



LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (LA County CRC)

CRC MINUTES FOR PUBLIC HEARING NO. 4 AND SPECIAL MEETING:

Wednesday, December 7, 2021, 6:30 pm

VIDEO FILES FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED AT: [CLICK HERE](#)

Agenda

AGENDA ITEM 1: CALL TO ORDER

V. Le, Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission (LA County CRC) Clerk, called the meeting to order at 6:34 p.m. He reviewed the process for the public to select either English or Spanish access to interpreters.

AGENDA ITEM 2: ROLL CALL

[LA County CRC's Resolution No. 2021-03](#) enables the Commission to meet virtually in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e)(3) to extend the time during which the Commission may continue to teleconference its meeting without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953 because of COVID- 19 pandemic and health issues.

Thai V. Le took roll call. A quorum was present.

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

ATTENTION ALL PARTICIPANTS

You must select your preferred language!

1. Click on "interpretation" at the bottom of your screen
 2. Select English or Spanish
- You then can choose to mute the original audio for a clearer interpretation.

ATENCIÓN TODOS LOS PARTICIPANTES

Debe elegir el idioma que prefiere!

1. Haga clic en la "Interpretación" abajo en su pantalla a mano derecha
 2. Elija Inglés o Español
- Luego, puede optar por "silenciar el audio original" para escuchar una interpretación más clara.



**IF YOU DO NOT CHOOSE A LANGUAGE, YOU WILL NOT HEAR THE INTERPRETATION.
SI USTED NO SELECCIONA UN IDIOMA, NO PODRÁ ESCUCHAR LA INTERPRETACIÓN**





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

AGENDA ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF AGENDA – CO-CHAIR CAROLYN WILLIAMS

The agenda was accepted.

AGENDA ITEM 4: ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

4a. Public Hearing on DRAFT Maps and Discussion of Map Options, including Commissioners' observations and suggested modifications, and Potential Direction to ARCBridge/Staff Regarding Map Modifications — Co-Chairs Dan Mayeda and Carolyn Williams

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough, Executive Director, indicated that efforts have been made in the maps to make the following adjustments, unless there were extenuating circumstances: a) Keep cities whole (except Los Angeles City, given its population); b) Keep unincorporated areas whole; and c) Keep Los Angeles City NCs whole but do not fine tune NCs until map options are narrowed.

She also outlined the challenges facing the Commissioners:

- Conflicting viewpoints from the public
- Design of 5 supervisorial districts (SDs) with populations of 2 million each
- Geographically contiguous (e.g., AAPI community)
- “Ripple” effect – a change in one SD affects another SD
- Different ways that Los Angeles City defines its communities (e.g., NCs, Community Planning areas within City Planning, community boundaries for Public Works, etc.)
- Dynamic, rapid turnaround – maps evolve between public hearings and special meetings

In compliance with Elections Code section 21534, subd. (c)(4)(B), the current map options (labeled as Map B-3, Map F-2, and G-1) are posted on the LA County CRC website: <https://redistricting.lacounty.gov/>. They can also be found on the redistricting hub (<https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/>)

NOTE: Members of the public interested in viewing submitted plans in the redistricting mapping software can follow the instructions in this [video](#) once logged into the [redistricting mapping software](#). Viewing the submitted plans in the mapping software allows for a more in-depth exploration of the plans, including identifying specific communities and cities. Members of the public can also use the software to save their own version of the draft maps, make modifications, and submit for the public and Commissioners to view.

Here is the visualization of the maps under consideration for Public Hearing No. 4.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021



Option B-3

ARCBridge analysis of Option B-3. To view this in the ESRI layer view, click:...

Explore



Option B-3 (ESRI Layer View)

Explore



Option F-2

ARCBridge analysis of Option F-2. To view this in the ESRI layer view, click:...

