

## Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Special Meeting (11/29/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
5.a.	Aldo Domenic	Other	My name is Aldo Domenic and I am a 10 year resident of Hacienda Heights and grew up in the San Gabriel Valley my whole life. I am requesting that the commission consider that Hacienda Heights is a community similarly represented to communities such as El Monte, South El Monte, Whittier and Baldwin Park. We are NOT similar to La Habra Heights nor communities beyond Whittier (closer to Orange County). Residents of Hacienda Heights are Latino and Asian and strongly identify with our neighbor communities in the East San Gabriel Valley. Please help keep similar communities together!	11/29/2021	n/a
5.a.	Arsi Chan	Other	-	11/29/2021	n/a
5.a.	David Fredendall	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
5.a.	Elektra Kruger	Other	A portion of Stonehurst has been removed from the bulk of the Shadow Hills/Stonehurst community and placed in D3. This placement of any portion of the equestrian/semi-rural community of Stonehurst makes no sense and should be placed in D5, a district that in its entirety represents equestrian/semi-rural communities. It would only make sense to keep the entirety of Stonehurst along with its agrarian neighborhood community of Shadow Hills together in D5 rather than losing any agrarian representation.	11/24/2021	n/a
5.a.	Elizabeth Lugo	Other	public comment for 5a.	11/29/2021	n/a
5.a.	Henry Fung	Favor	I support Map 66 with the following changes: Move Armenian dominant communities in the City of Los Angeles (Little Armenia, North Hollywood) to SD 5. Unify Burbank and LA Toluca Lake in one district. Move Koreatown to SD 1. Add Montebello to SD 1 so to not split the San Gabriel Valley into three districts (Montebello is in San Gabriel Valley COG). Move the small unincorporated area west of	11/24/2021	n/a

			<p>Towne and south of Foothill next to Pomona and Claremont into SD 5 (it has a Claremont zip code and the community of interest it is closest linked to is Claremont - Richbrook and Foxpark Drives). Move Granada Hills, Porter Ranch, and Chatsworth to SD 3 (make SD 3 boundary consistent with City of LA boundary). Move La Tuna Canyon into SD 5 to join other rural communities like Shadow Hills and Kagel Canyon. Move Lakeview Terrace back to SD 3 for commonalities south of the 210 freeway. Boundaries were adjusted in Koreatown and Hollywood for population balance, to keep LGBT community in Sunset Junction/Silver Lake together, and to normalize boundaries to match arterials to the extent possible given use of Redistricting Data Units. This is reflected as Map 66.1.</p> <p>Overall this is the best map that the commission has come up with. I hope 66.1 is adopted but if not Map 66 gets us 95% of the way there. Thank you.</p>		
<b>5.a.</b>	Jack Hadjinian	Other	Would like to include the City of Montebello to remain in district 1	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Jackson Wong	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	John Mendoza	Other	Same as above the salad bowl mix to preserve 5	11/25/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Katrina Bacallao	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Kristin C Sabo	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Lisa Hoffort	Other	-	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Lydia Hsu	Other	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Matt Fernandez	Other	<p>My name Matt Fernandez and I am a resident of Rowland Heights CA. I am also a lifelong resident of San Gabriel Valley. I live with two roommates and together we struggle to make our rent of \$1800 a month. Our collective income is less than \$100,000 and we are students who want to continue to live in our community. The resources we currently access from the county as part of Supervisorial District 1 are in line with the needs of our reality and our neighbors. We are similar in reality to Cities such as Whittier, Baldwin Park, West Covina. We are NOT similar to East Whittier nor</p>	11/29/2021	n/a

			La Habra Heights. Please help keep our community together with communities who live our similar reality. Map F keeps communities of interest together. Please consider this map with some changes that help keep us able to access equitable resources.		
<b>5.a.</b>	Roubik Golanian	Favor	We appreciate the work done by the ad hoc to not break cities up into different supervisorial districts and to maintain them within one district.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Sean Cazares	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Sean Cazares	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Susi M Kaplan	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Timothy J Ferguson	Oppose	The San Gabriel Valley has always been a community unique onto itself. Breaking it up in anyway would damage the multiple functions that are the essence of our relationships with each other. If your goal is to destroy the community then continue this ridiculous plan to break it up! Tim Ferguson, MD (Fifth generation California)	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.a.</b>	Vinh Truong	Other	I am a 35-year resident of the San Gabriel Valley Foothill Cities, the city of Duarte, to be exact. And I would like to thank the commission for all your challenging work. I understand that it is impossible to make everyone happy. I want to point out that lines are drawn to focus on COI and should not divide a city. Dividing the City of Duarte is not an indication that there was any consideration of COI. Please keep the City of Duarte as a whole district and do not divide our city.	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Arsi Chan	Other	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Cynthia Travis	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	David Fredendall	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Jackson Wong	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	John Mendoza	Oppose	Possible loyalty to certain Supervisors and non independent	11/25/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Kristin C Sabo	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Lydia Hsu	Other	-	11/23/2021	n/a

<b>5.b.</b>	Sean Cazares	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Sean Cazares	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>5.b.</b>	Susi M Kaplan	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 064</b>	Arsi Chan	Other	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 064</b>	Derek Steele	Oppose	My name is Derek Steele and I am a resident in LA County, I work for the Social Justice Learning Institute representing and working on behalf of black and brown youth and community members mainly in Inglewood, Lennox, South LA, Compton, Long Beach and other cities where communities. I urge the commission to NOT consider Map B as this map only creates 2 districts where communities of color would be able to elect a candidate of their choice while other maps allow for the creation of 3 districts where communities of color can have more representation. With LA county being on a path toward an more equitable future, we have to make sure the needs of the historically most impacted communities have intentional representation. Map B has three districts where the white population has the highest Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) (SD3 53.20%, SD4 43.35%, SD5 43.89%) thats not equity. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County where the majority of the population is composed of minority communities. Would have loved A1, F is the best next. Please do not move forward with Map B as it would dilute the voices of historically oppressed communities and give them less chances of representation. Thank you for your time.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 064</b>	Jack Dollinger	Favor	Recognizing COIs is very important to me. this map does the best job of the ones being presented.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 064</b>	Jim Clydle	Favor	If we can't have more representation for 10 million residents, then at least choose the most compact districts for supervisors to represent their constituents.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 064</b>	Michael D Molina	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 064</b>	Samantha Rollings	Favor	This should be the chosen map by the Commission. You have all worked very well over the last year, and i thank you for that. based	11/29/2021	n/a

