that represents the SELA/Gateway Cities region. But map B-2 divides our region into 3 separate districts (which is unacceptable). I also understand that because of our high Latinx population, we would dilute the Black voters of District 2 from having good representation (as this District 2 would focus more on the high Latinx populations of Downey-Florence-Lynwood). Therefore, I do not recommend this map. Thank you.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Francine Rios	Oppose	Dear Commissioners, I urge you to NOT pass Map B-2. The Black community has historically been able to elect a candidate of their choice in District 2, and it is important for the commission to preserve this historical Black seat and create additional districts that allow for more communities to be represented instead of packing Black and Brown voters into one district. Map B-2 only creates 2 districts where communities of color would be able to elect a candidate of their choice while Map F-1 allows for the creation of 3 districts where communities of color can have more representation. Again, please do not accept Map B-2.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Gaylord P Suddeth	Favor	Hahn has consistently listened to, and represented the South Bay coastal areas well. A change would be a disservice to the citizens of this area.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Gennaro Maisto	Favor	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	George Haney	Favor	I Favor Option B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Geri Yoza	Favor	I am a resident of Redondo Beach and support map B-2. I voted for Janice Hahn as supervisor and want to keep her as RB's representative.	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Glauz Diego	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Glenn Bailey	Favor	However, draw the northerly line along the 118 freeway instead of going south and dividing Northridge East Neighborhood Council and Northridge West Neighborhood Council into two supervisorial districts.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Guy Hocker	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Gwen Hernandez	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Heather St Rock	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Heidi Maniaci	Favor	Yes please	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Hildy Stern	Favor	 I support Map B/number 64 Map B satisfies each of the standards for districting for the Beach and Coastal cities. Map B allows for the least change and preserves keeping the beach cities and regional areas with long standing relationships and ongoing projects dealing with like issues together. It assures communities of interest stay together and minimizes division. Our beach cities and oastal cities have common concerns for the environment, protecting our ocean and coastal resources. We are a community with like interests who work together and 	12/1/2021	n/a

			with the county to effect productive, supportive change. The satisfaction of geographically contiguous and geographic compactness are clear. As commissioners you are tasked to create a plan that is not political and that avoids gerrymandering. Map B-2 is the only map that satisfies the clear redistricting criteria		
OPTION B-2	lgor Nastaskin	Favor	for the beach and coastal cities. I would like to keep our supervisor Janice Hahn as our representative. After all, this is who we voted for. Thank you	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Isabella Bacallao	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jack L Epstein	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	James Light	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	James Lobue	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	James Soldi	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	James Vaughan	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Janet D Kelly	Oppose	This map takes Carson out of the 2nd Distric, which is an important feeder and referral base into Sanctuary of Hope's programs and services.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jeanne Lux	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jeany Choi	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jeffrey Fedor	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jen Moriwaki	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jennifer Ramos	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Jennifer Wagner	Favor	As a resident of Redondo Beach it's important to me to keep Janice Hahn as our supervisor, because she is local and knows what is important and the needs for our community	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jerald M Parr	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jerome Chang	Favor	I support Map B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jerrianne Rousseau	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jesse M Maeshiro	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jesse Murphy	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jim Montgomery	Favor	I support Map B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Joe Geary	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Joe T Sisneros	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Joey Mitchell	Favor	Truest representation of the local community	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	John Ogg	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	John Steinbrun	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jon David	Favor	-	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jonathan Fredrick	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	JOSE CORNEJO	Favor	please keep the SFV together. District 5 and 3 in map B-2 does the best job at keeping the communities united.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Joshua Spizman	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Juana A Carrillo	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Judith Edgington	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Jules Davis	Favor	I would love to keep Janice Hahn as our rep	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Julia P Rosas	Favor	As a resident of Rancho Palos Verdes, and a vice president of a local community financial institution with branch locations in both Palos Verdes and San Pedro, I am calling this evening to urge you to keep our community, as the entire Palos Verdes Peninsula, with our community of interestSan Pedro. We are a Harbor community and would request that if our current district cannot be maintained, that we be included with the Harbor in any map that is adopted.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Karen Klink	Favor	In favor of B2 Leaving South Bay in the hands of Janice Hahn. Oppose F! What are you people thinking?	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Katherine Regan	Favor	I think having the beach cities in the same section makes sense.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kathy McLeod	Favor	I support Map B-2 and oppose Map F.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Katie Scholz	Favor	I am a resident of Redondo Beach. I support keeping Map B-2. I want LA County Supervisor Janice Hahn to represent me. Thank you.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kevin Conway	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kevin McCarron	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kevin McRoberts	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kimberly Watson	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kimberly Watson	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	KRISH R KROTHAPALLI	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kristina Zorn	Favor	I support keeping our current LA County Supervisor in place. Janice Hahn has done a tremendous job of leading with the best interest of residents and businesses in mind.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Kyle E Farrell	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Larissa Lee Gadda	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Laura Pena	Favor	-	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Lee Coller	Favor	Putting the South Bay with San Fernando Valley makes no sense. The issues are different, and they are so far apart I don't see how one person could adequately represent both. Keep us with other harbor areas (Long Beach/San Pedro, Redondo Beach)	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Leslie Ogg	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Liezza I Slywiak	Favor	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Linda B Smisko	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Linda B Smisko	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Linda Neal	Favor	Janice Hahn knows the South Bay inside and out and is the best representative for the area. Lumping us in with the West side and the valley is CRAZY.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Lindsay Meagher	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Lisa R Falk	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Lisa Youngworth	Favor	I elected Janice Hahn and want her to continue being our LA County supervisor. She knows our area best.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Liz Zamites	Favor	I am in favor of Option B-2 and am opposed to Option F-1.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Lois Olsen	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Lynn Zino	Favor	Our family supports this maps which keeps Janice Hahn as our representative. She knows our area and will be a voice for us. Thank you.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Marcie Guillermo	Favor	 Because it makes sense geographically and culturally, and does justice to the South Bay cities and communities. Why separate San Pedro or Long Beach from the Beach Cities/South Bay? What is the end goal of the supporters and commissioners with map F-1 option? Wouldn't expanding the Board of Supervisors members give better representation? Would appreciate your sensitivity to my community when casting your vote. Thanks. 	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Margaret Bottcher	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Margaret Gilmore	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Margaret K Dean	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Mario Ramirez	Favor	Supervisor Hahn knows our area and has been a staunch supporter of local issues.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Mark Goldstein	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Mark Narain	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Martin Falk	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Matthew Wong	Oppose	It splits Temple City apart from Arcadia.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michael Gavola	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michael J Elkins	Favor	Keep the South Bay in Janice Hahn's district. She is familiar with our issues and cares.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION		_			_
B-2	Michael J Fekete	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michael Kuan	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michael Martin	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michael Molina	Favor	Issues surrounding goods movement and the impact to the local communities are better mitigated when the Ports of Long Beach/Los Angeles AND Los Angeles International Airport are represented by the same Supervisor. I support B because all three facilities are within the same district. The Ports and LAX are strong economic engines, but they also have significant impact to local communities, including traffic and environmental issues. Having one Supervisor represent the impacted communities is vital.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michael W George	Other	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Michelle L Barnes	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Miguel A Carrillo	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Miguel Dominguez	Oppose	Map B has three districts where the white population has the highest Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) (SD3 53.20%, SD4 43.35%, SD5 43.89%) This is concerning given the demographics of LA County where the majority of LA County is composed of minority communities.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Moira L Nelson	Favor	When I look at the various options for redistricting, the one I would prefer to see chosen is B-2. It looks to me like the one that best fits the community I feel part of and that will best represent my needs and interests.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Nathan Ail	Oppose	South Bay cities should have our own representation	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Nelda Newman	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Nick Barnes	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Norbert Tan	Oppose	 Splits the Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and WSGV communities of interest. Thai Town is in SD 3. Separates the Metro LA AAPI COIs (Ktown, Thai Town, HiFi, Little Tokyo, and Chinatown) into three supervisorial districts. Separates Hawthorne from Lennox and Inglewood, where many members of the Tongan community live. Packs Latinx and Black communities into a district, reducing representation of communities of color. 	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Olga L Ayala	Favor	I live in the Sylmar community where we relay with one and other for information in services and support. There is a lot of community members that depend on calling the neightbor, the relative for servcies information and if we do not have consistency in representation, then this creates a huge problem of access to services for its residents. The North Valley should all be kept in District 3.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	P Rousseau	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	pam mckniff	Favor	I currently live in Janice Hahn's district and voted for her. I DO NOT wish to be assigned a new supervisor that never came up to vote and is not familiar with the area. Please use B-2.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Patrice Fisher	Oppose	I strongly oppose Option B-2	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Patricia Yates	Favor	Our coastal towns need to stay together - we have specific issues that apply to our communities. This is the most compact option, which is best for our hardworking Supervisors.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Patrick D Webster	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Paul Edgington	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	PEGGY A GILHOOLY	Favor	peggy gilhooly is in favor of keeping supervisor Hahn for our district. She understands the beach cities due to her history and is better suited than someone who is not from here thanks you	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Rachel Swenson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Rashina Young	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Raymond Jackson	Favor	This is the right option for our city.	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Rebecca M Cochran	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Rebecca Tortorelli	Favor	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Revati Anvekar	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Robert Kirschner	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Robert W Roeck	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Robin Garfield	Oppose	Please keep option B1 and do not redistrict.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Ron Spohn	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Ronald Hopkins	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Ronald J Neer	Favor	I support Map B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Rose Marziale	Favor	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Ryan Moody	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Sam Bitter	Favor	I support this districting that keeps South Bay as a single and unique entity.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Sam Dailey	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Sara L Martin	Favor	Janice Hahn has lived in our coastal district all her life. She understands and effectively advocates for us in dealing with the many issues which are unique to the coast To replace her with anyone who doesn't live on the coast, and has never shown any interest in our issues, would leave the coast - upon which so much commerce, national security, and quality of life depends - without effective representation.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Scott Hayes	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Sharon Liao	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Shaun W King	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Sheila Anderson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Sheila W Lamb	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Shekinah Deocares	Oppose	I oppose Map B 1 because it does not keep AAPI COIs in Central LA whole. Chinatown is split between SD1 and SD2. Little Tokyo is split between SD1 and SD2. Map B-1 separates priority AAPI COIs in Central LA into three different districts. Part of Chinatown and Little Tokyo are in SD1 with East LA. The remaining parts of Chinatown and Little Tokyo are with SD2 with Koreatown and HiFi. Thai Town is with SD3 with SFV and Malibu. Residents of these communities want to keep the historic Asian American neighborhoods unified in one district. f you split up our communities, you will play a role in effectively silencing LA's historic AAPI communities and taking away much needed resources from our community's businesses, families, and children.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION	Sheryl Brog	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
B-2 OPTION B-2	Steve Smisko	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Steven Ybarra	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Steven Zuckerman	Favor	This option best fulfills the requirements of our city's 9 factor decision matrix, wherein its close conformance to the South Bay Cities Council of Government is a very important factor.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Stuart Watson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Susan Ahlstrom	Favor	Support vigorously	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Taimi Crowell	Favor	We want to keep Janis Hahn as our supervisor. I am in favor of Option B-2 NO OTHERS. B-2 ONLY!	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Tanya L Wold King	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Tara Owen	Favor	Please keep the South Bay in Supervisor Haan's district. We elected her and we want to keep her!	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Tashia Hinchliffe	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Teresa R Mando	Oppose	Map B-2 continues to inexplicably cut out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst along Wealtha and put those relatively few homes into District 3, but now has also has cut out Hansen Dam, the Big T wash, most of Lake View Terrace, and La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put them into District 3, leaving Shadow Hills and most of Stonehurst in District 5. This separates the horse- keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities from one another.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Teresa Rankin	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION B-2	Terry Maggay	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Todd Loewenstein	Favor	I am Redondo Beach City Councilmember Todd Loewenstein and I am strongly opposed to changing the district maps, and in favor of Option B-2. Supervisor Hahn has done a stellar job in representing the City of Redondo Beach with the Board of Supervisors. She has helped fund our pallet shelter program for the unhoused, supported us with our innovative homeless court, and been a champion opposing the extension of the operations of the AES Redondo Beach Power Plant, among other things. She has been one of our best friends in supporting the residents of this town. I see no reason to prevent her from continuing to represent the South Bay. Thank you for your support of B-2! Todd Loewenstein, City Councilmember, District 2, Redondo Beach	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	tom pacheco	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	True SGV	Favor	A true SGV COI should fall under one whole District 1. Map B-2 is the closest map that depicts SGV COI. Please support map B-2 with the following amendments that D1's northern border ends with cities along the 210 fwy, the western border end along the 710 fwy, and putting Whittier, Downey, Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk, La Mirada, Artesia, and Cerritos with other gateway cities of D4.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Vadik Swenson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Vanessa Bailey	Oppose	Being paired with communities with opposite interests will lead to political representation that compromises the needs and interests of South LA residents. It is vital that our communities of color have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Vanessa Poster	Favor	I support option B-1 or B-2 over option F-1 as they recognize that my community, the South Bay of LA County, is a place that shares	12/1/2021	n/a

