



LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (LA County CRC)

**CRC MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING:
Wednesday, December 8, 2021, 7:30 pm**

VIDEO FILES FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED AT: [CLICK HERE](#)

Agenda

AGENDA ITEM 1: CALL TO ORDER

Thai V. Le, Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission (LA County CRC) Clerk, called the meeting to order at 7:33 p.m. He reviewed the process for the public to select either English or Spanish access to interpreters.

ATTENTION ALL PARTICIPANTS

You must select your preferred language!

1. Click on "Interpretation" at the bottom of your screen
 2. Select English or Spanish
- You then can choose to mute the original audio for a clearer interpretation.

ATENCIÓN TODOS LOS PARTICIPANTES

Debe elegir el idioma que prefiere!

1. Haga clic en la "Interpretación" abajo en su pantalla a mano derecha
 2. Elija Inglés o Español
- Luego, puede optar por "silenciar el audio original" para escuchar una interpretación más clara.

AGENDA ITEM 2: ROLL CALL

[LA County CRC's Resolution No. 2021-03](#) enables the Commission to meet virtually in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e)(3) to extend the time during which the Commission may continue to teleconference its meeting without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953 because of COVID- 19 pandemic and health issues.



**IF YOU DO NOT CHOOSE A LANGUAGE, YOU WILL NOT HEAR THE INTERPRETATION.
SÍ USTED NO SELECCIONA UN IDIOMA, NO PODRÁ ESCUCHAR LA INTERPRETACIÓN**

Thai V. Le took roll call. A quorum was present.

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Late; 8:15 pm	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

AGENDA ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF AGENDA – CO-CHAIR CAROLYN WILLIAMS

The agenda was accepted with no changes.

AGENDA ITEM 4: PUBLIC COMMENT—GENERAL— Co-Chair Carolyn Williams

This is the time for public comment on matters not on the agenda. Pursuant to state law, the Commission may not discuss or act on issues not on the meeting agenda, except Commissioners or staff members may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed. Staff may be asked to follow up on such items.

A number of the members of the public indicated that they wanted to make public comment on Agenda Item No. 4. Their public comments were related to Agenda Item No. 6. Co-Chair Dan Mayeda pointed out that their comments would be added to that Agenda Item.

AGENDA ITEM 5: CONSENT ITEMS – CO-CHAIR DAN MAYEDA

Items listed under the consent calendar are considered by the Co-Chairs to be routine in nature and will be enacted by one motion unless a commissioner requests otherwise, in which case the item will be removed for separate consideration.

Co-Chair Dan Mayeda indicated that the Commissioners should review and take appropriate action on the minutes for:

- November 29, 2021
- December 1, 2021
- December 5, 2021

Commissioner David Holtzman questioned why background information on what maps are being considered in the meeting are in the minutes when such information is already posted in the agenda. Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough, Executive Director, explained that such information makes it easier for the public to know what maps are being referred to in the minutes.

Commissioner David Holtzman suggested modifications to the minutes, including: a) clarification that the public saw “a visualization of the two current map options” (page 2), b) change “need” to “needed” (page 5), and c) give reference to the new Map OP 066 once elevated (Page 7). A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes with those corrections. The motion passed.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Motion Made:	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner David Holtzman
Outcome:	Approved

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Late; 8:15 pm	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Commissioner Doreena Wong suggested that Commissioner David Holtzman should provide such edits on other minutes to staff to address prior to the meeting so as not to take up Commissioners' time at the meeting. Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda suggested that the Commission table review and approval of the Minutes for December 1 and December 5, 2021, until the next meeting so that the Commissioners could more quickly get to public comments and focus on reviewing maps.

AGENDA ITEM 6: ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

6a. Consideration and potential adoption of map(s) — Co-Chairs Carolyn Williams and Dan Mayeda

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough provided a visualization of the Map Options discussed at Public Hearing No. 4, namely, Map Options B-3, F-2, and G-1.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Option B-3
ARCBridge analysis of Option B-3. To view this in the ESRI layer view, click:...

Option B-3 (ESRI Layer View)

Option F-2
ARCBridge analysis of Option F-2. To view this in the ESRI layer view, click:...