			on all the feedback, this seems like the best choice you should make.		
<b>OP 064</b>	Tom Riddle	Favor	Best map by far. Given the scorecard from the commission, this is far better than option F or any derivative.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 065</b>	Arsi Chan	Other	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 065</b>	Jim Clydle	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 065</b>	Samantha Rollings	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 065</b>	Tom Riddle	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 066</b>	Arsi Chan	Other	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 066</b>	Jim Clydle	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 066</b>	Samantha Rollings	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OP 066</b>	Tom Riddle	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Aleksandra Arnatskaya	Favor	Keep south bay together	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Amelia Lee	Favor	Please keep Janice Hahn as the LA County supervisor for Redondo Beach in the South Bay.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Angela Ladabouche	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Ann M OConnor	Favor	POSO accepts Map B1 since it keeps Sherman Oaks whole and united with Part of Sherman Oaks (POSO) in a single supervisorial district. Map B1 includes the major parts of the the San Fernando Valley in one supervisorial district. POSO strongly supports map B1 (POSO STRONGLY SUPPORTS MAP B1)	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Anneke Blair	Favor	This is the only district that makes any sense. We need representation that understands our community.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Arsi Chan	Other	Please consider Map 60 with some modifications it can meet all the needs- As for Maps 64,65,66 they do not have an Asian influence district, divides the Historic Jewish communities of the Westside, and ignore what we heard from the Armenian Community of the East Valley to be with Burbank and Glendale.	11/29/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Barbara J Epstein	Favor	This is the only plan that makes sense, keeping Janice Hahn with her people in South Bay	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Bing Chen	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Bob Anderson Anderson	Favor	Map B-1 is acceptable to SOHA because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks), whole in a single supervisor district. Map B-1 effectively integrates the most major portions of the San Fernando Valley into a single district. The Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association STRONGLY SUPPORTS Map B-1.	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Bonnie Rogers	Oppose	<p>Thank you for reuniting Stonehurst and Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon back into current CD7 where we belong. However please readjust this map so our tiny section is not ostricized. I own a home and horse property on Sheldon St which this map oddly removes from my District by carving out just our street. We belong in current CD7 with Monica Rodriguez. Please move the parts of the following streets that are in District 3 into District 5 with the rest of the Shadow Hills/Stonehurst neighborhood. These homes share the horse-keeping lifestyle as well as the high-fire zone. We ride in Shadow Hills, shop at East Valley Feed and Tack, ride in Hansen Dam, and are on the Neighborhood Councils. We belong with Shadow Hills. Please do not cut out a tiny piece of our culture for no reason. We need all of Sheldon St to Stonehurst to be kept with our HORSE community. This section is low income non english-speaking latino horse properties and to cut out our street is an Environmental Justice issue. We need our equestrian community to be whole and represented in unity. Thank you.</p> <p>The areas are:  A stretch of the western end of Wentworth  A block of Bromont Ave.  A block of Sheldon St.  A block of Thelma St.  A block of Allegheny St.</p>	11/26/2021	n/a

			One side of a block of Wicks St. Several blocks of Wealtha Ave. The western side of several blocks of Stonehurst Ave.		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Brittany Teague	Favor	-	11/29/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Candice Cho	Oppose	This map divides the five central LA Asian American communities of interest (Little Tokyo, Chinatown, Historic Filipinotown, Thai Town, and Koreatown) into three supervisorial districts. In addition to a shared Asian American identity, these communities share similar socioeconomic characteristics and policy concerns and are geographically proximate to each other and would benefit from being coupled in the same supervisorial district as much as possible. This map also breaks up the Little Tokyo and Chinatown communities of interest. These two communities should be kept whole and with each other in the same supervisorial district.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Charlene Chang	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Christina Andersen	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Cindy Ensworth	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Cynthia A Sternquist	Oppose	It makes No sense to take Temple City out of District 5.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Cynthia Travis	Oppose	I am very much against any change of Supervisors. I voted for Kathryn Barger. I know her. I See her in Temple City. I trust her. Of all times to make a change, this is not it. Temple city is being DISINFRANCHISED. Not good.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Daniel Collins	Favor	Anyone who's ever lived in LA for any amount of time can see this is a far more accurate representation of the districts	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Daniel Farrell	Favor	I think the valley is a place that is distinct from the southbay/santa monica in culture and needs.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	David Fredendall	Favor	Please see attached letter in favor of Option B-1	11/29/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	David Lombard	Favor	Supervisor Hahn has been a great ally to Redondo Beach and the entire South Bay. From bringing funding to Redondo Beach for assisting the homeless, to forming an EIFD around the AES power plant, correcting the Bruce's Beach taking way back in the 1920s, to smaller projects like waiving County fees for several charitable events like the RB Swim the Avenues and other events on our coast.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	David Lombard	Favor	We support map option B-1. Supervisor Hahn has been a great ally to Redondo Beach and the entire South Bay. From bringing funding to Redondo Beach for assisting the homeless, to forming an EIFD around the AES power plant, correcting the Bruce's Beach taking way back in the 1920s, to smaller projects like waiving County fees for several charitable events like the RB Swim the Avenues and other events on our coast.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Debbie Perry	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Derek Steele	Oppose	My name is Derek Steele and I am a resident in LA County, I work for the Social Justice Learning Institute representing and working on behalf of black and brown youth and community members mainly in Inglewood, Lennox, South LA, Compton, Long Beach and other cities where communities. I urge the commission to NOT consider Map B as this map only creates 2 districts where communities of color would be able to elect a candidate of their choice while other maps allow for the creation of 3 districts where communities of color can have more representation. With LA county being on a path toward an more equitable future, we have to make sure the needs of the historically most impacted communities have intentional representation. Map B has three districts where the white population has the highest Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) (SD3 53.20%, SD4 43.35%, SD5 43.89%) thats not equity. This is concerning given the demographics of LA County where the majority of the population is composed of minority communities. Would have loved A1, F is the best next. Please do not move forward with Map B as it would dilute the	11/29/2021	n/a



