

Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Special Meeting (12/10/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
6.a.	Allegra Padilla	Oppose	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Allegra Padilla and I represent Levitt Pavilion Los Angeles, which is located in MacArthur Park/Westlake. This is an area rich with culture and unique in the significant needs of the community – needs that it shares with neighboring communities.</p> <p>Pico Union, Westlake, and MacArthur Park are a community of interest and it would be very disruptive to its many service providers if they were in separate Supervisorial Districts.</p> <p>Additionally, it is very important that MacArthur Park stay connected with Echo Park and East Los Angeles – in one Supervisorial District. In fact, Echo Park is in the Westlake district of east-central Los Angeles.</p> <p>The issues of food security, health disparities, wealth inequity, and COVID-19 are pronounced in these communities and those experiences most align with other areas of the First District given the many immigrant working-class families who compose these historic neighborhoods.</p> <p>For these reasons, please reject Map B-4 and approve Map F-3.</p>	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	Carol A Sigala	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	Christine L Rowe	Oppose	<p>I really am disappointed that the Co Chairs would not allow Commissioner Holtzman to share Map 93 if that was his plan. We will never know since his notes were not clear on that. As I reexplored his Map 93, it was the closest one to what I could support to keep the San Fernando Valley whole, and to elect a representative that lives in the San Fernando Valley or at least in the Las Virgenes area. Last night I attended the meeting of the Valley Alliance of Neighborhood</p>	12/10/2021	View attachment

		<p>Councils (VANC). It was requested by one of the Board members that I be allowed to speak on the LA County Redistricting process. That meeting was recorded and it will be on the Los Angeles Neighborhood Council Coalition website today.</p> <p>I asked the representatives there from the San Fernando Valley - particularly those who live in Chatsworth and the North Valley, as well as those who live in the Northeast Valley what District they would like to be in.</p> <p>The former President of the Chatsworth Neighborhood Council stated that she believed that Lake Manor, Unincorporated Chatsworth, Chatsworth, Porter Ranch, and Granada Hills would be best served by being in the new Supervisorial District 3. I asked her to please submit her comments. I put the link into chat. I asked members of the East San Fernando Valley communities what their thoughts were on this. The only reference was about keeping the Whiteman Airport going - it is in Pacoima. It is possible that Supervisor Barger has favored this in the past.</p> <p>I called a President of one of the East San Fernando Valley Neighborhood Councils after the VANC meeting. She believes that the more rural communities are more allied with the Santa Clarita Valley - the Neighborhood Councils that are up against the San Gabriel Mountains. I returned to look at Map 93, and that is what Commissioner Holtzman has done. I thought he had the complete SFV in SD 3.</p> <p>I prefer the OP 93 of Commissioner Holtzman to any of the later maps that were drawn by Commissioner Stetcher, Commissioner Kenney, or Commissioner Holtzman. It appears that in each of Commissioner Stetcher's maps - and I believe in Commissioner Kenney's maps, they both keep Santa Monica in the new SD 3.</p> <p>Santa Monica as I have mentioned is more aligned not only with the communities on the Westside like Culver City, West Los Angeles, Westwood, etc, but it is also impacted by LAX. It also should be with Marina del Rey and Venice.</p> <p>Please unify the West San Fernando Valley by taking Santa Monica and other Westside Communities out of the SD 3. Santa Monica added to the San Fernando Valley "Whitens" the San Fernando Valley population which is more diverse:census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/santamoniacitycalifornia,US/PST045219</p> <p>We are proud of our multiethnic and many races and religions neighbors in the San Fernando Valley. It is important to not only keep the Valley whole for the Jewish population which is all over the San Fernando Valley, but to bring in the Armenian Communities which I am told extend to Sunland - Tujunga, Sun Valley, North Hollywood, Valley Glen, and through the gateway community of Toluca</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

			Lake to Burbank and Glendale. Since I was unable to learn to use your software, I would like you to see my map for the City of Los Angeles that achieved close to a 5 - 6% deviation for the City Council Districts. Please see my map 50779 on the attached file.		
6.a.	Diane Britton	Favor	I have been a resident of Whittier for nearly 50 years. For 25 years I have advocated for the Women's and Children's Crisis Shelter, Inc., which provides emergency and support services to victims of domestic violence. I urge the Commission to keep the Gateway cities together in one district. The only map that accomplishes this is Map F-3. As Gateway cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. Map B-4 separates Whittier and La Mirada from the rest of the Gateway cities. Also, it splits Santa Fe Springs and Norwalk into two districts. This is unacceptable, and I respectfully urge the Commission to keep us together in Supervisorial District 4. Thus, I am in favor of Map F-3 and urge its approval.	12/9/2021	n/a
6.a.	Henry Fung	Favor	It's important when redistricting to not use it as a way to further disenfranchise communities. While it is good to try to make things work better in parts of the county they haven't worked, like the San Fernando Valley, it should try its hardest not to hurt existing communities, like Pomona connecting to the San Gabriel Valley or the people living in South LA.	12/9/2021	n/a
6.a.	Hernan Molina	Other	-	12/10/2021	View attachment
6.a.	Jessica Martinez	Favor	Please see attached statement	12/9/2021	View attachment
6.a.	Karen M Davis	Other	As the Committee decides on a final map, I would strongly encourage the adoption of a map the keeps the foothill communities along the 210 freeway in one district, along with those communities north of the San Gabriel Mountains. We all share an interest in the San Gabriel Monument, the mountains and the Angeles Forest. As a Council member of a foothill community, it is important to work with a unified voice for these important assets. Thank you.	12/9/2021	n/a
6.a.	Leigh Gasparovic	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
6.a.	Lezlie Campeggi	Other	Enough of the daily gyrations to re-draw maps! Please. This is maddening.	12/9/2021	n/a

6.a.	LOIS BRAND BILLINGS	Oppose	All of my interests and activities are connected to Pasadena, Glendale, Burbank and the Foothill Communities of interest. My family has a long history of involvement in Pasadena, Glendale and Burbank going back to the early 1900's. I understand how these communities of interest interact with their long standing interdependence and geographic proximity. It makes no sense to break this up. The diversity of these communities is evenly dispersed across the Foothills through churches, schools, businesses,communal services and collaboration; and the uniqueness of their common interests and experiences adds to the richness of these communities of interest.	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	Louis W Barnett	Oppose	Keep Burbank and Glendale and Pasadena together.	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	Matthew Wong	Other	Please consider keeping Asian-American communities together. If a division must occur, then the northern cities like South Pasadena, San Marino, Temple City, and Arcadia should stick together while the southern cities like MPK, Alhambra, San Gabriel, Rosemead and El Monte should stay together.	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	Stephen Packwood	Other	Please choose option E	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	Susan B Flanagan	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
6.a.	TODD ELLIOTT	Other	<p>I write to thank the Commission for elevating Maps B-4 and F-3 and urge you in the short time left NOT to elevate other maps. While perhaps no map is perfect and no constituency will be 100% thrilled with the end result, the Commission has done a wonderful job in finding a balance on so many issues reflected in maps B-4 and F-3. Now is the time to tinker with these maps and create and adopt a final map.</p> <p>As someone who has lived in Los Angeles County my entire adult life (over 40 years) and grew-up in Studio City, I want to make clear that the "Valley" and the "Media District" are not synonymous. Indeed, from the 1910's forward the workings of the "Movie Business" could be found all over Los Angeles County. By 1934, Union contracts were being negotiated within the "Studio Zone" a 6-mile (9.7 km) radius from Rossmore Avenue and 5th Street. By 1970, the center of the zone became Beverly and La Cienega Boulevards, the then headquarters of the Association of Motion Picture and Television Picture and Television Producers</p>	12/9/2021	n/a