Option F-2 (ESRI Layer View)

Option G-1
ARCBridge analysis of Option G-1. To view this in the ESRI layer view, click: <https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/option-g-1-esri-layer-view/explore>

Option G-1 (ESRI Layer View)

The current map options are posted on the LA County CRC website: <https://redistricting.lacounty.gov/>. They can also be found on the redistricting hub (<https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/>)

NOTE: Members of the public interested in viewing submitted plans in the redistricting mapping software can follow the instructions in this [video](#) once logged into the [redistricting mapping software](#). Viewing the submitted plans in the mapping software allows for a more in-depth exploration of the plans, including identifying specific communities and cities. Members of the public can also use the software to save their own version of the draft maps, make modifications, and submit for the public and Commissioners to view.

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough shared the scorecard for the maps under consideration:





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission -- Map Scorecard on Options (December 4, 2021)

Maps	Max Deviation	Polsby Popper-Compactness Score	# Majority Minority Districts		Splits				Community of Interest Models					
			Based on Total Population	Based on CVAP	# CSAs		NCs		COI A		COI B		COI C	
Maximum	10%	# SDs >.20	10,047,926	6,315,311	348		99		27		27		27	
Comparison	Pass/Fail	Higher # is Better					Lower # is Better				Lower # is Better			
Denominator	10%	5	5	5	348		99		27		27		27	
	% Dev	# SDs	# SDs	# SDs	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Current SDs	4.36	3	2	1	25	7%	25	25%	13	48%	11	41%	13	48%
B-3	7.83	3	2	2	0	0%	22	22%	10	37%	9	33%	11	41%
F-2	8.18	1	3	2	3	1%	17	17%	8	30%	8	30%	10	37%
G-1	8.16	3	2	2	3	1%	21	21%	10	37%	8	30%	10	37%
						0%		0%						0%
						0%		0%						0%
						0%		0%						0%
						0%		0%						0%
						0%		0%						0%
						0%		0%						0%
						0%		0%						0%
Mean	8.06	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.0		20.0		9.3		8.3		10.3	

COI Legend: <40% 41%-59% 60% >

She highlighted that Map F-2 is less compact than Maps B-3 and G-1. All of the map options had at least 2 majority minority districts, based on total population and CVAP. All options were better than the current supervisorial districts in terms of being responsive to COIs.

The [Scorecard of Map Options](#) on the map options was posted on the LA County CRC website and redistricting map hub as well for the public to view.

Ad Hoc Working Groups' Modifications to the Posted Maps

Two Ad Hoc Working Groups independently prepared modifications to the three maps.

Ad Hoc Working Group that Combined Map B-3 and Map G-1


Commissioner Daniel Mayeda presented the map that his Ad Hoc Work Group prepared that combined Map B-3 and Map G-1, based on public input and identified COIs. Map B-322 and Map G-1 were sequentially overlaid in white over the Ad Hoc Working Group's map for explanation of the changes made. This Ad Hoc Working Group map was referred to as Map B-3 modified for discussion purposes.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Map Draft Option B-3 (modified by Working Group) Visualization



Ad Hoc Working Group that Modified Map F-2


Commissioner Carolyn Williams presented the map that her Ad Hoc Work Group prepared that modified Map F-2, based on public input and identified COIs. Map F-2 was overlaid in white over the Ad Hoc Working Group's map for explanation of the changes made. VICA's SFV was overlaid on both maps for comparison purposes. The Commissioners also looked at Map 081, which was cited frequently at Public Hearing No. 4. This Ad Hoc Working Group map was referred to as Map F-2 modified for discussion purposes.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Map Draft Option F-2 (Modified by Working Group) Visualization



These new map options are posted on the LA County CRC website: <https://redistricting.lacounty.gov/>. They can also be found on the redistricting hub (<https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/>)

Commissioner Questions of Clarification

The Commissioners asked questions of clarification. Both Ad Hoc Working Groups' maps were overlaid on top of each other for further delineation of similarities and differences. The Commissioners observed considerable overlap. The focus was on clarifying changes made and the rationale and justification for the changes.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Public Comments

All individuals who signed up to make public comment had the opportunity to make public comments.