			voices of historically oppressed communities and give them less chances of representation. Thank you for your time.		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Devin Georgio	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Dina L Fisher	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Dina Mills	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Elizabeth Collins	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Elizabeth Reilly	Favor	The SGV cities that interface with the Angeles National Forest and the SG Mountains National Monument should stay together as this interface causes unique issues for these cities that need to be resolved together.	11/27/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	ERICK ELY	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Ewa Pochtowska	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Gary Boyer	Favor	Of the available choices, I feel Map B-1 does the best job of keeping like minded communities together. The San Gabriel Valley is a unique community in Los Angeles County and one of the most diverse areas in the State. The ability to keep the San Gabriel Valley intact as much as possible is vital to create an inclusionary County Map. It also appears to be the best option to keep the Beach Cities, the San Fernando Valley and Central Los Angeles together.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Gerald Bottomley	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Gordon Nash	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Huishi Huang	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jackson Wong	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	James Light	Favor	Please keep Redondo with its sister beach cities in one district. We have similar and often interrelated issues. Best to keep us all together. Option F chops up the beach cities and includes inland areas that have different issues. Interrelated issues affecting the beach cities would require the cooperation and approval across districts. It makes no sense.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	James Phillips	Favor	Please keep us in the B-1 option and District 4!	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jared A Cuteri	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jason Teague	Favor	-	11/29/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jennifer Kahn	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jennifer T Leos	Favor	The SGV shares many interests, including water and infrastructure. We are a diverse community working toward the same goals. I respectfully ask that we be kept together as a family.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jill Whalen	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jim Clydle	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jimmy Gow	Favor	Honorable Citizens Redistricting Commission, As a resident of the City of Torrance and an active member in my community, we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Map B Option. This plan, placing Torrance & the South Bay in a "Coastal LA" District 4 from Santa Monica to Long Beach is our preference, as it is our "community of interest" and Torrance, the beach cities, and the entire South Bay have more in common with Santa Monica, San Pedro and Long Beach than any other areas. Please keep the cities that share similar concerns together. Thank you for your consideration.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jimmy Kehnt	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	John C Conyne	Favor	The logical choice.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	John Collins	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	John Collins	Favor	We would like to maintain the ability of dictating local policies that are relevant to our immediate surrounding towns.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	John Mendoza	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	John Mendoza	Oppose	-	11/25/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	JOSE CORNEJO	Favor	Map "OP 064" the modified Option B-1 by Ad Hoc Group recognized the San Fernando Valley's desire to be represented in one Supervisor district. However, Porter Ranch is a neighborhood in the City of Los Angeles, and leaving it out, isolates it from the rest of the city thus also creating an unnecessary city split.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Joseph Lanning	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Justin Nash	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	karl W hoff	Favor	keep it as is.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Katherine Gould	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Katrina Bacallao	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Kellie Todd Todd Griffin	Oppose	As a resident of Carson, CA, this is concerning. I am not in favor of being attached to D4 instead of D2. We just went through an environmental crisis and were adequately served by the supervisor. In addition, removing the resources and economic engines out of D2 is unacceptable.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Ken B Hite	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Kip Drabeck	Oppose	This option does not include Lake Manor in the current 5th District and will eliminate our current relationship with our 5th District Representatives.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Kirk Samuels	Oppose	I urge the commission to oppose map B as it packs Black and latino residents into one district, diluting our political voice and representation	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Kristin C Sabo	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Kristina Miller	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Krystal Mousseau	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Laura Crabtree	Favor	Do not redistrict Redondo Beach.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Laura Kastner	Favor	I support the B-1 map.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Linda A Carroll	Favor	Keep Redondo Beach in district 4	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Linda Marr	Favor	It's absolutely ridiculous to consider redistricting Redondo and adding it to parts of the Valley. I don't even understand why this is being considered. It's basically taking two very different parts of LA, with very different needs, and combining them for... what? Why? To me this smacks of something that will hurt the citizens of BOTH communities. I obviously support B-1.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Linda Nutile	Favor	The south bay should stay united.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Lisa Hoffort	Oppose	Keep equestrian properties together!!	11/26/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Lisa Youngworth	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Lois M Shade	Favor	The San Gabriel Valley is a community unto itself with a history and culture encapsulating various school districts knitted together within this Valley. SG Valley water and the SG Mountains and watershed provide drinking water for all its residents and are	11/28/2021	n/a

			working together to ensure an adequate clean water supply for all those in the SG Valley. We have a coordinated transportation system with Foothill Transit, a public transit agency that is government funded by 22 member cities in the San Gabriel and Pomona valleys. It operates a fixed-route bus public transit service in the San Gabriel Valley and will be coordinated with the pending Gold now L Line now being constructed. We want / We need the San Gabriel Valley to be kept together and a whole one unit.		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Lorrie Kazan	Favor	Please keep us in the Southbay District 4.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Louis Choi	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Lucy Demirjian	Favor	-	11/23/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Lydia Hsu	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Marcie Guillermo	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Mark Narain	Favor	This is the District map that makes since. There is no connection between the South Bay cities and valley. We have completely different needs as a region. We do not align with the Valley. Please choose this option that makes the most logically sense if you understand the communities.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Mayor Bill Brand	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Mayor Bill Brand	Favor	The South Bay Beach Cities, Marina del Rey and Palos Verdes Peninsula have very little in common with the Malibu coastal area or the San Fernando Valley. Map F will carve much of the South Bay out of District 4 and place it into District 3 where there is little commonality between places like the Palos Verdes Peninsula and the San Fernando Valley. There is little to no commonality between these very different communities. Map B-1 maintains the communities of interest like Santa Monica and the Beach Cities, along with the PV Peninsula and the Long	11/28/2021	n/a

			<p>Beach areas as one area with strong similarities. Santa Monica has the same density as Redondo Beach, 11,000 residents per sq.mi. Map B-1 also minimizes the changes from the current districts, which have not created a large disparity in similarities or strong differences in the many cities in Districts 3 &amp;4. Please strongly consider map B-1. Map F joins cities that have very little similarity and will be very difficult for one supervisor to manage such a large, geographical area with little in common between the northern and southern ends.</p>		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Melissa M Wang	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Melissa T Dechandt	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Michael A Allawos	Favor	<p>Firstly, its recognized that selecting district maps are challenging at best do to competing interests as well as political pressures and of course the amount of scrutiny that is placed on the commissioners. Another consideration is not just what the demographics look like now but what are the trends as we move through the next ten years.</p> <p>Draft map B-1 best reflects my observations for keeping communities of interest together from not only a micro view but also a macro view for the following reasons:</p> <p>a) Keeping the San Gabriel Valley as close to one district is paramount from an ethnic and cultural basis.</p> <p>b) The San Gabriel Valley has many cities of concentrated and growing Asian communities.</p> <p>c) The San Gabriel Valley has its own culture due to geographical location being within a valley surrounded by mountains and hills.</p> <p>d) The San Gabriel Valley has nothing in common with the city of</p>	11/28/2021	n/a