			<p>(AMPTP). By 1970, the zone expanded to a 30-mile radius, better known as "TMZ."</p> <p>I cannot imagine the how it would be possible to create a singular Supervisorial District that would cover the TMZ. en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Studio_zone#/map/0</p> <p>Respectfully submitted,</p> <p>Todd Elliott, REPRESENTING SELF, Also Life Member NAACP; Member Screen Actors Guild 1985-Present; BA, UCLA Theatre Film and Television 1984, Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa</p>		
6.a.	Wayne Craig	Oppose	This process of redrawing maps on a daily basis without public input is truly chaotic.	12/9/2021	n/a
6.a.	Wendee Lee	Other	We are in favor of Map F-3 only	12/10/2021	n/a
6.b.	Christine L Rowe	Other	<p>I have not had the opportunity of reviewing the Draft Report. The LA City Redistricting Commission submitted their report which is on this link: clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2020/20-0668-S7_misc_10-29-21.pdf It is 3249 pages long. This report references other communities including Shadow Hills to be included with the Armenian Community. I did a key word search of this document which has the term Armenian 255 times.</p> <p>My friend Therese Li submitted her comments in your comments a few days ago, but I am not sure if it was captured that she lives in West Hills. Her comments referenced "Keeping the San Fernando Valley whole".</p> <p>I would greatly appreciate it if you would listen to a resident of the San Fernando Valley about the importance of keeping us whole and why rather than listen to a cartographer in another area of the County.</p> <p>The San Fernando Valley has its own Distinct Communities of Interest. These are captured by the Neighborhood Councils and the 5 whole San Fernando Valley City Council Districts.</p> <p>It has been suggested by the LA City Redistricting Commission that the City of Los Angeles be divided into more City Council Districts.</p> <p>I would recommend to you that you suggest that there be 11 Supervisorial</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			<p>Districts in the next Redistricting cycle, and that you recommend that this be a ballot initiative in 2022.</p> <p>I further recommend greater outreach beyond the Neighborhood Council Systems because, in my opinion, EmpowerLA failed to do any outreach regarding Redistricting LA County until about a week ago to the best of my knowledge. I suggest outreach to the individual Neighborhood Councils and to their Alliances which I have referenced earlier.</p> <p>Also I suggest outreach through NextDoor and through the PATCH Neighborhood publications.</p> <p>Thank you for your service and for your independence from the Board of Supervisors.</p>		
6.b.	Henry Fung	Favor	Overall I would ask that the Commission not make the perfect the enemy of the good and select something by the December 15 deadline and meet its charge to the public. Thank you for all the hard work you do.	12/9/2021	n/a
6.b.	Leigh Gasparovic	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
6.b.	Matthew Wong	Other	If not already, there should be a recommendation to increase the number of county supervisors to reflect the diversity of LA County. Perhaps, increase to 7 within the next 4 years and 10 within the next 6 years. We should also elect a separate county executive to serve as the "county mayor."	12/10/2021	n/a
6.b.	saul newman	Other	i find it disconcerting that ,while the LA city redistricting allowed the Orthodox jewish community to be concentrated together in district five, all of your maps separate us into 2 or 3 different districts....	12/10/2021	n/a
6.b.	Susan B Flanagan	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
6.c.	John Mendoza	Other	Reimagine the FAIRPLEX as a regional economic engine. Put FAIRPLEX and N.Pomona north of 10 freeway in Supervisor District 5. It's time to	12/10/2021	View attachment
6.c.	Leigh Gasparovic	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
6.c.	Susan B Flanagan	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	John Mendoza	Other	Reimagine the future of a Economic Engine focused on county not one city or pro and con group. FAIRPLEX	12/10/2021	n/a

OPTION B-4	An Le	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Andrew Lipa	Favor	Please use this map	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Bob Anderson	Favor	ACCEPTABLE -- But prefer F-3	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Brianna Egan	Favor	The South Bay communities together comprise a community of interest (Torrance, Lomita, Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, Manhattan Beach) that should remain together. Our interests are more closely tied with the Harbor and port regions than with DTLA or South LA. Our communities have historically been linked as port cities and continue to share similar concerns related to coastal ecosystems and economies. This map retains a similar District 4 configuration to the current supervisor map, and though the horse-shoe shape may look strange, it does represent the usual connectedness of these communities as people generally live, work, play, and worship between the South Bay and Harbor regions.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Candice Cho	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Caren Glines-Spilsbury	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Caren Glines-Spilsbury	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Caren Spilsbury	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Caren Spilsbury	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Carlton J Cheffers	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Carol Crosby	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Christina Martin	Favor	Map B4 is the best choice for the needs of the community and residents because the South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the area which are reflected in map B4.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Christine L Rowe	Oppose	This option divides the communities of Chatsworth, Porter Ranch, and Granada Hills from SD 3.	12/10/2021	n/a

OPTION B-4	Constance Sullivan	Favor	Map B-4 includes the Beach Cities with the rest of the South Bay -- Torrance, Palos Verdes Peninsula, Lomita, San Pedro. The Beach Cities belong with these other cities and areas as a single community of interest. We all use the hospitals in Torrance, go to doctors in Torrance, read the Daily Breeze, etc. The Beach Cities provide the recreation areas for this entire community.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Councilmember Nils Nehrenheim	Favor	Keep the South Bay connected, keep the Beach Cities together. So much work and comments have been made regarding keep communities together, keep the South Bay together.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	David Barish	Favor	I prefer Map B2 if it is still on the table but if not, B4 keeps Marin del Rey together with the Ballona Wetlands in one district, which is critical to protect these integrated eco systems	12/10/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-4	Dina Mills	Favor	This is the bestap as it takes in consideration the uniqueness of the south Bay cities.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Eric Dunlap	Other	The unincorporated communities (e.g. Florence-Firestone, Walnut Park, Marina Del Rey) deserve special attention with remaining with the same Supervisorial District because municipal services are provided by the County. In the absence of city councils and mayors in these communities, it's essential that these communities have consistent leadership.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Eric Geffner	Favor	Is absolutely essential that we keep Janice Hahn as the LA County Supervisor for Mariners Village area. I do not understand all the little nuances of this mapping and redistricting plan but I can say that we have to keep her as our representative. I have lived here since 2005 and she has been by far the best and most responsive supervisor that we have had. We depend upon her to help us with the many issues that we face living here in Mariners Village a massive apartment complex of 900 units and thousands of residents right on the channel of Marina del Rey. Please do not redistrict things so that she is no longer our supervisor, that would be abhorrent and very very upsetting. Sincerely, Dr. Eric Geffner	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Ernest K Lee	Oppose	Please keep Chinatown and the rest of West San Gabriel Valley cities in one district because lots of the Chinatown residents have their friends, relatives, family members, business live/located in WSGV. They are connected tightly and all have their heritage roots in Chinatown. They should not be separated in two different County Districts.	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-4	Faraz Aqil	Oppose	The main reason I oppose Map B-4 is because it splits my home district (District 4) the Gateway Cities region by removing the cities of: Whittier, La Mirada, Santa Fe	12/9/2021	n/a