Public comment – see recordings on “VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED” at the start of the minutes:

1. Maria Morales – lives in El Monte; prefers Map F-2
2. Adele Andrade-Stadler – lives in Alhambra; wants 2 supervisors in SGV; prefers Map F-2
3. Paty Lugo – organizer in Pomona; wants Pomona in SD 1
4. Nick Gaines – raised issues about gentrification and population shifts; noted that supervisors remain in office despite what is done with redistricting
5. Aaron Robertson, Advancement Project, prepared the map for the People’s Bloc – wants to keep SELA, ELA, NELA, and DTLA together; wanted to repeat the public comments of dissatisfaction about SD 2 being linked to the seacoast
6. Stuart Waldman, VICA – Preferred modified B-3
7. Cruz Casillas – UCLA student; prefers Map F-2; Pomona is a working-class community; Pomona and Walnut should both be in SD 1
8. Yvette Estrada, NBCUniversal – prefers Map F-2; does not want to have NBC Studios split; wants to be in SD 3
9. Jessica Panduro – wants a CVAP of 53%; do not put historic minority communities with coastal communities
10. Debra Mendez, PEOC/PUSH
11. Chris Rowe – Supported Map 93 by Commissioner Holtzman; does not want West Hills divided; has sent maps to show the streets in West Hills
12. Henry Fung – emphasized the need to create SDs so people can vote for candidates of their choice; prefers fewer beach communities attached to SD 2
13. Lee Coller, Redondo Beach resident – has minor issues on the Ad Hoc Working Groups’ modified maps; would like to put all of the beach communities into SD 4 and put the upper NE “shoe” of SD 4 into SD 2
14. John Schmitz – SGV La Mirada resident; prefers Map F-2 for Latinx, African American, and Asian residents with like values
15. Sergio Diaz-Luna – prefers Map F-2 or modified Map F from the Ad Hoc WG; likes Pomona in SD 1; keep Mount San Antonio Community College and Cal Poly in SD 1
16. Pogos Salazar – suggested moving Eagle Rock/Glassell Park and Griffith Park to westside; make the valley whole; he just submitted a map for uploading with these details





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

17. Jacky Contreras – the Latinx CVAP is too low in the options; trust community-based organizations who prepared Map F-2 and listen to their voices about how harmful other options are
18. Henry Perez – does not want historical Black communities with seaside communities; Los Angeles is a majority Latino county
19. Maria Brenes, InnerCity Struggle – need more time to respond to revised map options that lower Latinx CVAP, particularly in SD 1
20. Tim Sandoval, Pomona resident and Mayor – wants Cal Poly in SD 1
21. John Smathers – wants another public hearing on these maps because of the changes
22. Raymond Jackson, Councilmember, City of Hermosa Beach – supports Map B-3; beach city issues are unique; wants the beach cities kept together
23. Faraz Aqil – Downey resident; likes Map G-1, which keeps the Gate Cities together; less of a “U” shape; put Torrance in SD 2 to keep it in the South Bay region; reunite West Hills with SD 3 and the SFV; include Cal Poly with Pomona
24. Rosa Soto – regarding the last two maps shared, there should be a public hearing to gain input; La Habra should not be in SD 1 – it belongs in SD 4
25. Toney Lewis, City Council member in Duarte – glad Duarte is kept whole
26. John Mendoza – the “cut” above Pomona are wealthier neighborhoods and should be in SD 5
27. Jose Ruiz, CultivaLA – glad to see Pomona in SD 1
28. Jose Cornejo – modified maps are better for the SFV
29. Judy St John – liked Map B; kept communities together, particularly in the FairPlex and Pomona area with one Supervisor
30. Gabo Lizardo – asked to see the CVAP numbers
31. Jack Cheng – Chinatown resident; need more time to digest the modified maps shown tonight
32. Cheryl Demucci, Redondo Beach resident – likes Map B-3 to keep the South Bay cities together with Torrance and the Palos Verdes Peninsula
33. Gay Yuen – keep Chinatown-Little Tokyo together; need more input from the public; concerned about Chinatown and SGV – did not know how the maps will affect AAPI and other ethnic groups; supported Map F-2 but wanted more time to look at the other maps
34. Julierico – Opposes Map B and Map G; supports Map F-2; need more time; do not make negative decisions today that can affect Chinatown where good people are working together





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Commissioner Discussions

The Commissioners looked at Map OP 081, which the People's Bloc prepared as a modification of Map F-2. The Commissioners looked at Ramona on MAP OP 081. Ramona is in unincorporated Covina and moved from SD 5 to SD 1; it connects Pomona with the other parts of SD 1.