			<p>Los Angeles, in fact the San Gabriel Valley is often counter to the city of Los Angeles in many ways and often must deal with most county resources going to the city of Los Angeles at the expense of the San Gabriel Valley.</p> <p>e) Currently the San Gabriel Valley is bisected by the current District 1 and often complicates competing interests of policy and resources that either get delayed of essential services or keeps services from even being implemented.</p> <p>f) Just like the East Side of the county is completely different culturally from the San Gabriel Valley.</p> <p>g) The San Gabriel Valley needs one voice for representation without having the need to balance the needs of other communities that would go against the San Gabriel Valley's wishes forcing the individual cities to go it alone and use their own strained resources to address issues after paying into the county coffers.</p> <p>Thank you for serving.</p>		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Michael D Molina	Favor	<p>Issues surrounding goods movement and the impact to the local communities are better mitigated when the Ports of Long Beach/Los Angeles AND Los Angeles International Airport are represented by the same Supervisor. I support B because all three facilities are within the same district. The Ports and LAX are strong economic engines, but they also have significant impact to local communities, including traffic and environmental issues. Having one Supervisor represent the impacted communities is vital.</p>	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Michael W George	Oppose	<p>The City of Carson opposes any Los Angeles County Supervisor Map that would split Carson into more than one Supervisor District. The City submitted a letter of opposition on November 24, 2021 (attached).</p>	11/29/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Miriam Kaufman	Favor	Only B1 please	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Monica Reynolds	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Nancy Sams	Favor	Makes more sense and the areas covered are more cohesive and easily understood where boundary lines are drawn.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Nicole Burke	Favor	Please keep the South Bay in District 4! Why would you group us with the San Fernando Valley? Supervisor Hahn has been a great ally to Redondo Beach and the entire South Bay. From bringing funding to Redondo Beach for assisting the homeless, to forming an EIFD around the AES power plant, correcting the Bruce's Beach taking way back in the 1920s, to smaller projects like waiving County fees for several charitable events like the RB Swim the Avenues and other events on our coast. Please make the right choice and vote in Favor of B-1. Thanks!	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Paul M Gordon	Favor	Keep the South Bay in the South Bay!	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Phil Buckman	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Punnpavin Herabat	Oppose	<p>I am a resident of Thai Town in the East Hollywood Neighborhood and I work for the Thai Community Development Center. I speak today to represent the Thai community and the AAPI community and would like to urge the commission to NOT consider Map B as Map B-1 separates priority AAPI Communities of Interest in Central LA into three different districts.</p> <p>First, part of Chinatown and Little Tokyo are in SD1 with East LA. Second, the remaining parts of Chinatown and Little Tokyo are with SD2 with Koreatown and HiFi. Third, Thai Town is with SD3 with San Fernando Valley and Malibu.</p> <p>Please do not move forward with Map B as it would dilute the voices of historically oppressed communities and give them less chances of representation.</p>	11/29/2021	n/a



			Thank you		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Randall Collins	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Raymond Jackson	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Rebecca Rotter	Oppose	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Rhonda McGonigle	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Roger Pugliese	Favor	This option is the fairest option available. It allows District 1 to have what they need and what they were fighting for. It also allows District 3 to be what our community has fought for and keeps the the Santa Monica Mountains whole. It balances out District 4 as well and is now better represented.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Ron Collins	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Roubik Golanian	Favor	As the Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission considers boundaries for the County's five supervisorial districts following the recent release of federal census data, the City of Glendale (as stated in its letter to the Commission on November 17, 2021) would like to strongly advocate first and foremost for not splitting the City of Glendale into two different supervisorial districts; and also for the classification of the tri-city area of Glendale, Burbank, and Pasadena as a Community of Interest (COI), and its continued inclusion within Supervisorial District 5. We appreciate the proposed changes made to map B-1 (including OP 064, 065, and 066) to not split Glendale in half and include the entire city as part of District 5, along with the cities of Burbank and Pasadena.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Ryan A Vienna	Favor	-	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Samantha Rollings	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Sean Cazares	Oppose	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Sean Cazares	Oppose	Commissioners, the way this map is drawn is unacceptable for the Foothill Communities. Everything is fine with the way it is drawn, however, Pomona is in District 5. Having them in this district will disadvantage them seeing as District 1 would better represent them as a Work/Immigrant community. This map would work if you placed Pomona in District 1 as opposed to being in District 5.	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Sharon Lombard	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Sharon Watkins	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Sheri Benator	Favor	The South Bay has been in District 4 and should remain there.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Steve Spohr	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Susan Higgins	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Susanne Bottomley	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Susi M Kaplan	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Teresa R Mando	Other	<p>ALL of Shadow Hills needs to be in same CD, CD7. DO NOT CARVE OUT AN SMALL ISLAND of homes of Shadow Hills/Stonehurst into another CD.</p> <p>Please move the parts of the following streets that are in District 3 into District 5 with the rest of the Shadow Hills/Stonehurst neighborhood. Repeat the rest of the litany we all know: These homes share the horse-keeping agrarian lifestyle and concerns, as well as the high-fire zoning of the rest of Stonehurst and Shadow Hills.</p> <p>These areas are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A stretch of the western end of Wentworth</li> </ul>	11/27/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A block of Bromont Ave.</li> <li>• A block of Sheldon St.</li> <li>• A block of Thelma St.</li> <li>• A block of Allegheny St.</li> <li>• One side of a block of Wicks St.</li> <li>• Several blocks of Wealtha Ave.</li> <li>• The western side of several blocks of Stonehurst Ave.</li> </ul>		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Teri Hawkins	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Tom Riddle	Favor	Solid map and the best of the original 4, tweaks are better from Ad-Hoc.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Tony Trutanich	Favor	<p>To Whom it May Concern.</p> <p>Regarding ; redistricting</p> <p>My name is Tony Trutanich and I own a restaurant caled “Tonys on the Pier” on the Redondo Beach Pier. During the re-opening on the City of Redondo Beach during the pandemic the rules were all businesses and restaurants could reopen EXCEPT if your one of the 45 businesses located on the Redondo Beach Pier.</p> <p>The reason we could not reopen was because we , being a pier over the ocean we were officially not included in the Cities boundary lines. We would have to wait almost 2 additional months to do so.</p> <p>I wrote to Supervisor Hahn about our dilemma not expecting anything to be done about it or her even actually to be the person to read the cry for help. Well Supervisor Hahn being born and raised in the area did read the cry for help and she went ahead and redesigned the boundaries of Redondo Beach to now include the Redondo Beach pier. Tonys on the Pier which has been open for 69 years now would NEVER be open today if it wasn’t for Supervisor Hahn. Supervisor Hahn being from the area and personally knowing our restaurant Old Tonys was the ONLY person on planet Earth who would have cared enough to do and help and address the problem we were up against.</p> <p>Redistricting and taking away some of the Cities like Palos Verdes, Redondo Beach and Torrance , Cities that Supervisor Hahn grew up</p>	11/29/2021	n/a