			<p>Springs, and West & South Whittier). Please move these cities back into District 4 so that my district can have a united voice and good representation with our neighboring cities.</p> <p>I also ask that you move the cities of: Torrance, Redondo Beach, Manhattan Beach and Hermosa Beach to District 2 so that those cities can be represented with their neighboring South Bay Cities. It will also remove that U-shape underneath District 2 that some Commissioners have commented they did not like seeing.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>		
OPTION B-4	Felipe D Longoria	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Frances M Contreras	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Gemma Steib	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Harrison Ryoo	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Helen C Trefflich	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Henry Fung	Favor	<p>A general comment: unincorporated areas deserve special consideration when keeping in same districts vs cities because municipal services are provided by the county, versus in cities where county has less direct relevance. Therefore, when possible and at the edges, try to keep UAs in the same district as before. In the case of B-4, I would urge that Lake Manor (West Chatsworth), East/North San Gabriel, and Charter Oak stay in SD 5. This will also help the fact that SD 5 is low in population.</p> <p>I would move Florence Firestone to SD 2 to not break the existing relationships that community has with the Second District.</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Ireh Yoon	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Jaime Lopez	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a

<p>OPTION B-4</p>	<p>James Mando</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Map B-4 inexplicably cuts out that strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst neighborhood along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, but has left most of Stonehurst and all of Shadow Hills in District 5 with Lake View Terrace, Hansen Dam, and the Big T wash. However, it has cut out the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put that side of the street and the streets south of La Tuna Canyon Road into District 3. This separates part of La Tuna Canyon from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities still in District 5.</p>	<p>12/10/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION B-4</p>	<p>Jan Chase</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>I am writing on behalf of Compassionate Pomona, which works to help our city work together as a whole on projects that support compassionate actions everywhere. As a Compassionate City through the Charter for Compassion, we work together as a whole city to lift the consciousness of all residents into heart-centered actions. We work to spread compassion and respect throughout our city. Pomona is a leader of the cities around us and needs to be kept together at all levels of government.</p> <p>Thank you for the maps that will keep Pomona in District One. I am appalled to even think that our city could be split for political reasons. This would be horrible! Pomona needs to be kept whole. There is no other city (other than the mammoth city of Los Angeles) that is split into more than one district. I understand that the reason the concept of splitting Pomona was based on La Verne having a sliver of Fairplex. That is FAR outweighed by Fairplex's very active and positive role within the City of Pomona. During the pandemic, Fairplex provided so many services in Pomona, including providing free childcare for children of first responders, being a site for testing, vaccinations and food bank distributions, and being the site of a quarantine hotel. Secondly, Pomona needs to remain in District One. Many nonprofits and agencies that provide valuable services in Pomona also operate in other cities across that district, including Foothill Family Services and Day One, to name a few. These are cities that have historic regional collaboration around issues of mutual concern and that bind us together. Keep Pomona whole, and keep Pomona in District One!</p> <p>Thank you, Rev. Jan Chase Conveener of Compassionate Pomona</p>	<p>12/9/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>

<p>OPTION B-4</p>	<p>Jan W Chase</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>I am writing on behalf of Unity Church of Pomona, which has been a unifying force in our Pomona community for almost 90 years. We work to spread compassion and respect throughout our city. Pomona is a leader of the cities around us and needs to be kept together at all levels of government.</p> <p>I want to thank you for the maps that will keep Pomona in District One.</p> <p>I am appalled to even think that our city could be split for political reasons. This would be horrible! Pomona needs to be kept whole. There is no other city (other than the mammoth city of Los Angeles) that is split into more than one district.</p> <p>I understand that the reason the concept of splitting Pomona was based on La Verne having a sliver of Fairplex. That is FAR outweighed by Fairplex's very active and positive role within the City of Pomona. During the pandemic, Fairplex provided so many services in Pomona, including providing free childcare for children of first responders, being a site for testing, vaccinations and food bank distributions, and being the site of a quarantine hotel.</p> <p>Secondly, Pomona needs to remain in District One. Many nonprofits and agencies that provide valuable services in Pomona also operate in other cities across that district, including Foothill Family Services and Day One, to name a few. These are cities that have historic regional collaboration around issues of mutual concern and that bind us together.</p> <p>Keep Pomona whole, and keep Pomona in District One!</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Rev. Jan Chase Minister of Unity Church of Pomona</p>	<p>12/9/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION B-4</p>	<p>Joe F Corey</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>12/10/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>

OPTION B-4	John Mendoza	Oppose	FAIRPLEX, City of Pomona and CARVANA agreement. Impacting San Dimas, Claremont, La Vern, Pomona truck diesel pollution tra	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-4	John Mendoza	Other	<p>Request that the FAIRPLEX located in Pomona as well in neighbors city of La Vern in Los Angeles County leased property be crafted into Supervisor District Five. The FAIRPLEX is a regional economic engine however is in only one Supervisor District but many issues such as future use under a Specific Plan initiated by FAIRPLEX has become a political tool by Pomona City Hall and Mayor with alliance with Supervisor District 1 to exclude community in close proximity who have been over impacted by FAIRPLEX events. Placing FAIRPLEX in Supervisor 5 would take the use of FAIRPLEX out of the hands of solely one city. Crafting into Supervisor 5 District would enable neighbors cities above the 10 freeway San Dumas, La Vern, Claremont and Pomona a opportunity for regional use and limit the influences currently in progress for political alliances for future local politics in Pomona. The Congresswomen who lives directly across FAIRPLEX has been exclude several times from being in loop with FAIRPLEX affairs by the Mayor of Pomona and First District Supervisor.</p> <p>Example was the housing of immigrant refuge's which excluded the Congresswomen who is a Guatamala immigrant. Using a County owned facility use should not be used as a political tool for personal regional promotion. Furthermore after the Rave event at FAIRPLEX which resulted in a drug overdose., trafficking, noise and trash a outside the loop of the 1st Supervisor office by the Congresswomen and Assembly member resulted in a State Auditor report the revealed access salaries for the past CEO Henwood of FAIRPLEX and executives. Campaign literature during the 2020 N. Pomona City Council election had the photos of a Supervisor of the First District and loosing candidate for N. Pomona City Council endorsement after the council person was not reelected due to reckless FAIRPLEX Rave even. A shared community of interest among Cities in direct proximity should not be exclusive too local politics in Pomona N. When all 4 cities in close proximity La Vern, Claremont, San Dumas and Pomona should be at the table to achieve regional Ballance. Recently a Car dealer CARVANA was granted use too store vehicles on a parking lot at FAIRPLEX under a agreement between FAIRPLEX, City of Pomona and Caravan. No cities in close proximity to FAIRPLEX were notified including residents in N. Pomona above the 10 freeway freeway. Outside the agreement 10,000</p>	12/9/2021	n/a

			<p>vehicles were transported inside FAIRPLEX property for illegal car sales. Traffic from diesel truck impacted neighbors streets in Pomona, La Vern, Claremont and San Dumas. A neighborhood petition drive in opposition in Pomona and La Vern resulted in pressure to force City of Pomona to release a agreement to cease operating CARVANA which only the Mayor, City Attorney and CARAVAN executives we're aware.</p> <p>Please consider placing the N. Pomona area above the 10 freeway surrounded by San Dumas, La Vern and Claremont in Supervisor District 5 for regional community of interest regarding a economic engine FAIRPlex.</p>		
OPTION B-4	Josue Alvarado	Favor	<p>Hello, thank you commissioners for doing a great job in hearing the publics comments. I would like to kindly request that you please select option B4 as your final map as it best serves the interest of the regions and allows Whittier to remain with the majority of gateway cities. Being able to collaborate on mutually beneficial projects with our neighboring Gateway Cities has been instrumental to our region. In addition there are many polititians who benefit from option B3 which clusters the latino base in LA county as a whole by moving Whittier to a seperate district continuing the long standing established practice of pushing latinos east. if you adopt option F3 you are only adhering to the typical jerrimandering tactics the electeds have abused for decades in Los Angeles. I strongly urge you to please serve the communities you are put there to protect and select option B4.</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Laila Sanchez	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Lee Coller	Favor	I am strongly in favor of this map. This map keeps most of the South Bay in a single district. As we share common interests this is most ideal.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Leigh Gasparovic	Favor	This option best combines communities with common issues and concerns. It's the only one of the options that makes any logical sense. I know you have received extensive input on this point, so I won't repeat that input, but our family strongly supports this option.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Lezlie Campeggi	Favor	This is the only option that currently makes sense for the South Bay and surrounding areas. This option has the least amount of disruption to the current representation as well as who the voters elected into office. Please do not put forth yet another map. Stop and adopt this one!!!	12/9/2021	n/a