Explore



Option F-2 (ESRI Layer View)

Explore



Option G-1

ARCBridge analysis of Option G-1. To view this in the ESRI layer view, click: <https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/option-g-1-esri-layer-view/explore>

Explore



Option G-1 (ESRI Layer View)

Explore

The [Scorecard of Map Options](#) on the map options is posted on the LA County CRC website and redistricting map hub as well. The [Scorecard of all Maps Submitted](#) is also available there.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission -- Map Scorecard on Options (December 4, 2021)

Maps	Max Deviation	Polsby Popper-Compactness Score	# Majority Minority Districts		Splits				Community of Interest Models					
			Based on Total Population	Based on CVAP	# CSAs		NCs		COI A		COI B		COI C	
<i>Maximum</i>	10%	# SDs >.20	10,047,926	6,315,311	348		99		27	27	27			
<i>Comparison</i>	Pass/Fail	Higher # is Better			Lower # is Better				Lower # is Better					
<i>Denominator</i>	10%	5	5	5	348		99		27	27	27			
	% Dev	# SDs	# SDs	# SDs	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Current SDs	4.36	3	2	1	25	7%	25	25%	13	48%	11	41%	13	48%
B-3	7.83	3	2	2	0	0%	22	22%	10	37%	9	33%	11	41%
F-2	8.18	1	3	2	3	1%	17	17%	8	30%	8	30%	10	37%
G-1	8.16	3	2	2	3	1%	21	21%	10	37%	8	30%	10	37%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
						0%		0%				0%		0%
<i>Mean</i>	8.06	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.0		20.0		9.3	8.3	10.3			

COI Legend: <40% 41%-59% 60% >

She pointed out that Map B-3 and Map G-1 scored higher on density. All three maps create at least 2 majority minority districts in terms of total population and CVAP; Map F-2 create 3 majority minority districts, based on total population and CVAP. The split CSAs are the result of disconnected cities/unincorporated areas, such as the Whittier Narrows and Whittier. All three maps are better than the current SDs in terms of identified COIs.

Public Comment

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough outlined the kind of input that is most valuable at this stage of the redistricting process:





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Most Valuable Public Input



FOCUS ON CURRENT MAPS

Public input that focus on current maps versus prior maps considered and modified or rejected



SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

Written comments that delineate suggested improvements, including positions of Favor, Oppose, Other



FRESH PERSPECTIVE

Oral comments that bring fresh perspectives versus reiterating positions of the past that have already been addressed

Public comment – see recordings on “VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED” at the start of the minutes:

The LA County CRC is committed to receiving all public comment on redistricting map options. ***To give a fair opportunity for those who wished to make a comment orally, the Commission received oral public comment from anyone who submitted a request to speak by 8:00 p.m. at the Public Hearing.*** The Commission also welcomes written public comments at any time.

The public were encouraged to provide written public comment—including map preferences and suggestions for modifying a map or maps—by using the public comment form on the Commission's website: www.redistricting.lacounty.gov.

Abbreviations Used:

- SGV = San Gabriel Valley
- SFV = San Fernando Valley
- NC = Neighborhood Councils
- SD = Supervisorial District
- SELA = South East Los Angeles





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

1. Call in from 3761 (Mr. Dominguez) – South LA resident, works with a coalition, likes Map F-2 that honors the voices of minorities; opposed to the other maps (e.g., does not like Compton with the seaside communities)
2. Call in from 4932 (Diana Smith) – Prefers Map F-2 to protect historical communities in SELA and protect representation
3. Call in from 5981 (Doris X) – East LA resident, prefers Map F-2 and Map 81 modifications; protect Black voices in SELA
4. Call in from 0585 (Carla) – Opposed to Map B-3 and Map G-1; wants to protect the Black vote in SD 2; consider Map 81 that modifies Map F-2
5. Call in from 4189 – resident of Pico Rivera in SD 1; prefers Map F-2 that keeps COI together; SD 1 is predominantly Latino with historical roots; opposed to Map B-3 and Map G-1 to protect the Black vote in SD 2
6. Call in from 8589 (Christian) – Lives in Lincoln Heights; for Map F-2; wants Hollywood moved to SD 3 so likes Map 81 which does not dilute minority vote
7. Call in from 1600 (Kyle Miller from SD 4) – for Map B-3 that keeps La Habra Heights, Whittier, and Gateway Cities together
8. Call in from 0305 (Angelica Martinez) – from South Central, member of Community Coalition; dislikes Map G-1 which will not help the minorities if aligned with Hermosa Beach and other affluent beach communities; likes Map F-2; please listen to our voices and political power in South LA
9. Call in from 7672 (Henry Fung) – Prefers the Map F-2 series; consider the voices of the under-served and under-heard from; wants UCLA to be attached to area that is not as privileged; thinks Map G-1 is good
10. Call in from 9344 (Lydia Templeton) – from SD 2; urges adoption of Map F-2; keep SELA whole so residents can elect officials of their choices; keep Cal State Dominguez Hills in SD 2
11. Call in from 6072 (Salia?) – Likes Map F-2 and dislikes the others
12. Reina Schmitz – unincorporated area of SD 1; likes Map F-2 for SGV
13. Jessica Panduro, East LA – likes modifications in Map 78 to Map F-2 that considers minority residents' interests
14. Nick Gaines – Canoga Park resident; moving SD 2 to the coast will not threaten Black representation; look at Map 88
15. Steve Ortega – SD 1 resident, likes Map F-2; consider Map 81 that takes into consideration the COIs that have been disenfranchised for years for Latinx immigrants; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1 because of negative impact on minorities
16. Andrew Menor, Thai Community Development Center – lives in Thai Town; represents Thai and AAPI; opposes Map B-3 and G; supports Map F-2 and modified Map 81