			<p>in, is like taking a babies mother away from that child. She literally , by thinking outside the box was able to save the 69 year old Tonys on the Pier and the 44 other businesses that would not have made it the additional 2 months.</p> <p>I, being owner of an Anchor tennant on the Redondo Beach pier , Tonys on the Pier and my 60 emoloyees are in huge favor of the B-1 plan.</p> <p>Including Palos Verdes, Redondo Beach, and Torrance into a new plan which would include our area with the Valley makes zero sence . The two areas are apples and Oranges and someone who gets elected to represent an apple will never have the knowledge and life experience to represent the Orange at the same time . One area will truly suffer.</p> <p>Thank you in advance  Tony Trutanich  &lt;phone number has been removed.</p>		
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Wesley Nash	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Yann Staelens	Favor	Do not redistrict Redondo Beach.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Zabrina Nash	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION B-1</b>	Jeffrey Hartwick	Favor	<p>Dear Redistricting Commission:</p> <p>I support Map B1. It would keep most of the San Fernando Valley in the same district, unlike the other option. It also keeps the South Bay and contiguous ocean communities together, which makes sense.</p> <p>Thanks,</p> <p>Jeffrey A. Hartwick</p>	11/29/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION F</b>	adrienne Konigar Macklin	Favor	-	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	adrienne macklin	Favor	-	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	adrienne macklin	Favor	keep historic communities together, communities of interest together, not to split communities and cities, maintain traditional and well known communities together, recognize and respect the historic core and distinct communities.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Albert D Hall	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Aleksandra Arnatskaya	Oppose	South bay should not be part of San Fernando valley. This does not represent south bays interests.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Ana Connell	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Angela Ladabouche	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Ann M OConnor	Oppose	Map F does keep Sherman Oaks whole in one supervisorial district; however, we oppose this map for many reasons. This district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu to Burbank and south to Ranch Palos Verdes. This is a ridiculous configuration since it separate long standing communities of interest. Map F does not integrate major portions of the San Fernando Valley into a single district, and strangely divides the Valley in a detrimental way. MAP F is UNACCEPTABLE	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Arsi Chan	Other	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Bing Chen	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Bob Anderson Anderson	Oppose	Map F does keep the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks), whole in a single supervisor district. HOWEVER, SOHA opposes Map F for many other reasons. The district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Burbank and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. This is a ridiculous configuration that separates long-established Communities of	11/24/2021	n/a

			Interest. Map F does not effectively integrate major portions of the San Fernando Valley into a single district, and in fact strangely divides the Valley in a detrimental way. Map F is UNACCEPTABLE!!		
<b>OPTION F</b>	Bonnie Rogers	Oppose	<p>Thank you for reuniting Stonehurst and Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon back into current CD7 where we belong. However please readjust this map so our tiny section is not ostricized. I own a home and horse property on Sheldon St which this map oddly removes from my District by carving out just our street. We belong in current CD7 with Monica Rodriguez. Please move the parts of the following streets that are in District 3 into District 5 with the rest of the Shadow Hills/Stonehurst neighborhood. These homes share the horse-keeping lifestyle as well as the high-fire zone. We ride in Shadow Hills, shop at East Valley Feed and Tack, ride in Hansen Dam, and are on the Neighborhood Councils. We belong with Shadow Hills. Please do not cut out a tiny piece of our culture for no reason. We need all of Sheldon St to Stonehurst to be kept with our HORSE community. This section is low income non english-speaking latino horse properties and to cut out our street is an Environmental Justice issue. We need our equestrian community to be whole and represented in unity. Thank you.</p> <p>The areas are:  A stretch of the western end of Wentworth  A block of Bromont Ave.  A block of Sheldon St.  A block of Thelma St.  A block of Allegheny St.  One side of a block of Wicks St.  Several blocks of Wealtha Ave.  The western side of several blocks of Stonehurst Ave.</p>	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Brian Saeki	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Cheri Pratt	Favor	We are a small rural community with few public services. We have no sewers or street lights and are prone to public safety power	11/25/2021	n/a

			shut offs, like today, Thanksgiving. Have you tried hosting a family holiday meal with no power? We have unique challenges.		
<b>OPTION F</b>	Cindy Ensworth	Oppose	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Daniel Collins	Oppose	Keep the south bay in it's same district, we have nothing in common with venice, Santa Monica, Malibu, nor SFV	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	David Fredendall	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Devin Georgio	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Diana L Sprout	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Diana Sprout	Favor	CLM needs to stay in District 5	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Dina L Fisher	Favor	I strongly support map option F. It's incredibly important that our community stays within District 5. Our rural community spent decades building relationships with other rural communities in the district to successfully address our common interests with the District 5 Supervisor's office. The District 5 supervisor and officers have decades-long understanding of the distinct problems facing rural communities, from wild fire threat to PSPS power shut offs, sewer issues, narrow winding streets, lack of street lights and much more. We have much more in common with rural communities in Acton, Antelope Valley and Kagel Canyon than we do with the San Fernando Valley's metropolitan neighborhoods. It would be a huge disservice to Chatsworth Lake Manor to remove us from District 5.	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Dina Mills	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Elizabeth Collins	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	ERICK ELY	Oppose	It does not make sense to redistrict Malibu and parts of the Valley into the South Bay. The needs of both of those communities are completely different than the needs of the southbay and should not be legislatively lumped into the same group. I live in the south	11/29/2021	n/a