			Communities of Interest (we use the same hospitals and doctors, our school teams play their school teams, we all read the same local newspapers, we shop at the same mall and go to the same movie theaters, and we live near the same refineries) Options B1 and 2 provide a more concise geographical distribution. Option F-1 does not recognize Communities of Interest, nor does it make		
OPTION B-2	Vernon Cross	Favor	geographical sense based on shared topography or contiguity. I urge you to adopt Map B According to your own scorecard, Map B scores the best in every category not just in comparison to Map F but to every map you have considered so far. You, Commissioners, were appointed to implement a redistricting plan that is not political, and that avoids gerrymandering. It is clear the Option B is the only one that truly does that. It is also the most compact, and has the best scores on CSA splits, NC splits, and in Communities of Interest. These things matter and that means you should adopt Map B.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Walter Howells II	Favor	It is ridiculous to remove Supervisor Janice Hahn from the current demographic she represents. The entire Southbay needs cohesive representation to further our unique goals.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Wayne Craig	Favor	Janice Hahn is doing an excellent job representing our community in the Beach Cities. I favor Map option B-2 as making any changes now would place a representative that our district never voted for in office, or has a clear grasp of the issues impacting our region.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	William Akre	Favor	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	William Kelley Jr	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	William Mickelson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	William Vaughn	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Yolanda Fuentes	Favor	Sylmar in the North Valley should all be kept in district 3. That you are in support of district B-2	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Adam Galia	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Adam Schaeffer	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Aimee Kuan	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Alexander Clark	Favor	I support map F-1 because it groups the west side beach communities together as well as creating two Latino-majority districts and a Black-majority district. This represents communities of interest well and allows communities to band together to elect representatives that are truly representative of the communities.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Alison M Arnoff	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Amber Goldsmith	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Amy J Wong	Favor	 My name is Amy Wong, I work at Active San Gabriel Valley, a community based nonprofit dedicated to creating a more sustainable, equitable, livable SGV. Please support Option F-1 with changes. We support the People's Bloc recommendations and urge you to support Map F-1 with these changes: 1. Move Arcadia and Temple City into SD1 to keep our API communities in the West SGV united and whole. 2. Move Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights to SD4, which keeps our API community in the East SGV united and whole. 3. Move Montebello and Pico Rivera into SD1 to increase the Latinx CVAP. These changes will help create 2 Latinx majority districts, keep our AAPI community in the SGV united and whole, and maintain SD2 as a Black influenced district. We also appreciate how Pomona is included with the rest of the San Gabriel Valley in SD1. Please keep 	12/1/2021	n/a