OPTION B-4	Lisa Youngworth	Favor	This map makes the most sense for the South Bay and Beach Cities.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Marie Rice	Favor	Please keep the South Bay in District 4 - we have been so well represented by Supervisor Hahn. Map B-4 makes the changes that are needed to balance the districts while maintaining continuity of representation for most communities.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Matthew Wong	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	McKenzie Bright	Favor	Honorable Commissioners, The City of Rancho Palos Verdes is in support of map option B-4, as it retains the City's common representation with the other cities on the Palos Verdes Peninsula and with South Bay cities including Torrance and the Beach Cities. Rancho Palos Verdes shares many cultural and economic similarities with these cities and appreciates our continued inclusion in a supervisorial district. Thank you for your continued efforts to draw the new district lines for the Board of Supervisors.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Melinda Loomis	Favor	Please stop destroying our communities in favor of development and kickbacks. This is what the residents of Marina del Rey want. We don't want our district changed to suit political interests.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Michael Martin	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Nicolas Lippa	Favor	This map is the best one for Los Angeles County	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Peggy Gilhooly	Favor	The South Bay should stay with Paulo's verdes and San pedro	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	RANDI E SPIEGEL	Other	I implore you to go back to the district B-2, which encompasses coastal areas that have similar environmental concerns. We are vitally concerned that these remain a priority. We already have endangered species and animal life that have been threatened by previous supervisors. If the district includes areas with dissimilar priorities, I'm afraid of what will happen. If it is impossible to go back to B-2, I hope you will use map option B-4.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Ron Peterson	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Sean Cazares	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a

OPTION B-4	Stephanie L Campbell	Favor	<p>I am writing on behalf of Pomona’s Promise, our city’s collective impact initiative which has dozens of affiliated agencies, large and small, all working toward the betterment of our city. Pomona is blessed to have a very collaborative culture and a cohesive community spirit.</p> <p>I want to thank you for the maps that will keep Pomona in District One. However, I’ve heard that there is some consideration now being given to splitting Pomona across two districts. This would be horrible! First of all, Pomona needs to be kept whole. There is no other city (other than the mammoth city of Los Angeles) that is split into more than one district.</p> <p>I understand that the reason the concept of splitting Pomona was based on La Verne having a sliver of Fairplex. That is FAR outweighed by Fairplex’s very active and positive role within the City of Pomona. During the pandemic, Fairplex provided so many services in Pomona, including providing free childcare for children of first responders, being a site for testing, vaccinations and food bank distributions, and being the site of a quarantine hotel.</p> <p>Secondly, Pomona needs to remain in District One. Many nonprofits and agencies that provide valuable services in Pomona also operate in other cities across that district, including Foothill Family Services and Day One, to name a few. These are cities that have historic regional collaboration around issues of mutual concern and that bind us together.</p> <p>Keep Pomona whole, and keep Pomona in District One!</p> <p>Thank you, Stephanie Campbell Chair, Pomona’s Promise Leadership Team</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Steve Zuckerman	Favor	-	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-4	Steven S Lamb	Oppose	This map illogically cuts apart cities and communities that have strong economic and cultural ties. There is no need to do this.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Susan B Flanagan	Oppose	we need to keep coastal communities together to protect access, preserve and not elicit abusive building from developers who want to overbuild.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Susan Wong	Oppose	THIS MAP inexplicably cuts out a strangely shaped internal segment of Stonehurst along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3. These folks live, play, and ride their horses in the Shadow Hills parks and trails, and would be	12/9/2021	n/a

			better served not being severed from their neighborhood. Please restore them to L.A. County Supervisor District 5. The three (3) foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon must remain in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council . All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All 3 communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.		
OPTION B-4	Tania Maguina	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Tanya Wold King	Favor	It is disappointing that the Beach Cities will be broken up (El Segundo especially), but as a former Torrance resident and with family that still lives there, I would prefer for Redondo Beach to stay with Torrance. It is such a shame to break up the South Bay like this and include Cerritos, Downey, Bellflower, South Gate and the far eastern cities in this map. As a Redondo Beach resident, it is crucial for me that we have protection for the marina and beaches. I hope this will do it. It is far from ideal, but the lesser of two evils.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Teresa Mando	Oppose	Map B-4 inexplicably cuts out that strangely shaped segment of the Stonehurst neighborhood along Wealtha Ave. and put those relatively few homes into District 3, but has left most of Stonehurst and all of Shadow Hills in District 5 with Lake View Terrace, Hansen Dam, and the Big T wash. However, it has cut out the homes on the south side of La Tuna Canyon Road out of District 5 and put that side of the street and the streets south of La Tuna Canyon Road into District 3. This separates part of La Tuna Canyon from the other horse-keeping, agrarian, and severe-fire-risk communities still in District 5.	12/10/2021	n/a

OPTION B-4	Terri Dinubilo	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Thomas Gaian T Gaian	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Toni Pace Carstensen	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Tracy Thrower Conyers	Favor	I am a resident of Westchester 90045 asking for your support of the B-4 map because it keeps Playa del Rey and Westchester together and fully intact. We are sister communities and part of the same CD-11. We share a Neighborhood Council.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Victor Moy	Favor	The South Bay communities share the same coastal issues and needs that should not be diluted with non-coastal areas.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Victoria Sabonjohn	Oppose	Keep horse keeping, high fire hillside communities together!	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Viviana N Flores	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Wayne Craig	Favor	Map B4 is the best choice for the county as well as communities of interest in the South Bay and Beach Cities. The South Bay has a harbor, beach, and other coastal issues that impact the region which are properly reflected in map B4. The Beach Cities should be kept within the same district as the PV Peninsula, and San Pedro.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION B-4	Wendee Lee	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	John Mendoza	Other	Put FAIRPLEX in North Pomona and N. Pomona north of 10 freeway in Supervisor District 5. Cities of San Dimas, La Vern, Pomona and Claremont share a community of intere	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	An Le	Favor	In favor, with modifications.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Andrew Lipa	Oppose	Bad map and this one disenfranchises the Beach Cities.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Bob Anderson	Favor	ACCEPTABLE -- Prefer over B-4	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Brianna Egan	Oppose	This option splits Torrance (D4) from the rest of the Beach Cities (D2) which does not make sense from an economic and administrative level. The South Bay includes Torrance, and we are closely linked between commerce, schools, and	12/9/2021	n/a