Commissioner Doreena Wong expressed concern about narrowing the maps or making decisions that night since the full Commissioners and public are seeing the modified maps of the Ad Hoc Working Groups for the first time.

Interested parties should review the recordings on "VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED" at the start of the minutes for the full Commissioner discussion. The Commissioners then then shared their observations of the modified maps in a round-robin format. Below are just a few of the observations. Various Commissioners identified the map options they "leaned" toward.

- Commissioner Mayeda had heard conflicting testimonies; would like to see SFV not snake down to the beach cities; leaned toward the modified Map F-2; would like to get the Whittiers placed in SD 4; would like to keep West Hills as part of the SFV; would like to address the Pomona and FairPlex issues; and wanted Little Tokyo, HiFi (Historic Filipinotown), and Chinatown together with other historic communities.
- Commissioner Mark Mendoza outlined the time crunch in getting the work done and that the Commissioners held twice the number of public hearings than required by law. Liked improvements in modified Map F-2; still troubled about moving SD 2 to the coast or that certain "assets" (LA Live, LAX, SoFi stadium, etc.) should be pulled into specific SDs. Leaned toward the modified Map B-3.
- Commissioner Apolonio Morales commented on Whittier's different placements on both modified maps. His question was how much of the beach cities are SD 3 versus SD 4. Leaned toward the modified Map F-2.
- Commissioner Nelson Obregon leans toward the modified B-3 map. He would like to address Sun Valley in SD 3 and Walnut in SD 5 because of how they were not geographically aligned and should be more compact.
- Commissioner Hailes Soto was pleased that the two modified maps were not dramatically different, having reviewed the public testimony – both oral and written. He noted that both Ad Hoc Working Groups started out with different maps. He pointed out that the Gateway Cities are many cities and large areas. Leaned toward modified Map F-2.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

- Commissioner Saira Soto wanted to take a deeper look at Map 081 with the notes from InnerCity Struggle provided that day. She was not ready to lean into either of the modified maps yet. She thought the InnerCity Struggle’s notes may help to make the modified maps stronger.
- Commissioner Brian Stecher discussed Map 081 and the public’s emphasis on the metric thresholds. The Commissioners focused on the public’s feedback, striving to balance competing interests. Liked elements of each of the modified maps.
- Commissioner John Vento was concerned about SD 2 in modified Map F-2.
- Co-Chair Carolyn Williams acknowledged that the biggest challenges were in SD 2 and that the Ad Hoc Working Group had reasons for connecting SD 2 with the Pacific Ocean and wanted to be supportive of adding LAX to SD 2. She liked SD 2 in modified Map B-3 but leaned toward modified Map F-2.
- Commissioner Doreena Wong observed that the boundaries were similar between the two Ad Hoc Working Groups. Leaned toward modified Map F-2.
- Commissioner Jean Franklin pointed out that the Commissioners represent 10 million residents and that communities have different needs, including different needed resources. Many of the public comments about communities not wanting to be joined with other communities reminded her of the old days of segregation. She wanted to help communities to grow. Leaned toward Map B-3.
- Commissioner David Holtzman referred to the distribution of assets in the LA City redistricting process as a means to redistribute power. In his mind, the modified maps are too similar to the current SDs. He referenced the maps he had made with SFV more together. He supported dividing cities and unincorporated areas if necessary to respect COIs. In terms of Tri-Cities, he did not believe inter-governmental jurisdictions were reflective of the COIs in those areas. He pointed out that Pasadena has no foothold with Hollywood-Burbank Airport. He stated that Glendale and Burbank are in SFV; Pasadena is in SGV. Much of Burbank is in the media business in SFV, especially Studio City and Sherman Oaks. He wanted Burbank in SFV. He liked his Map OP 093.

Commissioner Motions

Motion 1 on Elevating Ad Hoc Working Groups’ Two Maps Passed

Motion was made and seconded to elevate the Ad Hoc Working Groups’ two maps to become Map Options B-4 and F-3. The motion passed.