			Pomona in SD1 as it shares many similarities with other Latino- majority, working-class communities in the San Gabriel Valley.		
OPTION F-1	An Le	Favor	In favor, but with modifications	12/1/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-1	ANDREA SAMPSON	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Andrea Valcourt	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Andy Dellenbach	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Andy Shelby	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Annette Garner	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Anthony Samad	Favor	Keep the Second District in its present boundaries, which keeps historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	April Telles	Oppose	l oppose Map F	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Armando Otero	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Barbara J Epstein	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Barbara Shigekuni	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Betty Fekete	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bianca Morgan- Sementilli	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bill Ahlstrom	Oppose	Oppose vigorously	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bill Brand	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Bill Heuisler	Oppose	I oppose this map that would remove Supervisor Hahn from the South Bay.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Blake Gillan	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Branden Rubasky	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Brandi S Murdock	Favor	I am in favor as this keeps Historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Brian J Smith	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Brianna Egan	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bridget Y Horan	Favor	Commissioners should select option C for the following reasons: I have been a constituent of District 2 for over 50 years. I am also an Advocate for the Public Advocate Office at the CPUC working on the Climate Change Initiative. Option C is a more viable and robust selection when considering implications to air quality and health disparities. The cities identified in Option C enable representation of diversity in thought when carrying out the implications found in Cal Enviro Screen results. Combing cities alongside the City of Carson will further complicate the complexities of issues addressed under Climate Change public policies.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bridget Y Horan	Favor	I encourage the Commissioners should select option F-1 for the following reasons: I have been a constituent of Supv. District 2 for over 50 years. I am also an Advocate for the Public Advocate Office at the CPUC working on the Climate Change Initiative (individual comments). Option F-1 to PROTECTION OF HISTORIC AFRICAN- AMERICAN DISTRICT 2, so that African Americans can be represented "at the table" by electing a candidate of their own choice. Option F-1 is a more viable and robust selection when considering implications to air quality and health disparities. The cities identified in Option F-1 enable representation of diversity in thought when carrying out the implications found in Cal Enviro	12/1/2021	n/a

			Screen results. Combining cities alongside the City of Carson such as those of Long Beach and Torrance will further complicate the complexities of issues that are addressed in Climate Change public policy making. I encourage the Commissioners to select option F-1 for the following reasons: I have been a constituent of Supv. District 2 for over 50 years. I am also an Advocate for the Public Advocate Office		
OPTION F-1	Bridget Y Horan	Favor	at the CPUC working on the Climate Change Initiative (individual comments). Option F-1 to PROTECTION OF HISTORIC AFRICAN- AMERICAN DISTRICT 2, so that African Americans can be represented "at the table" by electing a candidate of their own choice. Option F-1 is a more viable and robust selection when considering implications to air quality and health disparities. The cities identified in Option C enable representation of diversity in thought when carrying out the implications found in Cal Enviro Screen results. Combining cities alongside the City of Carson such as those of Long Beach and Torrance will further complicate the complexities of issues that are addressed in Climate Change public policy making.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Brooke Ervin	Favor	-	12/1/2021	<u>View attachment</u>
OPTION F-1	Brooke Gillan	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bruno Geoffrion	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Bryan Cook	Favor	I respectfully request to speak in favor of Option F-1. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Bryan Cook, City Manager, City of Temple City	12/1/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-1	Bryan K Stone	Oppose	People from the South Bay Beach cities do not share the same values as the westside and valley.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Cameron Soholt	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Carol Bingaman	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Carolyn Fowler	Favor	Yes, on Map F1 - Keeps Historical communities of South LA together and provides a voice and greater opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Catherine Barnes	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Charles Evans	Other	We support this map, but only if key revisions are made. Of the three options, this map would most strengthen representation for communities of color with shared interests - AAPI, Latinx, and Black - if it is amended as described here. * Arcadia and Temple City should be moved to SD 1, to keep WSGV together. * Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights should be moved into SD4 to keep ESGV together. * The border between SD1 and SD3 should be shifted to make Thai Town whole. * The border of SD2 should be shifted to keep Koreatown whole.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Charles Phillips	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Christine Gaian	Oppose	I want to keep my current supervisor Janice Hahn	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Danika Lam	Favor	My name is Danika Lam and I am a resident of Los Angeles. I'm also an employee of the Asian Pacific Policy & Planning Council (A3PCON), which is a member of the People's Bloc. I'd like to put forth support for Map F-1 with amendments. I urge the commissioners to adopt Map F-1 with the following changes: -Move Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep WSGV together -Move Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep ESGV together	12/1/2021	n/a

			-Shift the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole -Extend the border of SD2 to keep Koreatown whole		
OPTION F-1	Daryl Sweeney	Favor	 No on Map B1: Packs Latinos into two districts, including District 2, which takes away the African American voice at the Supervisor level. Yes on Map F1 - Keeps Historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice. Yes on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths. 	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	David McGrory	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	DAVID Q MCCLURE	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	David Uebersax	Oppose	As well as continuing a split of the San Fernando Valley, F-1 excises Griffith Park from the rest of the Santa Monica Mountains.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	David Wagner	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Delores Sutton	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Dency L Nelson	Oppose	Does not represent a district of shared communities of interest! Far from it, and I speak as a 40-year homeowner here in Hermosa Beach. Please keep the Beach Cities and the South Bay at large intact. District 4 in its current configuration has served us well!	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Derek Steele	Favor	My name is Derek Steele and I am a resident in LA County, I work for the Social Justice Learning Institute representing and working on behalf of black and brown youth and community members mainly in Inglewood, Lennox, South LA, Compton, Long Beach and other cities where communities. This map keeps the Eastside communities, which consist of Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights, Commerce united and whole. The commission should incorporate Montebello and Pico Rivera into D1 as these communities also have a lot in common with the eastside. The communities in the Eastside of Los Angeles are home to majority Latinx populations that share a common cultural and historical experience. These communities are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who rely on services and programs from social service providers with a long history of work in SD 1. It also keeps SouthEast LA Whole. Residents identify SELA as eight cities, including Bell, Bell Gardens, Cudahy, Maywood, Huntington Park, Lynwood, Vernon, and South Gate. Its two unincorporated areas are Florence-Firestone and Walnut Park. Residents have highlighted the importance of keeping the region whole, since these communities share similar demographics, strengths, and challenges. It also maintains Black Influence District. South LA has historically been a place of residence for the black community. The community has experienced a constant erasure through the process of gentrification, economic disinvestments and racist policies. Keeping South LA whole and in a district with other neighborhoods that	12/1/2021	n/a
			South LA whole and in a district with other neighborhoods that have similar characteristics and shared interest will allow for the black community to elect a candidate of their choice. Neighborhood that make up SLA Leimert Park, Park Mesa, Hyde Park. Characteristics of the community issues included, Public Safety, Housing Gentrification, high rents, homelessness, Quality education, Built Environment. It also Brings us together - blend of black and brown community.		