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

17. Stuart Waldman, VICA – wants a SFV SD; does not want SFV connected with Redondo Beach
18. David Nguyen – works in South Central LA; opposed Map B-3 and Map G-1; wants to uplift South-Central LA – does not want wealthy outsiders involved who think they can “fix” things; wants Map F-2 which combines LAX and UCLA with SD 2
19. Shekinah Deocares, Filipino Workers Center – community organizer in HiFi (Historic Filipino Town) do not split up AAPI communities; keep AAPI communities together
20. Wade Major, Malibu resident – Public Works commissioner; for Map B-2, not Map B-3, for SD 3
21. Carlene Davis, resident of and business owner in SD 2 – against Map B-3 because of a net loss of Blacks in SD 2 by removing 21,752 Black residents from Carson
22. Isabel Gonzalez – community organizer in SD 1; likes Map F-2 as the best base map; consider Map 81 as modification to Map F-2; wants to keep a Latino majority minority district; find Map B-3 and Map G-1 make it difficult for African Americans to have representation
23. Call in from 8581 – community organizer from Whittier; favors Map F-2; Whittier is connected to Long Beach; wants to keep all the cities currently in SD 4
24. Call in 8582 (Ricardo) – from Whittier; volunteer in school district in Whittier; has experience in Hispanic community; wants to keep all cities current in SD 4; likes Map B-3
25. Call in 3138 (Jessica Martinez) – from Whittier; likes Map B-3; Whittier is part of Gateway Cities down to San Pedro; have common interests with the MTA, homelessness, etc.
26. Call in 3473 (Alejandro) – from SD 1; listen to community and adopt Map 78 that modifies Map F-2; Map B-3 and Map G-1 put minority communities at risk; remove West Hollywood from SD 1 – will put suggested modifications in writing
27. Call in 4434 (Donna Dell) – lives in SD 2; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1; likes Map F-2 because families have lived there for 100 years; coast does not represent them
28. Call in 3676 – Korea Town; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; keep AAPI united in SD 1; AAPI in China Town, Little Tokyo, together
29. Call in 2336 (Anna B) – lives in Boyle Heights; favors Map 81 as a modification of Map F-2; wants to ensure Black communities are represented
30. Call in 0944 (Aunt B and herself) – against Map B-3 and Map G-1. Bottomline: You’re playing checkers with people’s lives; make jobs in the communities to support themselves
31. Call in 7960 (Carlos Leon) – Southeast LA, community is predominantly Black and Brown; support People’s Block; supports Map 81 with modifications to Map F-2; be on the right side of history
32. Call in 8817 – resident of SD 1; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1; does not want to dilute Black CVAP; prefers Map 81 (modifications to Map F-2)
33. Derek Steele, Social Justice Learning Institute – from Inglewood; decisions will affect people’s lives; do not support Map B-3 or Map G-1; likes Map F-2; wants Cal State Dominguez Hills part of SD 2