			workplaces: El Camino College is the community college in Torrance that serves high school graduates from South Bay high schools. The South Bay Cities COG, headquartered in Torrance, undertakes region-wide planning and coordination across all South Bay Cities. We also have shared state and federal representation for the South Bay region. Splitting the Beach Cities from Torrance would disrupt coordination of services and representation for the entire South Bay. Thank you.		
OPTION F-3	Bryant Edwards	Oppose	F-2 option is contradictory to the charge of this independent commission. See attached document. Submitting again because it was unclear on the platform if the submission went through. Thank you.	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Candice Cho	Favor	In favor with modifications. See attachment for Little Tokyo, Thai Town, and Koreatown communities of interest and descriptions of how draft maps B-4 and F-3 divide them.	12/10/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Caren Glines-Spilsbury	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Caren Glines-Spilsbury	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Caren Spilsbury	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Caren Spilsbury	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Carlton J Cheffers	Favor	-	12/10/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Carol Bingaman	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Carol Crosby	Favor	It is imperative that the Gateway Cities be kept together and communities such as our neighboring Santa Fe Springs are not split into two. Whittier wants to stay in Supervisorial District 4!	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Cathy Warner	Favor	As a resident of and the Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Whittier, I am urging the commission to keep the Gateway cities together. The ONLY map that does so is Map F-3. As Gateway cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. Businesses and organizations in all the Gateway cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, collaborate on regional projects to	12/10/2021	n/a

			address homelessness and support each other in serving our mutual constituents. I request that the Commission reject map B-4 and APPROVE Map F-3. Thank You		
OPTION F-3	Cheryl Estep	Favor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As a resident of (City), I would like to urge the commission to keep the Gateway cities together. The only map that does this is Map F-3 • As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. • The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents. • I request the Commission reject map B-4 and approve map F-3 	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Christina Martin	Oppose	Incorporating LA city with Redondo Beach does not make sense. The combining will undermine our ability to have an appropriate say in county matters. This feels like gerrymandering.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Christine L Rowe	Oppose	This option not only divides Chatsworth, Porter Ranch, and Granada Hills from SD 3 it divides the community of West Hills.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Cindy Bloom	Favor	I am amending my previous preferred map	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Councilmember Nils Nehrenheim	Oppose	This map works, but break apart the Beach Cities in half. Why cut an entire community in half?	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Cynthia Nickell	Favor	<p>I am a resident of Whittier and work at the Boys & Girls Club of Whittier. I would like to urge the commission to keep the Gateway cities (Whittier to Long Beach/San Pedro) together. The best suggested map is Map F-3.</p> <p>. Both businesses and organizations in the Gateway cities work together to address common needs including homelessness and support each other in serving our mutual constituents.</p> <p>· Keeping the Gateway Cities together in Map Option F-3 will more effectively serve the common needs of the residents of these cities, and will also provide efficiencies to the County and effectiveness in serving all constituencies.</p>	12/9/2021	n/a

			· I request the Commission approve map F-3 for the County of Los Angeles. Thank you.		
OPTION F-3	David Barish	Oppose	this map separates Marina del Rey from Ballona Wetlands. It is critical that these connected areas and eco systems remain together in the same district	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Diane Britton	Favor	I have been a resident of Whittier for nearly 50 years. For 25 years I have advocated for the Women's and Children's Crisis Shelter, Inc., which provides emergency and support services to victims of domestic violence. I urge the Commission to keep the Gateway cities together in one district. The only map that accomplishes this is Map F-3. As Gateway cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. Map B-4 separates Whittier and La Mirada from the rest of the Gateway cities. Also, it splits Santa Fe Springs and Norwalk into two districts. This is unacceptable, and I respectfully urge the Commission to keep us together in Supervisorial District 4.	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Dina Mills	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Eric Dunlap	Other	The unincorporated communities (e.g. Florence-Firestone, Walnut Park, Marina Del Rey) deserve special attention with remaining with the same Supervisorial District because municipal services are provided by the County. In the absence of city councils and mayors in these communities, it's essential that these communities have consistent leadership.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Ernest K Lee	Favor	Please keep Chinatown and the rest of West San Gabriel Valley cities in one district because lots of the Chinatown residents have their friends, relatives, family members, business live/located in WSGV. They are connected tightly and all have their heritage roots in Chinatown. They should not be separated in two different County Districts.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Faraz Aqil	Favor	I love how F-3 map keeps the SELA-Gateway Cities region together by including cities of Whittier, Huntington Park, and Downey in District 4. I do recommend that Commissioners move the city Torrance to District 2 so that it can stay together with the other South Bay cities.	12/9/2021	n/a

			<p>And please make sure you unsplit West Hills (LA) by moving the rest of it back into District 3. You should also move Lake Manor (unincorporated) to District 3 so that the area stays compact.</p> <p>Thank you very much.</p>		
OPTION F-3	Felipe D Longoria	Favor	-	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Frances M Contreras	Favor	As a resident of Whittier, I would like to urge the commission to keep the Gateway cities together. The only map that does this is Map F-3	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Gemma Steib	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Gina Economou	Favor	<p>Please, please do not separate La Tuna Canyon by North and South. To put our south side of the homes and mountain into a separate district would be extremely detrimental to our way of life. We are a horse keeping and rural living neighborhood, and should be kept in one district. Not to mention the fire danger the whole canyon faces as a whole. Not just the north or south side. We should be kept whole.</p> <p>Please, keep our neighborhood in one piece! Thank you</p>	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Harrison Ryoo	Favor	<p>My name is Harrison Ryoo, and I am the Community Outreach Intern at the Korean American Coalition (KAC) in Los Angeles, a 501(c)3, non-profit organization in the heart of Koreatown that has advocated for the civic and civil rights interests of the Korean American Community since 1983.</p> <p>KAC is a member of the Koreatown Redistricting Task Force as well as a member of the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council, which is part of the People's Bloc.</p> <p>Thank you for heeding our testimonies from earlier this week and trying to unify various COI's. We are in support of Map F-3 with minor adjustments and we oppose B-4 as it still divides COI's. Please see the attached maps below where we need slight amendments. We ask the Commission to amend Map F-3 as Koreatown is still not whole. Please see Exhibit 1 as the map of Koreatown that the People's Bloc previously submitted for reference. We are missing several blocks on our south-eastern part of Koreatown Please see Exhibit 2 which outlines the missing blocks in red.</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			The COI of Koreatown needs to be kept whole. There are nearly 5000 petition signatures on change.org and written petitions in support of unifying Koreatown. The LA City Council voted unanimously this week on the hybrid map that unifies Koreatown whole in one district in the City. Please do the same at the County level and keep Koreatown whole in one Supervisor District. We urge you to honor the Census data, as well as our testimonies and protect our community of interest by keeping Koreatown whole.		
OPTION F-3	Helen Hernandez Root	Favor	This map preserves the Latino cultural institutions that are important to the Eastside community and must be preserved in the First District.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Henry Fung	Favor	<p>A general comment: unincorporated areas deserve special consideration when keeping in same districts vs cities because municipal services are provided by the county, versus in cities where county has less direct relevance. Therefore, when possible and at the edges, try to keep UAs in the same district as before. In the case of F-3, Walnut Islands/Ramona (except Cal Poly Pomona) should be in SD 5. Florence Firestone should be in SD 2. This will also help relieve the overpopulation of SD 4 since by moving Florence Firestone to SD 2, it will help balance out the two.</p> <p>I would also add Azusa back to SD 1 and its surrounding unincorporated islands to bolster this historically Latino city, and place Covina and its islands in SD 5 as requested by the city (while keeping East Irwindale and Vincent in SD 1). For the boundary of SD 5 on the west/northwest San Fernando Valley, I would consider using the same boundaries as today if population balance is needed. This will still enable most of SD 3 to contain the Valley.</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Ireh Yoon	Favor	Thank you for heeding our testimonies from earlier this week and trying to unify various COI's. We are in support of Map F-3 with minor adjustments and we oppose B-4 as it still divides COI's. Please see the attached maps below where we need slight amendments. We ask the Commission to amend Map F-3 as Koreatown is still not whole. Please see Exhibit 1 as the map of Koreatown that the People's Bloc previously submitted for reference. We are missing several blocks on our south-eastern part of Koreatown Please see Exhibit 2 which outlines the missing blocks in red.	12/10/2021	View attachment