			This map also keeps AAPI Communities whole with some suggested modifications. These communities include Little Tokyo , Chinatown , Thai- town, Historic Filipino town, and Koreatown. Thai Town and Koreatown have small splits that can be improved so that they can remain whole Communities share similar social and economic characteristics (i.e.limited English proficient, low income or working class, undocumented, and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement) Communities share similar policy concerns (i.e. access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent health care) These communities want to be kept whole, not split across more than one district. Want to be paired with other AAPI communities and communities of color with whom we have shared interests and concerns such as the Eastside communities. For West San Gabriel Valley, The commission should incorporate the communities of Temple City and Arcadia into District 1 as this would keep core API communities in the West San Gabriel Valley together with cities like Rosemead Monterey Park and Alhambra. For East San Gabriel Valley, The API communities of East San Gabriel Valley need to remain together in one district. This can be accomplished by Move Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, and Diamond Bar from SD 1 to SD 4, and move Walnut from SD 5 to SD 4.		
OPTION F-1	Eiman Farooqui	Favor	Dear Commissioners, I'm writing to share my support for Map F-1 with amendments shared below. Of the three options, this map with amendments would most strengthen representation for communities of color with shared interests, lived experiences and neighborhood makeup.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Elaine Kirschner	Oppose	My recommendations are as follow: 1 - The commissioners move Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep West San Gabriel Valley together. 2 - The commissioners move Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep East San Gabriel Valley together. 3 - The commissioners shift the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole. 4 - The commissioners extend the border of SD2 to keep Koreatown whole.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Elizabeth E Ansel-burke	Oppose	l oppose Map F	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Elizabeth Tyndorf	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Elvis Cruz	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Emmanuel Nunez	Favor	South LA has historically been a place of residence for the black community. The community has experienced a constant erasure through the process of gentrification, economic disinvestments and racist policies. Keeping South LA whole and in a district with other neighborhoods that have similar characteristics and shared interest will allow for the black community to elect a candidate of their choice. Our communities share issues with homelessness and high rent costs.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Enrique Gaspar	Favor	My name is Enrique Gaspar and I work for Community Coalition. We are a predominantly working class community, have been	12/1/2021	n/a

			greatly impacted by COVID, and have shared issues such as high rent burden, seek quality education for students, and environmental hazards. I urge the commission to oppose Map B-2 because merges the Black community in South LA and the Latinx community in South East LA into one District which reduces their ability to have more representation at the county level. I also urge the commission to not consider Map G as Pairs South LA with the coastal communities. These communities have very different issues and priorities and if paired together will dilute the voices of the black community in SD2 with the potential of losing a historical black seat. The commission should adapt Map F-1 Keeps the Eastside communities, which consist of Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights, Commerce united and whole. The commission should also incorporate Montebello and Pico Rivera into D1 as these communities in the Eastside of Los Angeles are home to majority Latinx populations that share a common utltral and historical experience. These communities are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who rely on services and programs from social service providers with a long history of work in SD 1. I want to urge the commission to adopt this map so that the most underserved communities can have a strong political voice as we recover in an equitable and dignified way. Thank you for your time.		
OPTION F-1	Eunice Song	Favor	But with amendments. Please see attached letter.	12/1/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-1	Faraz Aqil	Oppose	Map F-1 breaks the Westside region by separating Westwood (UCLA) into District 2. Also it splits the South Bay by separating the Beach Cities & LAX from the rest of the region. And it creates a	11/30/2021	n/a

			district that stretches from Torrance/Rancho Palos Verdes to Northridge (LA).		
OPTION F-1	Florence Lu	Favor	I like the F-1 redistrict choice.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Francine Rios	Favor	Dear Commissioners, I am a resident of Harvard Heights in the West Adams neighborhood, and I work in South Central LA for Community Coalition. I am writing with wholehearted support for Map F-1. I have lived and worked in the area for almost 2 years now, and South Central is a place filled with the vibrancy of its Black and Brown residents who care deeply about the community and what happens here. It is also home to the largest Black community west of the Mississippi River, and is a place where many Black people find culture and identity. Neighborhoods like Leimert Park and the Crenshaw corridor have served significantly as the economic engines of Black Los Angeles. This fact cannot and should not be overlooked in the Redistricting process. South LA has also been disproportionately and deeply harmed by the pandemic. COVID-19 exacerbated already disparate conditions concerning community health, safety, education, housing, community investment, and much more. The community is looking to rebuild from COVID-19 so that South LA can be a place where all of its residents thrive which is what South LA deserves, but has not experienced due to decades of discrimination and racist policies that have impacted our Black and Brown community. South LA deserves a Redistricting map where Black voting power is not diminished, and that will help blend the interests of the Black and Brown community. It is critical to not allow the erasure of Black LA to continue, and now is the opportunity to help put a stop to this. This can be done by keeping South LA whole, and Map F-1 achieves this by	12/1/2021	n/a

			maintaining Black influence in the District. South LA has historically been a place of residence for the Black community. The community has experienced a constant erasure through the process of gentrification, economic disinvestments and racist policies. Keeping South LA whole and in a district with other neighborhoods that have similar characteristics and shared interest will allow for the Black community to elect a candidate of their choice. Again, I urge you to stand in solidarity with LA's Black community, and pass Map F-1. Thank you for your time.		
OPTION F-1	Gennaro Maisto	Oppose	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Glauz Diego	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Glenn Bailey	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Gwen Hernandez	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Heather St Rock	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Heidi Maniaci	Oppose	Absolutely not	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	lgor Nastaskin	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Isabella Bacallao	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jack L Epstein	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	James Light	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	James Lobue	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	James Soldi	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Janet D Kelly	Favor	Keeps our historical communities intact	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jeanne Lux	Oppose	This draft undermines our local communities' power to affect their own towns and futures. It is anti-democratic.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jeany Choi	Favor	 Dear Commissioners: My name is Jeany Choi, and I serve as Program Manager at Korean American Coalition (KAC) in Los Angeles, a 501(c)3, non-profit organization in the heart of Koreatown that has advocated for the civic and civil rights interests of the Korean American Community since 1983. KAC is a member of the Koreatown Redistricting Task Force as well as a member of the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council, which is part of the AAPI & AMEMSA Redistricting Collaborative. We are in support of Map F-1 with suggested amendments below and oppose all other maps (B-2 and G). However, we ask the Commission to amend Map F-1 by: Moving Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep WSGV together. Moving Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep ESGV together. Shifting the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole. Extending the border of SD2 to keep Koreatown whole as submitted in the attached map below The COI of Koreatown needs to be kept whole. There are nearly 	12/1/2021	<u>View attachment</u>

			5,000 petition signatures on change.org and written petitions in support of unifying Koreatown. Please honor the Census data, as well are our testimonies and protect our community of interest by keeping Koreatown whole. Thank you. Jeany Choi		
OPTION F-1	Jeffrey Fedor	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jen Moriwaki	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jennifer Ramos	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jennifer Wagner	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jerald M Parr	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jerome Chang	Oppose	l oppose Map F	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jerrianne Rousseau	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jesse M Maeshiro	Favor	Not only does this map benefit API and Latinx communities in LA, but it keeps South East LA whole. Residents have been clear about the importance of keeping the region whole, since these communities share similar demographics, strengths, and challenges. Secondly, South LA has historically been a place of residence for the Black community and it is the last predominately Black district in LA. Keeping South LA whole and in a district with other neighborhoods that have similar characteristics and shared interest will allow for the Black community to elect a candidate that	12/1/2021	n/a