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

34. Candice Cho – Walnut; works in LA; Asian Planning/AAPI coalition; AAPIs represent 15% of LA County; likes Map F-2 for AAPI
35. Estefany Garcia, InnerCity Struggle
36. Maria Brenes, InnerCity Struggle – Hopes Commission will support Map F-2 for coalition districts; has 3 majority minority districts in SD 1, SD 2, SD 4
37. Sandra Guzman – SD 1 resident, supports Map F-2 as the base map and Map 81; disapproves of Map B-3 and Map G-1
38. Teresa Eilers – lives in Rosemead in SGV; appreciates that now all are “lumped” together in SGV because SGV foothills differ from other parts of SGV; consider income differences in SGV and do not make it one SD
39. Karen Diaz, CHIRLA – need to balance population; supports Map F-2; wants Carson in SD 2
40. Beatriz Soltero – lives in East LA; supports Map F-2 and modified Map 81; maps were created by the community; this process could be harmful for the community
41. Soila Rodriguez, CHIRLA – SFV resident, wants CVAP included in the analysis; wants shape files for use; wants to build political power for minorities; supports Map B-3 or make changes to Map F-2 for SFV, including Lakeview Terrace
42. Ryan Welch – West Hollywood resident in SD 3, supports Map B-3
43. Olivia Barbour, Community Coalition – South LA resident; Community Coalition member; urge use of Map F-2 as the best base map; look at modifications at Map 81; opposes Map B-3, which dilutes the Black vote and Map G-1 because of alignment with coastal communities
44. Call in 2164 – SD 1 resident; likes Map F-2 as the base map; look at Map 81; listen to the COI voices of those disenfranchised; wants to keep SELA as is
45. Call in 3293 (Anna) – Demands dismissal of Map B-3 and Map G-1; wants Map F-2; SD 2 has nothing to do with coastal communities
46. Call in 6239 – East LA; prefers Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; remove West Hollywood from SD 2; do not consider Map B-3 and Map G-1
47. Call in 4981 (Erica Hernández?) – SD 2 resident; advocates for Map F-2 with modifications from Map 81 to protect Latinx interest as COI
48. Chris Rowe – supports Map 78; keep SFV whole with Burbank, Glendale, and Las Virgenes COI
49. Yanel Saenz Juntos – keep Florence-Firestone (unincorporated) together; supports Map F-2 with modifications with Florence-Firestone with Watts in SD 2; have historic community relationships
50. Marcia Hanscom, Playa del Rey in SD 4 – environmental advocate; go back to Map B-2, not Map B-3; concerned about imperiled species (birds/other wildlife) so keep beach communities together
51. Manjusha Kulkarni, A3PCON, Asian Pacific Policy & Planning Council – 40 community-based organizations involved with AAPI; reject Map B-3 and Map G-1; support Map F-2





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

52. Austin Cyr – West Hollywood resident, advanced maps are strong; likes Map F-2 but does not want West Hollywood separated from SD 3; belongs with the westside; part of Westside Cities COG
53. Sylvia Arredondo, Communities for a Better Environment (CBE) – lives in Wilmington, CBE works in SELA, Wilmington, etc.; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81
54. Constance Sullivan, Torrance Refinery Action Alliance – lives in the South Bay; appreciates modifications made in response to public input; likes Map B-3; will help to have one supervisor focused on refineries
55. John Lewis, Councilmember of the City of La Mirada – likes the Gateway Cities together in SD 4; supports Map B-3; these cities are COIs
56. Karo Torossian – keep valley whole; keep Pomona in SD 1; unify SFV with Glendale and Burbank; Map 91 caught his attention; would like an AAPI map that addresses their needs
57. Nathan Carbajal – Long Beach resident; likes Map F-2 with Map 81 modifications
58. Michael Lawson, Los Angeles Urban League – adopt Map F-2 or Map 81; reiterated comments already made
59. Dr. Irving Lebovics, Chairman Agudath Israel of California, Jewish advocacy group – involved with LA City and California redistricting; have tightly knit Jewish community that walk to schools and synagogues; as a recognizable ethnic group, have concerns for their public safety; wants to be kept together
60. Davona Watson – South Central LA resident and community leader; against Map B-3 and Map G-1, which ignore work the historical communities have made to date; likes Map F-2 with adjustments to Hollywood and West Hollywood
61. Judy St John – Likes Map B-3 with modification; FairPlex is 500 acres with La Verne to the north and Pomona to the east – all residential; all three should be together as COIs with one supervisor
62. Rosaelva Lomeli – President, Rio Honda Community College, supports Map B-3; more similar to Whittier
63. Michelle Aranda Coss – SD 1; supports Map F-2 and modified Map 81
64. Alberto Retana, President/CEO, Community Coalition – act with self-less courage; supports Map F-2; “dump” Map B-3 that only is inviting a lawsuit
65. Jelani Hendrix, SEIU 2015 – Inglewood resident; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1; supports Map F-2 with modifications; beach communities have different interests; wants Carson in SD 2
66. Denysse Nunez – lives in SELA, displaced from NELA; likes Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81
67. Ireh Yoon, Assistant Director, Korean American Coalition (KAC) (nonprofit organization) – member of Korea Town coalition; support Map F-2 with Map 81 modifications; oppose all other maps; keeps AAPI communities in SD 1; keep Korea Town whole
68. Susanne Cumming – live in SD 4 in Marina Del Rey; would like to go back to Map B-2; include Playa Del Rey, Marina Del Rey, and wetlands with SD 4