			The COI of Koreatown needs to be kept whole. There are nearly 5000 petition signatures on change.org and written petitions in support of unifying Koreatown. The LA City Council voted unanimously this week on the hybrid map that unifies Koreatown whole in one district in the City. Please do the same at the County level and keep Koreatown whole in one Supervisor District. We urge you to honor the Census data, as well as our testimonies and protect our community of interest by keeping Koreatown whole.		
OPTION F-3	Jaime Lopez	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	James Mando	Favor	Map F-3 keeps all of Shadow Hills, Stonehurst, Lake View Terrace, La Tuna Canyon, and the Big T-wash and Hansen Dam in District 5. MUST keep Shadow Hills as a community unit, keeping all the horsekeeping and fire prone areas together so that the communities voices are a unit and not split apart as in mapb-4.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Jessica Martinez	Favor	The City of Whittier is in support of map F-3. It keeps the members of Gateway Cities together.	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Joachim Stuart	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Joe F Corey	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	John Lewis	Favor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As a resident and Councilmember of the City of La Mirada, I would like to urge the commission to keep the Gateway cities together. The only map that does this is Map F-3 • As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. • The Gateway cities should all be kept together as communities of interest. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents. • I request the Commission reject map B-4 and approve map F-3 	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	John Mendoza	Other	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	John Mendoza	Oppose	Same	12/9/2021	View attachment

<p>OPTION F-3</p>	<p>Josue Alvarado</p>	<p>Favor</p>	<p>Commission, i want to apologize for getting my maps confused in my first submission. i am resending and editing my comments to reflect the same thing and correcting map (its f-3 that best reflects my opinion not B4. I was unfortunately taking a programing coding final and got map named confused. please accept this as my official correct statement and disregard my previous submitted comments and refer to below:</p> <p>Thankyou commissioners for doing a great job in hearing the public comments these last few meetings, you are on the home stretch. I would like to beg you to please select option F3 as your final map as it best serves the interest of the LA areas and allows whittier to remain as together with the majority of the gateway cities to allow our city and its leaders to continue to collaborate with our neighbors on mutually beneficial projects. it is very difficult to place whittier in a seperate supervisorial district. There are many politicians who will benefit from the adoption of B4 as you will be diluting the Latino vote in the east part of LA by centering it in one district only and placing whittier into that district seperate from the gateway cities. if you adopt B4 you are pny; ahdering to the typical jerrymandering practives that electeds have abused in LA county for decades. I strongly urge you to please serve the communities you are put there to protect by adopting option F3.</p> <p>thank you and again apologies for my error and previous message.</p>	<p>12/10/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>OPTION F-3</p>	<p>Julie Rico</p>	<p>Other</p>	<p>Thursday, December 9, 2021</p> <p>Dear County's Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) -Keep Map F-3</p> <p>I am a resident of Chinatown for over 10 years. The community members are stalwart people of immeasurable contribution to the fabric of the city of Los Angeles.</p> <p>I know this because of my volunteer work with CCCLA see: lachinatowncc.org</p> <p>We are up in arms over the recent development to separate Chinatown from our</p>	<p>12/9/2021</p>	<p>n/a</p>

			<p>neighbor communities of Solano Canyon, Victor Heights, the State Historic Park, and Lincoln Heights.</p> <p>Additionally, our group is working on several projects that will improve the Chinatown community and if we change districts it would place in jeopardy our worthwhile projects.</p> <p>We work hand in hand with Little Tokyo and this change would split Little Tokyo something we do not want to see happen.</p> <p>I urge the Redistricting Commission to support map F-3.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and good efforts. I am sure you will decide on the correct side of this conversation.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Julie Rico</p>		
OPTION F-3	Karen L Hill	Other	please choose Option E for redistricting	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Laila Sanchez	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Laurie Baccus	Favor	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Laurie Perschbacher	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Lee Coller	Oppose	I am opposed to this map because it separates the Beach Cities (Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, and Redondo Beach) from the rest of the South Bay (Torrance and the PV Peninsula). I know this map placed these cities in SD2 due to the proximity to LAX and the belief that LAX was a major issue - LAX is not major issue for the Beach Cities. Please keep the South Bay all in the same district.	12/9/2021	n/a

OPTION F-3	Leigh Gasparovic	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Lezlie Campeggi	Oppose	Again, absurd, as are these daily changes with the expectation that constituents have to stay on top of these incessant changes. We are tired of having to monitor this, daily, by an unelected commission making this re-districting decision. What is clear through this process is how disconnected this commission is to the County, the Districts, the constituents, and the elected representatives.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Lisa Youngworth	Oppose	Horrible map. Makes no sense to combine the Beach Cities with Downtown Los Angeles.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Marie Rice	Oppose	Opposed to this map option! Please vote for Map B-4!	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Matthew Wong	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Melinda Loomis	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Michael Martin	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Mike Che	Other	I am writing to express my strong support of keeping Plaza, Olvera Street and the Music Center in the first district especially due to the historic significance of those to the communities of that district.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Nicolas Lippa	Oppose	Terrible map please do not consider this one.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Oscar Hernandez	Favor	As a resident of Whittier, I would like to urge the commission to keep the Gateway cities together. The only map that does this is Map F-3	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Peggy Gilhooly	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Pogos S	Other	I support this map with the modifications in map 100. Map 100 takes the benefits of map 81 and other COI testimonies and combines them in a compromise map. SD1- Uniests K-Town with the other API communities in SD1, Keeps Pomona and other essential worker communities of the SGV united and is a 52% Latino CVAP SD2- Keeps all historic Black communities together adds Lynwood and LAX and El Segundo without picking up all the beach communities. still 28+% Black CVAP	12/10/2021	n/a

			<p>SD3- Takes Beach communities north of LAX with Santa Monica bay and the Malibu areas and is able to keep the valley entirely in SD3</p> <p>SD4- Remains a Latino 50% + CVAP and Unites the South Bay beach cities with both ports and the SLA areas.</p> <p>SD5- Unites the San Gabriel Valley Foothills with the Santa Monica Mountain foothills</p>		
OPTION F-3	Ron Peterson	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Sean Cazares	Favor	Map F-3 best represents the Foothill Communities. It keeps Pomona in SD-1 and all the Foothill Communities intact. We in the Foothill Communities urge the Commission to move forward with Map F-3.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Steven S Lamb	Oppose	This map also illogically cuts apart representation within cities and communities that have long standing cultural and economic ties. It is as if someone decided to make a map that makes governance as difficult and cumbersome as possible for everyone,	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Susan Ayala	Other	<p>Gateway Cities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · As a resident of (City), I would like to urge the commission to keep the Gateway cities together. The only map that does this is Map F-3 · As Gateways cities, we are much more closely aligned with our neighbors, from Whittier in the north to Long Beach and San Pedro in the South. · The Gateway cities should all be kept together. Businesses and organizations in all these cities work together to lobby MTA for projects like the West Santa Ana Branch, working on regional projects to address homelessness and supporting each other in serving our mutual constituents. · I request the Commission reject map B-4 and approve map F-3 <p>Thank you, Susan Ayala</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Susan B Flanagan	Oppose	-	12/10/2021	n/a