			accurately reflects and represents the needs and experiences of South LA as a whole.		
			Thank you very much for your time.		
OPTION F-1	Jesse Murphy	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jim Montgomery	Oppose	l oppose Map F	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Joe Geary	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Joe T Sisneros	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Joey Mitchell	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	John Ogg	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	John Steinbrun	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jonathan Fredrick	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	JOSE CORNEJO	Oppose	Strongly oppose. Sylmar to Palos Verdes is just not good. On an average day it would take 3 plus hours to get from one end to the other	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Joshua Spizman	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Judith Edgington	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jules Davis	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Karen Earl	Favor	Keeps historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Katherine Regan	Oppose	This makes a huge section coastal district and imo stretches too far north.	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Kathy McLeod	Oppose	I support Map B-2 and oppose Map F.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kevin Conway	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kevin McCarron	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kevin McRoberts	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kim Moore	Favor	Keeps Historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kimberly Watson	Favor	My name is Kimberly Watson, 1 st Vice President of the Los Angeles Chapter of the National Coalition of 100 Black Women, graduate of Cal State Dominguez Hills and resident of District 2. I want to express opposition to Map B-1, Support of Map F-1 and Map G-1 with modification. The African American Community needs to be able to choose representation that works in our interest. Covid has caused a disenfranchised community be even more excluded. Our representatives allocate resources and we need to be sure their data is not diluted by the disbursement of our African American neighborhoods. Community based organizations like National Coalition of 100 Black Women work directly with Black Indigenous People of Color to educate and advocate on behalf of our community members who are unclear about the impact of redistricting and we stand in the gap for those who are unable to attend these meetings because of lack of access and knowledge of how to navigate this process. We are requesting the support of Map F-1 and Map G-1 with modifications and ask that you vote no on Map B-2	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kimberly Watson	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	KRISH R KROTHAPALLI	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Kyle E Farrell	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Larissa Lee Gadda	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Lawrence Hambrick	Favor	Yes on Map F1 - Keeps Historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Leslie Ogg	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Liezza I Slywiak	Oppose	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Linda B Smisko	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Linda B Smisko	Oppose	I previously marked this incorrectly. I meant to Oppose F-1. Please cancel my in-favor vote, it was wrong.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Lindsay Meagher	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Lisa R Falk	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Lynn Zino	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Manjusha P Kulkarni	Favor	Of the three maps, this one with amendments would strengthen representation for communities of color with shared interested AAPI, Latinx and African American. Temple City and Arcadia should be moved to SD 1. We recommend that Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar, Walnut and Hacienda Heights be moved to SD 4. The Commission should shift the border of SD 1 and SD3 to keep Thai Town whole and extend the border of SD 2 to keep Koreatown whole. Thank you for your consideration.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Margaret K Dean	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Maria Ruiz	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Mario Furtado	Favor	I'm in favor of F-1 redistrict choice.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Mario Ramirez	Oppose	I completely oppose this option	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Mark Goldstein	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Mark Narain	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Martin Falk	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Matthew Wong	Favor	Either maps 65 or 66 would be ideal. Temple City should not be split into two districts.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Michael J Elkins	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Michael Kuan	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Michael Martin	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Michael W George	Other	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Michelle L Barnes	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Miguel Dominguez	Favor	Maintains Black District- South LA has historically been a place of residence for the black community has experienced a constant erasure through the process of gentrification, economic disinvestments and racist policies. Keeping South LA whole and keeping them in a district with other neighborhoods that have similar characteristics and shared interest will allow for the black community to elect someone that will represent them.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Nathan Ail	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Nelda Newman	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Nick Barnes	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Nick Franchino	Favor	You should create a list of cities in each proposed district. Simple table showing them, which ones wholly contained, and which ones split (if any others split besides the City of LA). Like this item on your website: file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/lac/1043530_09-10CitiesAlpha.pdf And for unincorporated communities, like this item on your website: file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/lac/1043534_UnincorpAlphaWeb.pdf	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Norbert Tan	Favor	Of the three options, this map with amendments would most strengthen representation for communities of color with shared interests - AAPI, Latinx, and Black. Ask the Commission to revise Map F-1 by: Moving Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep WSGV together. Moving Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep ESGV together. Shifting the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole. Extending the border of SD2 to keep Ktown whole.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	P Rousseau	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	pam mckniff	Oppose	I don't wish to be assigned a new supervisor whom nobody had the opportunity to either vote for or against. Little lone someone who is not familiar with the area.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Patrice Fisher	Favor	I urge the support of Option F-1	11/30/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Patrick D Webster	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Paul Edgington	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Rachel Swenson	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Rashina Young	Favor	I support this option. We need to keep the second district as is.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Raymond Jackson	Oppose	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Rebecca M Cochran	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Rebecca Tortorelli	Oppose	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Revati Anvekar	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Revernd Eddie Anderson	Favor	This map is the best map to ensure we have a diverse Board of Supervisors and protects the VRA section 2 for all residents especially the African-American community. As a community and civic leader and as a faith leader of a congregation that has been in this county since 1932 it is the most equitable choice. In my capacity with LA Voice and the Movement for Black Lives this map takes us closer to a more just and equitable Los Angeles with fair representation.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Robert Kirschner	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Ron Spohn	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Ronald Hopkins	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Rose Marziale	Oppose	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Ryan Moody	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Sam Bitter	Oppose	South Bay should not be lumped in with Malibu and parts of the valley, we deserve our own representation. Thank you	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Sara L Martin	Oppose	Janice Hahn has lived in our coastal district all her life. She understands and effectively advocates for us in dealing with the many issues which are unique to the coast To replace her with anyone who doesn't live on the coast, and has never shown any interest in our issues, would leave the coast - upon which so much commerce, national security, and quality of life depends - without effective representation.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Sharon Liao	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Shaun W King	Oppose	Redondo Beach with Westlake Village, Agoura Hills, San Fernando Valley, Beverly Hills, West Hollywood???!!! No, thank you!	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Sheila Anderson	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Sheryl Brog	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	sina samie	Favor	-	12/2/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Sonali Pustam	Favor	 I am in favor of this map because it will protect and represent communities of color with shared interests. Please revise Map F-1 by: Moving Arcadia and Temple City to SD 1, to keep WSGV together. Moving Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Hacienda Heights into SD4, to keep ESGV together. Shifting the border between SD1 and SD3 to make Thai Town whole. Extending the border of SD2 to keep Ktown whole. 	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Steve Smisko	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Steven Ybarra	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Susan Ahlstrom	Oppose	Oppose vigorously	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Tanya L Wold King	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Tashia Hinchliffe	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Teresa R Mando	Favor	keeps all of Shadow Hills, Stonehurst, Lake View Terrace, La Tuna Canyon, and the Big T-wash and Hansen Dam in District 5. Keeping horsekeeping and brush zone communities together to speak with a group voice.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Teresa Rankin	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Teri GREEN	Oppose	I support Map B-1 and opposed Map F	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Terry Maggay	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Todd Loewenstein	Oppose	-	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	tom pacheco	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Vadik Swenson	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Vanessa Bailey	Favor	With the addition of LAX to SD2. It is important that we have a district with resources so our district can thrive, this is why we want to keep the LAX airport in our district as an economic asset. Many of our residents works at LAX.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Vanessa Poster	Oppose	I support option B-1 or B-2 over option F-1 as they recognize that my community, the South Bay of LA County, is a place that shares Communities of Interest (we use the same hospitals and doctors, our school teams play their school teams, we all read the same local newspapers, we shop at the same mall and go to the same movie theaters, and we live near the same refineries) Options B1	12/1/2021	n/a

			and 2 provide a more concise geographical distribution. Option F-1 does not recognize Communities of Interest, nor does it make geographical sense based on shared topography or contiguity.		
OPTION F-1	William Vaughn	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Yolanda Floyd	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jeff Boynton / Jorge Orozco	Favor	-	11/29/2021	View attachment
OPTION G	Adam Galia	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Alexander Clark	Oppose	This map is also nonsensical and groups disparate groups together. I strongly oppose this map.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Amy J Wong	Oppose	Please reject Option G. This map pairs South LA with the coastal communities. These communities have very different issues and priorities and if paired together will dilute the voices of the Black community in SD2 with the potential of losing a historical Black seat. It is crucial for our communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing our Black and Brown residents in South LA with wealthy mansion owners in Palos Verdes, Hermosa Beach, and Manhattan Beach.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	An Le	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Anthony Samad	Other	We are in favor of Option G, with revisions of leaving Carson and CSUDH in the 2nd District and Yes on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths.	11/30/2021	n/a

OPTION G	Arsi Chan	Other	This map modifies 74 to make sd2 it more like G, still using of maps G & 60 to create a map with: SD2 has LAX, UCLA, Beach communities, the Palos Verde area etc and still almost 25% Black CVAP and keeping historic black NCs SD3 Unites the San Fernando Valley COG communities SD4 is 53% Latino CVAP SD1 Unites the Eastside Communities of LA & Hollywood with working-class communities in the San Gabriel Valley SD5 becomes a 25% Asian district combining the Foothill communities of SGV & the North	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Austin Griffin	Other	Yes on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Bianca Morgan- Sementilli	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Branden Rubasky	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Brandi S Murdock	Favor	My name is Brandi Murdock, My family has been in Carson for over 40 years. I am the CEO of Murdock Community and Care Services Inc. I am in favor of Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths.	11/30/2021	n/a