			bay and have not been to the valley since I moved here in 2018. Why should their votes have any bearing on my life?		
<b>OPTION F</b>	Erin Nolan	Favor	Leave Lake Manor alone! We need to be grouped with other rural areas, under a supervisor who knows us!	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Fernando Vasquez	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	gary McBride	Favor	where we are represented now is the best situation for our community, please leave this as we stand right now.	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Henry Fung	Favor	<p>Although I said I would not submit another draft, I did try to assuage the concerns of the San Fernando Valley in keeping them and Las Virgenes/Malibu in one district by creating what I called Map F Prime. This map does the following:  SD 1 stays the same as previous in the San Gabriel Valley except moves Covina to SD 5 to meet with their city elected official's request as stated in their letter to the commission and in comments at a San Gabriel Valley COG meeting. Covina has historically always been in SD 5 in the 1991, 2001, and 2011 redistrictings, unlike Walnut which was in SD 5 in 1991 and in SD 1 in 2001 and 2011 and so was not moved. It also adds La Habra Heights. On the City of LA side it keeps Pico-Union whole by jutting west north of the 10 freeway.</p> <p>SD 1 now links Chinatown with Little Tokyo, adds Koreatown, and connects all to the Asian communities along the 10 and 60 corridors. It also adjusts the northern boundary to match the Glendale/LA City limit in response to commissioner Obregon's concerns. It adds La Habra Heights and Pico Rivera for population balance. Pico Rivera was a member of the ACE Construction Authority and has connections to SGV interests such as the Whittier Narrows and railroad traffic so it is not unusual to add them to a SGV focused district. It connects Sunset Junction and Silver Lake and does not split this historically LGBT community.</p> <p>SD 2 keeps the Westside Neighborhood Council whole and</p>	11/23/2021	n/a



		<p>regularizes the boundary to cover the portions of Mid City with a significant Black population. It now stretches to the coast so that the South Bay COG is only split into two pieces. It does not have an odd strip connecting through Dockweiler Beach. Blacks remain the second largest group at about 27% compared to 30% in the baseline map so they remain able to influence their supervisor. It includes Watts, South Central, Ladera Heights, Crenshaw, Inglewood, and Compton, the core of the Black community. South of the 10, it cuts off at the 405 freeway (with the exception of Culver City's extension to the west) as communities west of the 405 tend to be more affluent. It continues to maintain a community of interest along UCLA and covers denser communities of Sawtelle Japantown, Palms, and West Los Angeles near the Expo Line and 405 freeway heavily populated by UCLA students. Although the Beach Cities are also affluent this is more compact and does not take them on a district all the way to the San Fernando Valley. In Downtown LA it regularizes the boundary along 5th Street.</p> <p>SD 3 consists of the San Fernando Valley west of North Hollywood, the Las Virgenes/Malibu COG, Santa Monica, Venice, Mar Vista, Playa Vista, homeowner rich areas of the Westside and areas west of the 405, West Hollywood, Hollywood, Marina Del Rey, the Miracle Mile, Park La Brea, and Beverly Hills. It keeps as much of the SFV as whole as possible while respecting the carveouts requested by members of the community. It does connect some communities south of the hill but they will not be the largest influence and the SFV will be the majority of this district, and does not go south of the airport.</p> <p>SD 4 consists of the SELA communities and the Gateway Cities except Pico Rivera. It also has the Palos Verdes Peninsula. Although not ideal, they are better suited to SD 4 than SD 2 to not further dilute Black influence in SD 2. It keeps Long Beach whole and now includes Lomita, which is more of a working class community tied</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>into the ports than the beach cities to its west. Lomita is also part of LAUSD and Narbonne High School in Harbor City serves Lomita. It includes Carson for population balance and relatively high Latino population (32% CVAP). If it is desired to strengthen the Black CVAP in SD 2 the City of Carson Council District 1 (generally consisting of the part of Carson north of the 405 freeway and Del Amo Boulevard) could be placed in SD 2 and the rest stay in SD 4.</p> <p>SD 5 consists of the foothill cities, north County, and links Armenian-American dominant communities of North Hollywood, Burbank and Glendale. It links the tri cities of Burbank, Glendale, and Pasadena together. It links the 210 corridor together except for Azusa, which is grouped with central SGV cities in the state legislative district maps because of commonality of interest. It places Shadow Hills and Kagel Canyon in a more rural district. For population balance it includes the Los Feliz and Griffith Park neighborhoods, as well as the Hollywood Hills and Hollywood east of the 101 freeway.</p> <p>It maintains two CVAP Latino districts (1 and 4 at 53.25% and 51.17% respectively), one Black influence district (2 at 27.16%, after Latinos at 34.56% and above Whites at 25.25%) and creates an Asian influence district (1 at 26.72%).</p> <p>It is more compact than original F-1 on the western edge and central city, with more regular boundaries other than the UCLA extension.</p> <p>A pro or con is that it splits the coastline into three districts. This could mean more focus on the coast, or a situation where the coast is neglected because there is no champion.</p> <p>It should also be noted that due to the use of Redistricting Data Units it is impossible to make clean cuts at freeways or</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			neighborhood council boundaries. Commissioner Holtzman's comments should be addressed in the 2031 cycle.		
<b>OPTION F</b>	Henry Fung	Favor	With revised map F Prime/OP 063 I would support modifying the boundary of new SD 5 to better incorporate Little Armenia (bounded by Santa Monica, Vermont, Hollywood, and the 101 freeway) which will also help population balance as SD 5 is slightly underpopulated. I had not done this prior to submission. This would connect Armenian communities in Little Armenia/East Hollywood, North Hollywood, Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena, and La Crescenta while also respecting the LGBTQ community in Sunset Junction and Silver Lake/Echo Park.	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Huishi Huang	Oppose	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Jackson Wong	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Jared A Cuteri	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Jeff Boynton	Favor	-	11/29/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION F</b>	jessica Pasternak	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Jim Clyde	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Jimmy Gow	Oppose	Keep the South Bay with the harbor & ports of San Pedro and Long Beach, rather than the unrelated areas of Malibu and the West Valley.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Joan Kabosius	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	John C Conyne	Favor	Ridiculous proposal.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	John Collins	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	John Collins	Oppose	This redistricting is too broad and won't benefit any parties involved.	11/29/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION F</b>	John Mendoza	Other	Makes no major changes for Supervisor District 1&5. Preserves the seats and reach out based on residency. A overreach to preserve status quo of Supervisors seat 5 and lack of equal protection of law by L.A. Sheriff department's policies and arrest records of AfroAmericans and Hispanic youth. X see inspector generals report 2020. Packs Latino/Latina in District 1. Preserves the present seat	11/25/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	John Mendoza	Oppose	Speak comments	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	JOSE CORNEJO	Oppose	However "OP 066 - Modified Option F (Ad Hoc Working Group)" improves it. Please join Porter Ranch and Chatsworth with the rest of the city. Leaving them out only isolates them more from the remainder of the valley.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Joseph K Chang	Oppose	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Joseph Lanning	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Katherine Gould	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Katrina Bacallao	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Kellie Todd Todd Griffin	Favor	I am in favor of this option because this keeps the historic assets and the economic engines within our community.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Kip Drabeck	Favor	This option will allow Chatsworth Lake Manor to remain in the 5th District area and maintain our current relationships and contacts with our 5th District Representation. We are in a district that nurtured the formation of our Town Council, responds well to address the specific problems of a semi rural area such as Lake Manor. The 5th District has help us address community problems such as: Stopping Illegal Dumping, Forcing out illegal Marijuana Dispensaries, Helping to restore water in wildlife areas adjacent to lake manor, to name a few	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Kristin C Sabo	Favor	Of the options offered, this best represents my community of interest. Please select Option F. Thank you.	11/24/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION F</b>	Lawrence Murata-Shih	Other	I am generally in support of this map, but have some issues with it. I believe Claremont should have remained in the 1st District, and I do not think it makes any sense to place La Habra Heights into the 1st District. Having grown up right next to La Habra Heights, it was always a community apart from surrounding Rowland and Hacienda Heights. It is separated from the neighboring communities by those hills, and it would make more sense for it to be included with Whittier instead.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Lenee C Richards	Favor	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Linda Nutile	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Linda PADUANO	Favor	Please leave Chatsworth Lake Manor Alone, enough is enough.	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Lisa Youngworth	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Lisa Zinnanti	Favor	Please keep our rural area with district 5.	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Lucy Demirjian	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Lydia Hsu	Oppose	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Marisa LeBlanc	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Mark Narain	Oppose	As mentioned in my previous comment this map does not make sense for the communities.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Marlene Buenrostro	Favor	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Mayor Bill Brand	Oppose	-	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Mayor Bill Brand	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Melinda L Azulay	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a