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

69. Oscar Alvarez, LA County resident – Westmont resident; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1 because does not want to have Black community in SD 2 grouped with seacoast communities; many live below the Federal poverty levels in SD 2
70. Kaley Ortega – high school student at Roosevelt High School in Boyle Heights; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1 because of impact on Latinx and Black communities
71. Rev. Eddie Anderson, McCarty Memorial Christian Church – use this time to uplift equity; supports Map F-2; do not pit Blacks versus Browns
72. Marisol Rosales – South Central LA, Community Coalition member; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3; Map F-2 makes 3 majority minority districts
73. Francine Rios, Community Coalition -- supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1; Black LA has nothing in common with seacoast communities
74. Patricia McPherson – likes Map B-2 more than Map B-3 because of gas issues and community groups banding together in SD
75. Kathy Knight, Ballona Ecosystem Education Project – working on the Ballona wetlands and wants to have one SD to work with; prefers the former Map B-2 but could lean toward Map G-1
76. Jenny Choi, Korean American Coalition – Los Angeles -- supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; keep Korea Town whole; LA City adopted a Korean Town Council District today; unify other AAPI communities
77. Hugh Gregory – has lived in SD 1, SD 3, SD 5; wants SFV in one SD; SFV is as diverse as the entire county
78. Patricia Strong-Fargas – pastor; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1; keep SELA whole
79. Shala Oliva – youth organizer in SD 1; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; wants a Latino/Latina Supervisor; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
80. Senator Sydney Kamlager representing herself – 30th district; resident of SD 2; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81 with a Black influence district; SD 2 is the last Black community that has survived; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
81. Karen Eyres, City of West Hollywood resident – likes Map B-3 and Map G-1 that combines historic communities; does not want West Hollywood disconnected from SD 3; West Hollywood is part of the Westside Cities COG
82. Mariana Villafana, South LA resident – South LA resident, displaced from Westchester; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1 which can erase Black voices with the seaside communities; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81
83. Beth Holden-Garland, Marina Del Rey – concerned about the wetlands, traffic, etc.; prefers Map B-2 to keep Marina Del Rey and Playa Del Rey together





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

84. Ruby Rivera, InnerCity Struggle – supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
85. Erich Nakano, Little Tokyo Service Center – Little Tokyo is 130 years old and 2nd oldest Little Tokyo community in America; share common issues with AAPI, Latino, and Black residents; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
86. Kenneth Brooks – South LA resident; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
87. Kanin Pruter, SD 3 resident (West Hollywood) – Supports Map B-3 and Map G-1; concerned about Map F-2 because of where West Hollywood is – Map 81 addresses this issue
88. Herman Salazar, Whittier resident and realtor; Whittier Pride member – likes Map B-3; likes Gateway Cities together
89. Chelsea – West Hollywood resident; wants West Hollywood with SD 3
90. Jacqueline Villarreal (Ibarra) – Cypress Park resident; most residents are Latinx and lower income; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81
91. Ismael Castro – supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
92. April Aguilera – high school student; fights for representation in SD 1; supports Map F-2 for Latinx representation; consider modifications in Map 81
93. Henry Perez, InnerCity Struggle – SD 1 resident; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; look at the Latinx community; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
94. Adeena, Jewish Community activist – wants to raise up minority communities; consider Jewish community which has always been in SD 3; the Jewish community lives in Los Feliz, Hancock Park, Encino, Studio City, West Hollywood portions, Westwood, Pico Robertson, South Robertson, Brentwood, and large portions of SFV; Map F-2 comes closely; recommends removing Torrance and adding Pico Robertson, Westwood, and Hancock Park
95. Angelica Sanchez, Community Coalition – South LA resident; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81 for the underserved communities
96. Jesse Maeshiro, Community Coalition – supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
97. Fabiola Argueta -- supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
98. Jacky Contreras – just as SFV has nothing to do with the beach communities, SD 2 has nothing in common with the beach communities; think about which communities that will benefit the most versus the privileged communities
99. Christina – likes Map B-2 to keep Marina Del Rey, Playa Del Rey, etc. together
100. Donald Robinson, SEIU 2015 – South Central LA resident; add LAX to SD 2 for Black influence