OPTION G	Bridget Y Horan	Favor	Commissioners should select option C for the following reasons: I have been a constituent of District 2 for over 50 years. I am also an Advocate for the Public Advocate Office at the CPUC working on the Climate Change Initiative. Option C is a more viable and robust selection when considering implications to air quality and health disparities. The cities identified in Option C enable representation of diversity in thought when carrying out the implications found in Cal Enviro Screen results. Combing cities alongside the City of Carson will further complicate the complexities of issues addressed under Climate Change public policies.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Brooke Ervin	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Bryan K Stone	Favor	Being a lifelong Angeleno, it is obviously apparent that option G is the only one that makes sense for LA residents. Option G divides the county into the groups that best represent the lifestyle of the residents within. Being a resident of district 2 it makes much more sense to share representation with our immediate neighbors in Inglewood and Hawthorne rather than places like Santa Monica. Inglewood and Hawthorne residence come to Redondo Beach and vis versa, where people who live in Santa Monica have nothing to do with how RB residents want to live their lives. Thanks for your timeBryan	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Carolyn Fowler	Favor	Yes, on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life – housing, social justice, environmental, noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION G	Cathy D Warner	Favor	As the Mayor Pro Tem of Whittier, I support map G which keeps our communities of interest intact. Whittier works closely with nearby cities of Santa Fe Springs, La Mirada, Norwalk, Pico Rivera, Cerritos and Lakewood on a variety of water supply and water quality concerns, including: our participation in the Southwest Water Coalition (SEWC) and the Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Group (LSGR). Most significantly, our ties to the City of Santa Fe Springs include school districts (Los Nietos School District and Whittier Union High School District jointly serve both communities). The City of Whittier supplies Santa Fe Springs with potable water from our Central Basin wells, and the Whittier Police Department provides contract public safety and police services for the City of Santa Fe Springs. Thank you	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Charles Evans	Oppose	This map unfairly dilutes the voting power of people of color. It splits the Koreatown, Thai Town, and WSGV COIs, it separates the Metro LA AAPI COIs into three supervisorial districts, and it dilutes the Black community in SD2 by pairing South LA with the coastal communities, thereby reducing Black CVAP for that region.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Cristina Diaz	Other	Support version 78 of map G, so that Sylmar stays attached to our neighboring foothill communities of the San Fernando Valley. The San Fernando Valley needs to stay whole because this is beneficial to our working class communities of color.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Daryl Sweeney	Favor	Keep Carson in District 2 as well as CSUDH.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION G	David Uebersax	Oppose	As well as continuing a split of the San Fernando Valley, F-1 excises Griffith Park from the rest of the Santa Monica Mountains.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Derek Steele	Oppose	My name is Derek Steele and I am a resident in LA County, I work for the Social Justice Learning Institute representing and working on behalf of black and brown youth and community members mainly in Inglewood, Lennox, South LA, Compton, Long Beach and other cities where communities. This map Pairs South LA with the coastal communities. These communities have very different issues and priorities and if paired	12/1/2021	n/a

			together will dilute the voices of the black community in SD2 with the potential of losing a historical black seat. SLA Communities and coastal communities are very different in terms of income level, educational attainment, housing cost burdened, number of people living below the poverty line etc. (input some of the issues that you are facing in your community) This contrasts highly with the coastal communities where they have high income level, and where communities have advocated against building affordable housing. These competing interests will dilute the voice of our black and brown residents in South LA Being paired with communities with opposite interests as ours will lead to political representation that compromises our needs and interests. It is crucial for communities of color to have political representation that understands our histories, cultures, and values. All of this will be diluted by pairing us with Wealthy mansion owners in Palos Verdes, Hermosa Beach, and Manhattan Beach. You cannot possibly think it is okay to pair the political power of people who are struggling to keep a roof over their head, with Beach home-owners who are in the process of buying their next yacht. Pairing communities like mine with a wealthy coastal beach community will dilute my political power and will to vote for representatives and policies that represent me.		
OPTION G	Emmanuel Nunez	Oppose	??Commissioners have expressed a belief that extending District 2 to the coast is beneficial to the Black community because it creates greater access to open spaces like the beach and economic assets in coastal areas while ignoring how it dilutes Black voting power.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Eunice Song	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Faraz Aqil	Favor	Map G keeps the SELA region (District 4) together and compacted. District 5 keeps the High Desert/Mountain region together, and although it has some of San Ferando Valley & San Gabriel Valley, it makes sense because it stays at the Foothill communities and tries	11/30/2021	n/a

			 to keep San Gabriel Valley (District 1) & San Ferando Valley (District 3) regions whole. And I agree with comments from Commissioners saying that South-Central residents should be connected with the Beach cities of South Bay. And I agree that the city of Commerce should be with District 4 (Commerce is even part of the regional Gateway Cities Council of Governments). One change I would recommend is to move the city of Azusa to District 5, and move the city of Walnut to District 1 to help balance the population, also to keep Azusa with the rest of the Foothill community, and to keep Walnut with the Cal Poly Pomona – Covina region (due to Mt. San Antonio College). And if more population is needed for District 1, there's areas near Downtown LA area where District 1 borders District 2 that can help supplement the population. Thank you. 		
OPTION G	Fernando Vasquez	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Francine Rios	Oppose	Dear Commissioners, I also urge you to NOT pass Map G. This map pairs South LA with coastal communities, which have very different issues and priorities. If paired together, this will dilute the voices of the Black community in SD2 with the potential of losing a historical Black seat. You cannot possibly think it is ok to pair the political power of people who are struggling to keep a roof over their head, with Beach home-owners who are in the process of buying their next yacht. Pairing communities like South LA with wealthy coastal beach communities will dilute my community's political power, and specifically Black voting power, as well as the will to vote for representatives and policies that truly represent the interests of my community. Again, please do not pass Map G. Thank you.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Glauz Diego	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

					1
OPTION G	Glenn Bailey	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Gwen Hernandez	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Heather St Rock	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Henry Fung	Oppose	Unfortunately I have to reject the changes made by Commissioner Mary Kenney on map 66 (map G) at Monday night's meeting. The change of swapping the Palos Verdes Peninsula and Carson between SD 2 and SD 4 will increase the White CVAP population to within one percentage point of the Black CVAP population. Given demographic trends and historic Black-White racially polarized voting, that could effectively deny Black residents in SD 2 the ability to elect candidates of their choice. If the goal is to preserve the ability of Blacks to elect a candidate of their choice, original F-1 is the best option. It preserves a relatively large Black CVAP population but more importantly also creates a separation between the Black and White CVAP percentages so that Whites can not use block voting to votes against Blacks. While this may not have been proven to occur in Los Angeles County, it has happened nationally in many jurisdictions. The caveat is that it spreads out the San Fernando Valley and attaches them to the South Bay which many find dissatisfactory.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Isabella Bacallao	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	James Light	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	James Lobue	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Janet D Kelly	Favor	only with modifications of keeping or adding the City of Carson in District 2.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Jeany Choi	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a