<b>OPTION F</b>	Melinda Lesley	Favor	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Melissa M Wang	Oppose	-	11/24/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Michael Horton	Favor	-	11/27/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Monica Reynolds	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Nancy Sams	Oppose	Chops up areas iin a confusing way.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Pamela Sparrow	Favor	I support Map F for my district	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Randall Collins	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Rebecca Rotter	Favor	We would like to maintain our relationship with 5th district representatives and have more in common with the other semi-rural communities in the 5th district.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Rigo Garcia	Favor	-	11/24/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION F</b>	Robert W Dager	Favor	This option will keep our community in the same district as other communities of the same character.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Ron Collins	Favor	Many community members and community based organizations came together to create maps that were submitted by the People's Bloc. Option F most closely reflects the voices and needs of the community and therefore should be approved by the commission.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Roubik Golanian	Favor	As the Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission considers boundaries for the County's five supervisorial districts following the recent release of federal census data, the City of Glendale (as stated in its letter to the Commission on November 17, 2021) would like to strongly advocate first and foremost for not splitting the City of Glendale into two different supervisorial districts; and also for the classification of the tri-city area of Glendale, Burbank, and Pasadena as a Community of Interest (COI), and its continued inclusion within Supervisorial District 5. We	11/29/2021	n/a

			appreciate that map F keeps Glendale intact and includes it in the same district along with the cities of Burbank and Pasadena.		
<b>OPTION F</b>	Sandra Cook	Favor	I'm in favor of Map Option F.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Sandra Shlapak	Favor	I live in Chatsworth Lake Manor and feel that the interests of our community are aligned with the communities above the 118 freeway. The winds from the antelope valley affect our fire safety and coordination of county services need to be unified.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Sean Cazares	Favor	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Sean Cazares	Favor	The Foothill Communities of Glendora, San Dimas, La Verne, and Claremont support Map F, as it respects our Community of Interest (COI).	11/23/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
<b>OPTION F</b>	Sharon Shingai	Favor	-	11/27/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Steve Spohr	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Susi M Kaplan	Oppose	-	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	TEENA A TAKATA	Favor	better alignment of NW Valley with similar interest areas and geographic neighbors in the West San Fernando Valley.	11/28/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Teresa R Mando	Other	<p>Please move the parts of the following streets that are in District 3 into District 5 with the rest of the Shadow Hills/Stonehurst neighborhood. Repeat the rest of the litany we all know: These homes share the horse-keeping agrarian lifestyle and concerns, as well as the high-fire zoning of the rest of Stonehurst and Shadow Hills.</p> <p>These areas are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A stretch of the western end of Wentworth</li> <li>• A block of Bromont Ave.</li> <li>• A block of Sheldon St.</li> <li>• A block of Thelma St.</li> <li>• A block of Allegheny St.</li> <li>• One side of a block of Wicks St.</li> </ul>	11/27/2021	n/a