OPTION F-3	Susan B Halliday	Favor	-	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Susan Wong	Favor	This map keeps the three (3) foothill communities (abutting the Verdugo Mountains, the Angeles National Forest and the Big Tujunga Wash) of Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon in one district, as they currently are in LA City Council District 7 and the Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council . All three rural communities have an equestrian heritage and agrarian lifestyles. All 3 communities are located in Mountain Fire districts and high fire zones. Over the years, these three communities have developed fire protection and evacuation plans which have helped save human and animal lives and properties during our various wildfires (ie. La Tuna Canyon and Creek fires). During major floods of the Big Tujunga Wash Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills have worked together to provide shelter to flood victims and protect neighborhoods from flooding. They also work together to protect and clean up the Big Tujunga Wash. There is a historical cooperation between these three communities due to their common interests and goals. If they were to be separated into different communities, their unified voice and actions would be muted.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Tania Maguina	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Tan-Lee D Lee	Favor	Please keep Chinatown and West San Gabriel Valley Communities together in one District. The Chinese communities are connected tightly culturally, economically, and socially between all these neighborhoods and their heritage roots are in Chinatown.	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Teresa Mando	Favor	Map F-3 keeps all of Shadow Hills, Stonehurst, Lake View Terrace, La Tuna Canyon, and the Big T-wash and Hansen Dam in District 5. MUST keep Shadow Hills as a community unit, keeping all the horsekeeping and fire prone areas together so that the communities voices are a unit and not split apart as in mapb-4.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Terri Dinubilo	Oppose	-	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Tracy Thrower Conyers	Oppose	I am a resident of Westchester 90045 asking you to reject the F-3 map because it divides Playa del Rey into two districts. Playa del Rey and Westchester are sister communities and part of the same CD-11. We share a Neighborhood Council.	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Trese Childs	Favor	-	12/10/2021	n/a

OPTION F-3	Victor Moy	Oppose	Downtown L.A.do not share the same issues and needs that need to be addressed as the coastal communities in the South Bay.	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Victoria Sabonjohn	Favor	This map keeps Shadow Hills, La Tuna Canyon, and other Foothills neighborhoods together!	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Viviana N Flores	Favor	I request the Commission reject map B-4 and approve map F-3	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Wayne Craig	Oppose	Combining Downtown Los Angeles with the South Bay makes no sense and will undermine our representation in Los Angeles County. This map is the textbook definition of Gerrymandering and must be rejected. The Beach cities are also not impacted by LAX and should not be combined in D2 as has been proposed.	12/9/2021	View attachment
OPTION F-3	Wendee Lee	Favor	Keep our country community in tacked. Do NOT exclude the Stonehurst neighborhood in such an odd fashion as proposed in Map B-4, which is obviously dubious at best. Do the right thing! Keep our community together inside Map F-3. PLEASE!! We are a bastion of Foothill communities desperate to keep our neighborhoods rural and natural. Vote for Map F-3!!	12/10/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Wendy K Murrietta	Favor	I request the Commission reject map B-4 and approve map F-3	12/9/2021	n/a
OPTION F-3	Yanira Leon	Favor	This map ensures that Olvera Street and LA Plaza de Cultura y Artes - both longtime cultural institutions that are deeply weaved into the identity of the Eastside community - remain in the same supervisorial district together with the community that they most serve. As a longtime resident of Highland Park, and now La Puente, both Olvera Street and LA Plaza have each been a source of pride and a reminder of our historical roots. To split them away into a different Supervisorial District would be a disservice to the constituents of the Eastside communities.	12/10/2021	n/a
-	Chris Rowe	-	-	12/9/2021	View attachment
-	Isela Briseno	-	Please find attached a letter from the City of Torrance regarding redistricting.	12/9/2021	View attachment
-	Margaret Herele	-	Transmission received from Executive Office	12/9/2021	View attachment
-	Hildegard Weck	-	Transmission received from Executive Office	12/9/2021	View attachment

-	Leticia Casillas Sanchez	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners, my name is Leticia Casillas-Sanchez and I'm from Pomona.</p> <p>Thank you to the Commissioners for your months of hard work.</p> <p>In response to one commenter who insists on splitting up Pomona into two districts, I want to express my concern that the Commission would even consider this split as you have kept all other cities whole, except for the City of LA.</p> <p>We must be kept together with the Fairplex especially because that is our only economic engine. The Fairplex belongs with Pomona, and the entirety of Pomona belongs with District 1. We are not similar to the Northern San Gabriel Valley foothill cities.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Leticia Casillas Sanchez, MPH</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
-	Craig Taubman	-	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>Please see attached my letter in response to the proposed redistricting of Supervisorial District 1.</p> <p>Should you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>Craig Taubman</p> <p>Pico Union Project</p>	12/9/2021	View attachment
-	Fridah Sanchez	-	<p>Hello Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Fridah Sanchez and I am a resident of Pomona and wanted to begin by taking the time to thank you for your patience through this process. I strongly encourage you to take into consideration that Pomona reflects the same values and culture of other cities in District 1. Removing Pomona from District 1 would</p>	12/9/2021	n/a

			<p>cause a community to be split. Furthermore, splitting Pomona as a city would cause further division in a city that has worked so hard to preserve its values, cultures, and diversity. This would endanger the southern section of Pomona and further criminalize individuals living in the less affluent areas of the city. This form of redlining is unacceptable. I strongly encourage that the city remain intact as it is and that it isn't removed from District 1 as this will cause extreme chaos and division while also implying that the less affluent individuals should be divided from more wealthy areas of the community.</p> <p>Again, I appreciate your time and consideration.</p> <p>Thank you, Fridah</p>		
-	Margaret Aichele	-	<p>Good evening Commissioners, my name is Margaret Aichele and I'm from The dA Center for the Arts in Pomona.</p> <p>Thank you to the Commissioners for your months of hard work.</p> <p>In response to one commenter who insists on splitting up Pomona into two districts, I want to express my concern that the Commission would even consider this split as you have kept all other cities whole, except for the City of LA.</p> <p>The entirety of Pomona belongs with District 1. We are not similar to the Northern San Gabriel Valley foothill cities.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Margaret Aichele Executive Director dA Center for the Arts</p>	12/9/2021	n/a
-	Mary Buttice	-	<p>Good morning</p> <p>Attached please find letter from Arcadia Mayor Sho Tay regarding support for Map B-4 and F-3</p>	12/10/2021	View attachment

			<p>Regards Mary</p> <p>Mary Buttice Executive Assistant, City Manager's Office City of Arcadia</p>		
-	Nora Garcia	-	<p>Good morning Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Nora Garcia and I'm from the City of Pomona.</p> <p>First, I want to thank you for your months of hard work. Being a commissioner on special commissions like this is never easy. Your hard work is appreciated.</p> <p>Second, I would ask that the Commission keep Pomona together and in District 1. To do anything else would help perpetrate the long and damaging history of red lining which has affected Pomona.</p> <p>I want to express my concern that the Commission would even consider this split as you have kept all other cities whole, except for the City of LA. I see this as unfair, biased, and harmful to communities of interest in Pomona. We are not similar to the Northern San Gabriel Valley foothill cities and any indication to the contrary is misinformed.</p> <p>The Fairplex belongs with Pomona, and Pomona as a whole belongs with District 1.</p> <p>Thank you again for your time and consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely, Nora Garcia 33 year resident of Pomona</p>	12/10/2021	n/a
-	Marisol Ramirez	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners,</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			<p>My name is Marisol Ramirez and I'm from Pomona.</p> <p>First, I would like to thank you for your months of hard work.</p> <p>Second, I am extremely concerned that the Commission is even considering splitting up Pomona into two districts. You have kept all other cities whole, except for the City of LA.</p> <p>The Fairplex must be kept in Pomona as it is one of our major economic engines. especially because that is our only economic engine. The Fairplex belongs with Pomona, and the entirety of Pomona belongs with District 1. We are not similar to the Northern San Gabriel Valley foothill cities.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Marisol Ramirez, Esq.</p>		
-	Sergio Rascon	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Sergio Rascon and I represent LIUNA Local 300, which is located in the Pico Union Community at 2005 W. Pico Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90006. This is an area rich with culture and unique in the significant needs of the community – needs that it shares with neighboring communities.</p> <p>Pico Union, Westlake, and MacArthur Park are a community of interest and it would be very disruptive to its many service providers if they were in separate Supervisorial Districts.</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