OPTION G	Jesse M Maeshiro	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Joey Mitchell	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	John Lewis	Favor	I am a City Council member and I am in favor of map G. It keeps the gateway cities together, MTA projects together such as the West Santa Ana branch and provides a better quality of life for our constituents.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	JOSE CORNEJO	Other	please keep the SFV together by using the maps for District 5 and 3 in map B-2, Making relatively minor adustments to District 1,2,and 4 to balance population and I think many in SF Valley would be happy as well as people in the southeast cities. SYMLAR BELONGS WITH THE COMMUNITIES OF SAN FERNANDO, PACOIMA, LAKEVIEW TERRANCE, NORTH HILLS, AND MISSION HILLS.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Joshua Spizman	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Josue Alvarado	Favor	support map g	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Juan Garza	Favor	As a Past Mayor of the City of Bellflower, I would like to express my support for Map G. As a Gateway city, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors in Long Beach than other Eastern cities. It is deeply important that our Gateway cities be kept together. Breaking them up into multiple districts like in Map B-2 is not a good solution. As an example, we all work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, and to separate us hampers our ability to provide a better quality of life for our constituents, not only on transportation but on so many other issues. Thank you for your service and your consideration of adopting Map G.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Karapet Torossian	Other	Please Modify Map G to be similar to map 78 this will unite the San Fernando Valley in SD3, SD2 will have all the historic Black communities with a 25% Black CVAP, SD1 and 4 will be Latino CVAP and SD 5 will have an Asian CVAP.	12/1/2021	n/a

			This map modifies 74 to make sd2 it more like G, still using of maps G & 60 to create a map with: SD2 has LAX, UCLA, Beach communities, the Palos Verde area etc and still almost 25% Black CVAP and keeping historic black NCs SD3 Unites the San Fernando Valley COG communities SD4 is 53% Latino CVAP SD1 Unites the Eastside Communities of LA & Hollywood with working-class communities in the San Gabriel Valley SD5 becomes a 25% Asian district combining the Foothill communities of SGV & the North		
OPTION G	Karen Earl	Favor	with modifications keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA Communities mpacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noice and other issues related to being in the flight paths	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Katherine Regan	Favor	Depending on the goals of redistricting, I think this is a solid option.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Kellie Todd Griffin	Other	Yes on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Kevin McRoberts	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Kim Moore	Other	Yes on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in	12/1/2021	n/a

			District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths. [You will have to express this in your written or spoken comments].		
OPTION G	Kimberly Watson	Favor	G-1 with modifications	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Kimberly Watson	Favor	With modifications	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Lawrence Hambrick	Favor	With modifications	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Matthew Wong	Other	I am not sure which option this is.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Michael W George	Other	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Miguel Dominguez	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Nathan Ail	Oppose	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Norbert Tan	Oppose	Splits the Ktown, Thai Town, and WSGV communities of interest. Separates the Metro LA AAPI COIs into three supervisorial districts. Dilutes the Black community in SD2 by pairing South LA with the coastal communities and reducing Black CVAP.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	ORALIA REBOLLO	Other	Redistricting Consideration	12/1/2021	View attachment
OPTION G	Patrice Fisher	Other	In favor ONLY WITH MODIFICATIONS: Keep California State University in District 2 and include the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) in District 2. Residents most impacted by the airport should have representation to protect their daily quality of life, voice environmental concerns, and lookout for their longterm health concerns.	11/30/2021	n/a

OPTION G	Rashina Young	Favor	Carson needs to remain is District 2. I have lived in Carson for over 10 years before moving to Compton. I also graduated from CSUDH. Although this option changes the make up of the district, it keeps Carson and CSUDH in the second district.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Roslyn Warren	Favor	-	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Teresa R Mando	Oppose	Map G also inexplicably cuts out a strangely shaped segment of Stonehurst along Wealtha and put those relatively few homes into District 3, but has left most of Stonehurst and all of Shadow Hills in District 5 with Lake View Terrace, Hansen Dam, and the Big T wash. However, it has taken La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put that area into District 3. This separates La Tuna Canyon from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities still in District 5.	12/1/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Vanessa Bailey	Oppose	Our Community shares interests around social justice, public transportation, parking, tourism, affordable housing, and the need for green spaces in OUR community. We don't want to have to travel outside our immediate area to enjoy what other communities experience.	12/1/2021	n/a
-	Wade Major	-	 Honorable Commissioners, I have spoken several times previously at your hearings. This is the first time I am writing. Prior to your final public hearing on December 7, I wanted to submit a more detailed email with supporting materials related to my previous comments. I am a lifelong resident of Malibu and a sitting public works commissioner, and I have seen Los Angeles County at its best and worst over the course of a half century. I am also a survivor of numerous wildfires, floods and other natural disasters endemic to this part of the county. Some of your commission have expressed a feeling that the current district boundaries do not need a radical rewrite — that what's not broken does not need to be fixed. I would concur. Most of us in District 3 do not feel that District 3 is 	12/1/2021	n/a

substantially broken — it merely needs refining and the boundaries in Map B (both B-1 and B-2) constitute precisely such a refining. I have no great preference between the boundaries of B-1 versus B- 2 as long as some variation on these boundaries is reflected in your final district map. District 3 presently works rather well, and any	
attempt to radically expend it to include more cosmopolitan coastal communities to the south would risk upsetting a delicate balance which has taken many years to make work.	
I have attended nearly all of your previous hearings and I have listened with great interest to the testimony of the many communities that comprise our remarkably diverse county. I have been touched by their concerns. I believe you can address the majority of those concerns without adversely impacting the needs of those of us who live in the heart of District 3. While many of our County's communities of interest are based on shared ethnic and cultural heritage, other communities are not. Those of us who live in and around the environmentally sensitive and fire-prone southwest portion of the county are defined far more by environment and geography than demography. While cultural and ethnic characteristics are transient and may see their boundaries shift over time, shared environmental and geographical boundaries do not. This fact impacts everything else in our vicinity — city boundaries, economic interests and more. Our own COG is formed on this basis.	
I recently called attention to the Southern California Edison PSPS (Public Safety Power Shutdown) which took place on Thanksgiving and Black Friday throughout the high fire risk areas of Southern California. This decision impacted over 57,000 SCE customers, including 15,000 in Los Angeles County, virtually all of them residents of District 3. It is also noteworthy that the footprint of 2018's Woolsey Fire (from which we are still recovering three years later) was also entirely within District 3. If these boundaries were	

substantially revised to incorporate the coastal communities of the South Bay and Palos Verdes — as proposed in Map F — it would constitute a district so large, so geographically disparate as to be unmanageable, increasing the likelihood of more costly disasters and making them harder to address in an efficient and expeditious manner. You would also be merging rural coastal communities with cosmopolitan coastal communities whose economies and	
environmental challenges are radically different. Just on the matter of fire safety alone, I would call your attention to <u>this tool</u> which allows you to see the historic footprint of brushfires in Southern California. I've attached a graphic showing the aggregated footprint of all fires in the region since 1980. You will note in particular how concentrated the fire burden is in	
District 3. That burden has extended beyond fire season into winter floods, landslides and, more recently, power shutoffs. I have also attached a graphic from SCE's own app on Thanksgiving displaying the areas subject to power shutoff. You will again note that the area of concern lies entirely within District 3. Both of these maps also show a clear demarcation between our county's fire-and- disaster ravaged rural coastal communities and those which have	
never been ravaged by disaster. Map B and only Map B recognizes that delineation. It is a sensible and practical delineation which makes for good public policy and wise allocation and management of resources. Whatever else you do with inland communities, I would implore	
you to do everything in your power to preserve the Map B boundaries for District 3. It's not simply a matter of serving communities of interest — for us it's a matter of life and death. Preserving these boundaries would also have the added benefit of enabling us to focus our resources throughout the rest of the year to improve local stewardship of the natural resources and public	

- Arl	ene Chang -	overlap. On behalf of residents, businesses and stakeholders throughout District 3, I respectfully ask that you please make every effort to prioritize the contours of District 3 as outlined in maps B-1 and B-2. Kindest regards, Wade Major Dear Commissioners: • My name isArlene Chang and I'm a resident of San Gabriel Valley.	12/1/2021	n/a
		 parkland which are enjoyed year-round by all residents of Los Angeles County. Lastly, I would address the expressed concern by some in Santa Monica that Map B divorces them from the Santa Monica Mountains and moves them instead into District 4 with other coastal communities to the south. This is disingenuous on their part. Just days before Thanksgiving, Santa Monica's city council formally wrote the California Congressional Redistricting Commission to request that they NOT be separated from the other southward coastal communities with whom they share a COG. Santa Monica cannot claim cosmopolitan kinship with these southward coastal communities for the purposes of Congressional redistricting, and then claim precisely the opposite for the purposes of County supervisorial redistricting. While it is recognized that supervisorial districts serve a different purpose locally than congressional districts serve nationally, the intractable geographical needs and challenges of the greater part of District 3 have the distinction of justifying a substantial degree of overlap. 		