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several blocks of Wealtha Ave.</li> <li>• The western side of several blocks of Stonehurst Ave.</li> </ul> <p>Carving out a little island of a few streets and putting into a different district does not serve the community as a whole. PLEASE KEEP ALL OF SHADOW HILLS together in one district. It makes no sense to pull out that little island of homes and the park into another district. It will simply make getting things done in the area more confusing and difficult.</p>		
<b>OPTION F</b>	Timothy J Hepburn	Favor	I have been on the SGVCOG since being elected to the La Verne City Council since 2015. I have since been on the Executive Committee for 3 plus years. I serve as the First Vice President. I have seen firsthand the support from our Supervisors and the issues that the San Gabriel valley faces with transportation, CDC, Covid and measure H funds. as a group we have worked well with our Supervisors that we have to get the things we need to all be successful as cities in regards to funding and support. This map serves the needs of our communities.	11/26/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Tom Riddle	Oppose	Not a quality map. Scorecard has it far behind option B.	11/29/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Vivian Wu	Oppose	-	11/23/2021	n/a
<b>OPTION F</b>	Wendi Gladstone	Favor	We must keep communities with commonalities and/or mutual interests together. Also, many perimeter communities in the west, north and north-eastern portions of the San Fernando Valley share a common wildfire threat. One district should oversee these areas. No need to change the boundaries if it has been working, and it has been working the way it is!	11/27/2021	n/a
-	Rachelle Arizmendi / Kerry Doi	-	-	11/23/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
-	Chris Rowe	-	Dear Honorable Commissioners, Early this morning I submitted an article to CityWatchLA in regards to your upcoming meetings. As you know, I would like the San Fernando Valley to be one Supervisorial District.	11/28/2021	n/a



		<p>The original agenda points to the packets for maps B-1 and Map F. But in reality, we are now on maps 64, 65, and 66.</p> <p>One problem that I have is that there are no obvious Neighborhood Council lines or census tracts on the ESRI layer view, and I have not had the time to watch the video with all of the meetings and all of the emails that I have sent out trying to get people to attend your meetings or submit written comments. Thai has offered to work with me, but I am unfortunately sleeping later and later in the day due to these long meetings I have been attending with you, LA City, and the CCRC.</p> <p>When a packet is prepared, then the map is not enlargeable.</p> <p>Is there any way in time for Wednesday's meeting to have the software display the Neighborhood Council boundaries for the LA City portion, and communities like the LA City maps did with the LA Times mapping project?</p> <p>As an FYI, I have looked at your changes and your justifications. Thank you to the Working Group that put in the extra time to work on these three maps.</p> <p>As always, Map Modified B-1 is the best for me. OP 64 looks more gerrymandered to me. I see a face on the East San Fernando Valley area. I liked the compact nature of the maps that Commissioner Stecher originally drew and Commissioner Kenney also worked on those maps B and D. What I am asking for - to keep the San Fernando Valley whole to include Burbank and the Las Virgenes COI with the Valley is also meets your 4 criteria I believe.</p> <p>My comments in CityWatchLA will be submitted to you if it comes out as planned before your Wednesday meeting - it should be out Monday night.</p> <p>Suffice it to say that Beverly Hills where I was driving last Monday and Tuesday - the flats belong with the Wilshire and the Santa Monica corridors to include communities like Hancock Park, Windsor Square, Beverlywood, other parts of West LA to Santa Monica. This is the area that my husband grew up in and we</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>continued to frequent places like The Farmer's Market on 3rd and Fairfax until the pandemic hit.</p> <p>I recognize that a lot of this area changed since my husband grew up there in the sense of the increased population and the diversity. But some things continue to be similar that we remember as we drive the streets like Santa Monica, Wilshire, and Pico, etc.</p> <p>Again, can we please have layers or colors to help the people view these maps when they are enlarged, or allow them to open in a manner that is not blue, but rather has a street and mountain level feature.</p> <p>Respectfully submitted, Chris Rowe West Hills</p>		
-	Fatima Malik	-	Please accept the attached document as public comment from the League of Women Voters of Los Angeles County and Asian Americans Advancing Justice – Los Angeles.	11/29/2021	<a href="#">View attachment</a>
-	Chris Rowe	-	<p><a href="#">City Watch LA Article</a></p> <p>Dear Honorable Commissioners and employees, I am not a member of a non-profit that is doing outreach on Redistricting. I am a former member of the West Hills Neighborhood Council as you have heard many times, and I work with three local Neighborhood Councils.</p> <p>CityWatchLA is my only way to do outreach to the broader community of Los Angeles. Many of the people that write for CityWatch are members of Neighborhood Councils; sometimes I see posts by current or former LA City Council members.</p> <p>I do hope that my article will encourage more people to participate. And I hope that you will read it, and that you will listen to what I am asking for and why I am asking for a particular change in your maps.</p>	11/29/2021	n/a

		<p>I just do not understand why when so many people were on the LA City Redistricting calls - as many as 500? - that we are not hearing from that same number of people, and a diverse group.</p> <p>I reached out to EmpowerLA this past week. They are also known as the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment aka: DONE. I asked why they only had noticed the people that receive their email blast - one went out on November 23rd, 2021, to those who are subscribed just as I am subscribed to your emails.</p> <p>Today, the representative from EmpowerLA stated that she had posted your two upcoming meetings on Social Media - FACEBOOK, Twitter, and I did see that she had posted it on NEXTDOOR. But she did not post tonight's meeting. I just posted that to that string within about the last half an hour.</p> <p>I know that you are unlikely to read this prior to tonight's meeting, but I do hope you will prior to Wednesday's meeting.</p> <p>I want to bring another issue to you regarding the San Fernando Valley please. One person in your documents asked you to keep Toluca Lake whole with Burbank. I believe that Toluca Lake - an LA City - should be a part of the San Fernando Valley District 3 that I am asking for with its contiguous neighbors of North Hollywood, Valley Glen, Studio City, Sherman Oaks, and the Universal City area. I also believe that Burbank should be a part of that San Fernando Valley District as my article mentions due to the airport flight paths.</p> <p>Last night, I called a friend who is a member of the Armenian community. I asked her if she could define the Armenian community as it was defined for the LA City Redistricting purposes. I did find in their Report to the City, a letter to the LA City Council with the communities named that included Burbank, North Hollywood, Valley Glen, etc. I would like that Community of Interest (COI) to be a part of the San Fernando Valley as it is in the LA City Council with Councilmember Paul Krekorian currently representing his District.</p> <p>Please keep the San Fernando Valley whole with the Las Virgenes COI.</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>Please take out the "Flats" of Beverly Hills for population. Please do not include Santa Monica with the San Fernando Valley. Please think in terms of the high fire area hillsides. The Air Quality Management District has "No Burn Day" alerts going out right now. Thank you. Respectfully, Chris Rowe West Hills 91307</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--