		<p>Additionally, it is very important that MacArthur Park stay connected with Echo Park and East Los Angeles – in one Supervisorial District. In fact, Echo Park is in the Westlake district of east-central Los Angeles.</p> <p>The issues of food security, health disparities, and COVID-19 are pronounced in these communities and those experiences most align with other areas of the First District given the many immigrant working-class families who compose these historic neighborhoods.</p> <p>For these reasons, please reject Map B-4 and approve Map F-3.</p> <p>Thank you!</p> <p>Sergio Rascon, Business Manager</p>			
-	Jose Puente	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners, my name is Jose Puente and I have been a Pomona resident my entire life.</p> <p>Thank you to the Commissioners for your months of hard work.</p> <p>In response to one commenter who insists on splitting up Pomona into two districts, I want to express my concern that the Commission would even consider this split as you have kept all other cities whole, except for the City of LA.</p> <p>We must be kept together with the Fairplex especially because that is our only economic engine. The Fairplex belongs with Pomona, and the entirety of Pomona belongs with District 1. We are not similar to the Northern San Gabriel Valley foothill cities.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			Best, Jose Puente		
-	Carlos Antonio H. Vaquerano	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Carlos Vaquerano, CEO, and I represent Clinica Romero, which is located in Pico-Union. Thank you for bringing up Pico-Union and Westlake previously. These are areas rich with culture and unique in the significant needs of the community – needs that it shares with its community in MacArthur Park.</p> <p>Pico Union, Westlake, and MacArthur Park are a community of interest and it would be very disruptive to its many service providers if they were in separate Supervisorial Districts.</p> <p>Additionally, it is very important that MacArthur Park stay connected with Echo Park and East Los Angeles – in one Supervisorial District. In fact, Echo Park is in the Westlake district of east-central Los Angeles. The issues of food security, health disparities, COVID-19 are pronounced in these communities. And those experiences most align with other areas of the First District, given the many immigrant families who compose these historic neighborhoods.</p> <p>For these reasons, please reject Map B-4 and approve Map F-3.</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			<p>Clínica Monseñor Oscar A. Romero (Clínica Romero) is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit and Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) established in 1983 in Los Angeles County. Clinica Romero’s mission is to provide quality, affordable and culturally sensitive health care and other services to the uninsured, insured and underserved communities of greater Los Angeles regardless of their ability to pay by upholding the legacy and tradition of Monseñor Oscar Romero. Thank you for your time.</p> <p>“Stay Safe and Healthy.”</p> <p>- Carlos</p>		
-	Carol Sigala	-	<p>Good afternoon Honorable Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Dr. Carol Sigala. I work at Rio Hondo Community College, which serves the areas of La Habra Heights, Whittier, South El Monte, and other surrounding areas.</p> <p>Thank you for your work and I know how hard it must be to absorb all of this conflicting information.</p> <p>I just want to remind you that you removed La Habra Heights from District 1, after adding it in because it is a community of interest with Whittier and Gateway Cities, not with Rowland and Hacienda Heights.</p> <p>But in Map F-3, which I think is a good map, you added La Habra Heights back in with District 1 and Rowland, Hacienda Heights. I believe this might have been a mistake and you can put it back into District 4, without having to balance the population since there are only around 5 thousand people in La Habra Heights.</p> <p>Please support Map F-3, but please remove La Habra Heights from District 1 and add it back into District 4 – it is a community of interest with Whittier. I hope you</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			<p>will take my suggestions to heart since I have worked with these communities for over 30 years.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Dr. Carol Sigala</p>		
-	Alex Alvarado	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners, My name is Alex Alvarado. I am a community member and activist in the Pico Union/ Westlake/ McArthur Park area of Los Angeles. The Pico Union area is rich with culture, history and is unique in the significant needs of the community – needs that it shares with its community in MacArthur Park.</p> <p>Pico Union, Westlake and MacArthur Park are areas with common interest and similar needs and it would be very disruptive to its many service providers if they were in separate Supervisorial Districts. Separating these areas into separate districts WILL be devastating to the communities we serve.</p> <p>The issues of food security, housing security, health disparities, and COVID-19 are pronounced in these communities. I know because I was out in what we describe as "the trenches" during the height of COVID-19. And those experiences most align with other areas of the First District given the fact that these areas are mostly composed of immigrant families.</p> <p>For these reasons, please reject Map B-4 and approve Map F-3.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Alex Alvarado Community Advocate & Activist</p>	12/10/2021	n/a
-	Ernesto Pantoja	-	<p>Good afternoon Commissioners,</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

		<p>My name is Ernesto J. Pantoja and I am a representative of LIUNA Local 300, which has been headquartered in the Pico Union Community at 2005 W. Pico Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90006 for over sixty seven (67) years. We are a local construction Union that was chartered in 1903 here in Los Angeles. As mentioned over half of that existence headquartered in the Pico Union Area. We service over 8,000 active and retired members, almost 500 alone living within a square mile of our location. We have been part of the fabric of this community for almost a century. In 2011 we further committed to calling the Pico Union Community our home by building a brand new state of the art administration building for 21 Million Dollars. We chose to do this because this is an area rich with culture and unique in the significant needs of the community – needs that it shares with neighboring communities. Needs that we not only assist in meeting for our members that live locally to us, but also by those serviced by other local community groups and service organizations in this area.</p> <p>Pico Union, Westlake, and MacArthur Park are a community of interest and it would be very disruptive to its many service providers if they were in separate Supervisorial Districts.</p> <p>Additionally, it is very important that MacArthur Park stay connected with Echo Park and East Los Angeles – in one Supervisorial District. In fact, Echo Park is in the Westlake district of east-central Los Angeles.</p> <p>The issues of food security, health disparities, and COVID-19 are pronounced in these communities and those experiences most align with other areas of the First District given the many immigrant working-class families who compose these historic neighborhoods, like our active and most importantly our retired members.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>For these reasons, please reject Map B-4 and approve Map F-3.</p> <p>Thank you!</p> <p>Best,</p> <p>Ernesto</p>		
-	Yasenia L. Conde	-	<p>To All Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Yasenia L. Conde and I represent the interest of all immigrant families in the Pico Union/ Westlake/ McArthur Park Area. The areas mentioned are rich with culture and immigration history. The members of these communities have significantly contributed to the economic wellbeing of the City of Los Angeles through their hard work and labor in the Garment Industry. Sometimes enduring verbal abuse, low pay and long hours of work. The human needs in these communities are unique and significant - needs that it shares with its community in MacArthur Park.</p> <p>The Westlake and MacArthur Park are communities that would suffer significantly from the new structure hence it would disrupt the work of many service providers. Social services that are crucial to the overall survival and wellbeing of these communities.</p> <p>Housing security, food security, access to health care, and recovering from the impact of COVID-19 are all visibly pronounced in these communities. And those experiences most align with other areas of the First District given the many immigrant families who inhabit these historic neighborhoods.</p> <p>For these reasons, we ask that Map B-4 be rejected and Map F-3 be approved. The wellbeing of these communities depend on it.</p>	12/10/2021	n/a

			Respectfully Submitted, Yasenia L. Conde Community Advocate		
-	Aaron Robertson	-	-	12/10/2021	View attachment View attachment