		 The West and East SGV should NOT be separated from each other in the wake of the San Gabriel Valley's burgeoning population of Asian Americans which has become a dominant cultural force. Several business districts developed to serve the community's needs creating a collection of Southern California Chinatowns loosely connected along the Valley Boulevard Corridor, Main Street/Last Tunas Drive Corridor and Colima Road Corridor. The AAPI community in the SGV has a strong and distinct cultural association. Residents go to the same churches, temples, the same shopping centers, the same restaurants. Importantly, the AAPI community throughout the region share policy concerns – the anti-Asian hate that emerged during the pandemic is but one example of this. The split of the SGV would create an uphill battle to elect a representative concerned about this community of interest and reverse four decades of progress that has been made for the AAPI community in this region The Commission must keep the Asian American community of interest in the SGV whole. 		
- N	gee Kon -	 Dear Commissioners: My name is _Ngee Kon and I'm a resident of San Gabriel Valley. The West and East SGV should not be separated from each other in the wake of the San Gabriel Valley's burgeoning population of Asian Americans which has become a dominant cultural 	12/1/2021	n/a

		 force. Several business districts developed to serve the community's needs creating a collection of Southern California Chinatowns loosely connected along the Valley Boulevard Corridor, Main Street/Last Tunas Drive Corridor and Colima Road Corridor. The AAPI community in the SGV has a strong and distinct cultural association. Residents go to the same churches, temples, the same shopping centers, the same restaurants. Importantly, the AAPI community throughout the region share policy concerns – the anti-Asian hate that emerged during the pandemic is but one example of this. The split of the SGV would create an uphill battle to elect a representative concerned about this community of interest and reverse four decades of progress that has been made for the AAPI community in this region The Commission must keep the Asian American community of interest in the SGV whole. 		
-	Kellie Todd Griffin	Greetings - I was appalled by the racists comments made by the commissioners this evening and want to add the following concern to the public record. "I cannot believe the comments that the LA County Commission made after the public commentsFirst, on Monday's meeting Commissioner Franklin stated she was disappointed that the Black Community hadn't shown up during the process. Ok, we showed up and she requested that we provide data to prove our vote would be marginalized by adding Palos Verdes Peninsula to D2 (this was requested every time someone mentioned Blacks by her and other commissioner but not for any other groups), she criticized our	12/1/2021	n/a

		concerns as promoting "reverse gerrymandering", and then acted confused about why we wouldn't want to be with more affluent areas considering all the redlining that created the community which limits our mobility (Not understanding that D2 is a diverse economic and social community instead assuming that all of us lived in poverty and alluded to it often when comparing to the coastal). That led to Commissioner Kenney accusing people from D2 being "scared of the people from the coast." The consultant "Bruce" told the Commission that the comments about Black SD 2 voting percentage which is currently at 30% isn't confirmed in anything that he has seen and through out some random comment about the Supreme court is clear that race cannot be usedno reference to any case or anything. Several other commissioners made negative comments about the comments being scripted by Community Coalition re D2 but didn't mention any of the other scripted comments by people affiliated with other organizations. One organization had a group of people at the same location that all provided the same comment in Spanish. And to cap it all off, a commissioner stated that "there were only about 100 comments (which is understated) on these maps compared to 10 million people which represents only .00001 percent of the county population so we need to do what WE think is best". These statements were targeted and intentional. I am grateful this was recorded for future action that the community will need to take to ensure our voice is heard. Kellie Todd Griffin Carson Resident		
-	Chris Rowe -	City Watch LA Article Dear Honorable Commissioners and employees,	11/29/2021	n/a

I am not a member of a non-profit that is doing outreach on
Redistricting. I am a former member of the West Hills
Neighborhood Council as you have heard many times, and I work
with three local Neighborhood Councils.
CityWatchLA is my only way to do outreach to the broader
community of Los Angeles. Many of the people that write for
CityWatch are members of Neighborhood Councils; sometimes I
see posts by current or former LA City Council members.
I do hope that my article will encourage more people to
participate. And I hope that you will read it, and that you will listen
to what I am asking for and why I am asking for a particular change
in your maps.
I just do not understand why when so many people were on the LA
City Redistricting calls - as many as 500? - that we are not hearing
from that same number of people, and a diverse group.
I reached out to EmpowerLA this past week. They are also known
as the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment aka: DONE. I
asked why they only had noticed the people that receive their
email blast - one went out on November 23rd, 2021, to those who
are subscribed just as I am subscribed to your emails.
Today, the representative from EmpowerLA stated that she had
posted your two upcoming meetings on Social Media - FACEBOOK,
Twitter, and I did see that she had posted it on NEXTDOOR. But she
did not post tonight's meeting. I just posted that to that string
within about the last half an hour.
I know that you are unlikely to read this prior to tonight's meeting,
but I do hope you will prior to Wednesday's meeting.
I want to bring another issue to you regarding the San Fernando
Valley please. One person in your documents asked you to keep
Toluca Lake whole with Burbank. I believe that Toluca Lake - an LA
City - should be a part of the San Fernando Valley District 3 that I
am asking for with its contiguous neighbors of North Hollywood,
Valley Glen, Studio City, Sherman Oaks, and the Universal City area.

		I also believe that Burbank should be a part of that San Fernando Valley District as my article mentions due to the airport flight paths. Last night, I called a friend who is a member of the Armenian community. I asked her if she could define the Armenian community as it was defined for the LA City Redistricting purposes. I did find in their Report to the City, a letter to the LA City Council with the communities named that included Burbank, North Hollywood, Valley Glen, etc. I would like that Community of Interest (COI) to be a part of the San Fernando Valley as it is in the LA City Council with Councilmember Paul Krekorian currently representing his District. Please keep the San Fernando Valley whole with the Las Virgenes COI. Please take out the "Flats" of Beverly Hills for population. Please do not include Santa Monica with the San Fernando Valley. Please think in terms of the high fire area hillsides. The Air Quality Management District has "No Burn Day" alerts going out right now. Thank you. Respectfully, Chris Rowe West Hills 91307		
- Stuart W	aldman -	Attached is the San Fernando Valley shape file. Also here is a link to a <u>report</u> that VICA commissioned on the San Fernando Valley from the CSUN Geography Department. Stuart Waldman, Esq. President Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)	11/30/2021	<u>View attachment</u>
- Zev Yaro	slavsky -	I have a suggestion regarding the cut in the proposed 3rd district in map G. Take the area west of Topanga Blvd., north of Roscoe, east of the Ventura County line, up to the Map G proposed boundary between the 3rd and the 5th. The area I described is in the 3rd in	11/30/2021	n/a

		 Map G. Take that area, put it in the 5th, return Sylmar to the 3rd, and see if that resolves your deviation issue. I think it will be close. Most of the area I described is currently in the 5th, so there would be no change of districts either there or in Sylmar. By addressing the deviation issue in this way, Sylmar remains in the 3rd district where it currently is, and the Southwestern part of Chatsworth and its environs remains in the 5th, where it is now. This will keep communities more in tact, and will reduce the number of people in those areas that will find themselves in new supervisorial districts. I have no dog in this fight, but what I'm proposing is an elegant solution that minimizes controversy. Zev Yaroslavsky 		
- Paul Devine	-	-	12/1/2021	View attachment
- Jacky Contreras	-	-	11/30/2021	View attachment