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

101. Lee Coller, Redondo Beach Resident – supports Map B-3; opposes Map F-2 and less so opposes Map G-1; makes no sense to put SFV with Redondo Beach; combine the South Bay with the ports with one supervisor who can understand the same issues
102. Peter Ng, Monterey Park, CEO of Chinatown Service Center – supports Map F-2 as the map of choice
103. Joanna Diaz – SD 4 and Whittier resident; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; keeps Whittier with Long Beach; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
104. Joanne Russell, SD 2 resident -- supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; many communities have forged alliances that should not be dismantled
105. Anna Parra – thinks Map B-3 is best for the coastal communities; Map F-2 is the best; Map G-1 is good for the Latinx communities; try to combine the best of the maps
106. Daniela Hernandez – SD 2 resident; supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; works with eastside youth; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
107. Emma Dodson, Trees of the Marina group – resident of Marina Del Rey; likes Map B-2 to keep coastal wetlands and environment together; cares about the non-human population in the environment
108. Stephanie Rogers, SEIU -- opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1; would like to keep it the way it is; supports Map F-2 to have the Black community supported by people of color
109. Georgia Goldfarb – Supports Map B-3 because it preserves the land use of Santa Monica Mountains
110. John Mendoza, Pomona – wants Pomona in SD 1 in SGV
111. Ernesto Serrano, Community Coalition -- supports Map F-2 with modifications in Map 81; opposes Map B-3 and Map G-1
112. Toney Lewis – pleased that his city is kept whole; prefers Map B-3
113. Mayor Bill Brand – supports Map B-3; South Bay has little in common with SFV
114. Sylvia Norman – opposed to Map G-1; does not see the economic asset of the Beach communities with SD 2 because it dilutes the Black vote; prefers Map F-2

Commissioner Discussion

Commissioners discussed whether to continue or wait to discuss public input at tomorrow's meeting, December 8, 2021, at 7:30 p.m.

Commissioner Saira Soto requested to see map overlays of the environmental and poverty/economic map overlays on the maps. Thai V. Le shared some of those overlays (e.g., Cal Enviro Screen 4.0). The darker areas show greater pollution burden. Thai V. Le also showed lead levels across Los Angeles County.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY REDISTRICTING 2021

Commissioner David Holtzman wanted to see Map 81 at the next meeting. The Commissioners decided to wait and discuss the maps at tomorrow's meeting, December 8, 2021, at 7:30 p.m., including the proposed modifications from the Ad Hoc Working Groups.

AGENDA ITEM 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT – GAYLA KRAETSCH HARTSOUGH, PH.D.

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough reminded the public about the upcoming special meetings.

She raised the possible need to meet on Saturday, December 11, 2021. The Commissioners requested that she resurvey them to see if Friday, December 10, 2021, might be a possibility.

AGENDA ITEM 6. ADJOURNMENT – CO-CHAIR DANIEL MAYEDA

Co-Chair Mayeda adjourned the meeting at 10:22 p.m.

To sign up for receiving future LA County CRC notices, go to: redistricting.lacounty.gov

To submit input to the public hearings, including signing up for speaking before the Commission, go to: <https://forms.gle/2SDZSxEuKNZ3ZU1KA>

Note: Later start time of 7:30 p.m.
Goal: Final Working Draft Map