Motion Made:

Commissioner Brian Stecher





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Motion Seconded:	Commissioner John Vento and Commissioner Mary Kenney
Outcome:	Passed

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Absent	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
No	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Motion 2 on Elevating Map 081

Motion 1 was made and seconded to elevate Map 081.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Doreena Wong
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Saira Soto

Amendment to Motion 2 to add Map 091 and Map 081

A motion was made and seconded to amend Motion 2 and elevate both Map 091 with Map 081. Commissioners expressed concerns about elevating more maps versus narrowing the number of maps.

Motion Made:	Commissioner David Holtzman
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Jean Franklin

Roll Call "Call the Question" to Vote on the Amendment

Co-Chair Carolyn Williams called the question to vote on the amendment. A roll call was taken. The "Call the Question" passed.

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Abstain	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Amendment to Motion 2 Failed

The vote was taken on the Amendment to Motion 2. The Amendment failed.

Motion Made:	Commissioner David Holtzman
--------------	-----------------------------





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Jean Franklin
Outcome:	Failed

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	No	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	No	Commissioner Saira Soto
No	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	No	Commissioner Brian Stecher
No	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	No	Commissioner John Vento
No	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	No	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
No	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	No	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Motion 2 on Elevating Map 081 Failed

The Commissioners then voted on Motion 2. The motion failed.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Doreena Wong
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Saira Soto
Outcome:	Failed

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Abstain	Commissioner David Holtzman	No	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
No	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	No	Commissioner Brian Stecher
No	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	No	Commissioner John Vento
No	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	No	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
No	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Motion 3 to Eliminate Map G-1 Passed

A motion was made and seconded to eliminate Map G-1. The motion passed.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Mary Kenney
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Jean Franklin
Outcome:	Approved

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Abstain	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

6b. Review of form resolutions for map adoption and final report (informational only – no action) – Holly Whatley, Independent Legal Counsel

Given the late hour, Holly Whatley, Independent Legal Counsel, offered that this item be continued at the next meeting.

6c. Update and discussion of Final Report (informational only – no action) – Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough, Executive Director

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough provided a quick update, starting with a display of the names of the Co-Chairs and Commissioners serving on the Ad Hoc Working Group to review the draft Final Report

- Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda
- Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
- Commissioners:
 - Apolonio Morales – Outreach
 - Mary Kenney – Legislature
 - Hailes Soto – Mapping
 - Doreena Wong – Demography and Outreach

She offered to place Part A and Part B of the draft Final Report into a shared Drop Box folder so that all Commissioners could read it, and not just the Ad Hoc Working Group members. Commissioners needed to understand that the Final Report is a draft and that changes will be made in the version for their final approval. The Final Report structure involves these three parts:

- Part A – provides an executive summary, presents the final supervisorial map, and shares lessons learned for future LA County CRCs Note: Chapter A.1 cannot be written until the Commission adopts the final map by December 12, 2021. It is currently about 19 pages. It will also be the Part of the Final Report most widely read.
- Part B: Year in Review – documents the process, starting with the formation of the LA County CRC and how it was organized. It outlines public outreach efforts, COI public hearings during the summer, the mapping database and software, selection of mapping options for public hearings, and public hearings on the map options that led to the adoption of the final map. Note: This write-up is about 55 pages long.
- Part C: Appendices – presents additional background information and reports on the process, such as Commissioner-approved documents (e.g., Public Outreach Plan, calendar); racially polarized voter





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

analysis performed; lists of the Census tracts and blocks assigned to each supervisorial district; and assigned staff support. The Commissioners do not need to review the Appendices since it consists of reports already approved.

She quickly highlighted the aggressive timeline:

AGENDA ITEM 7: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT – Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough, Ph.D.

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough outlined the meeting dates and times, including two tentative special meetings. It was agreed to schedule both, given the work ahead.

AGENDA ITEM 8: ADJOURNMENT – Co-Chair Dan Mayeda

Co-Chair Mayeda adjourned the meeting at 11:22 p.m.

To sign up for receiving future LA County CRC notices, go to:

redistricting.lacounty.gov

To submit input to the public hearings, including signing up for speaking before the Commission, go to:

<https://forms.gle/2SDZSxEuKNZ3ZU1KA>

Timeline



COMPLETE AD HOC WG REVIEW BY FRIDAY AT NOON



INTEGRATE CHANGES FRIDAY SATURDAY



PRESENT TO FULL COMMISSION ON SUNDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2021, MEETING

