

Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Public Hearing No. 2 (11/17/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
4.a.	Alayna Santos	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Alicia Gidinez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Alicia Godinez	Favor	No separar a las comunidades	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Ana K Khachikyan	Other	<p>Redistricting Commissioners and County Staff,</p> <p>On behalf of Armenians for Redistricting Equity--a coalition of leaders, activists, advocates, community caretakers and organizers--we are reaching out to the Commission to respectfully request that the Armenian Community not be forgotten in your considerations, as you draw district lines. We are disappointed that the Commission has made no effort, as of yet, to reach out to our cultural organizations or learn additional information about the Armenian community, despite being a significant community of interest. As a result, we feel compelled to make our case with hopes that our voices will not be ignored.</p> <p>The Armenian community of Los Angeles makes up the largest concentration of Armenians in the world outside of Armenia. Our community is a distinct ethnic group with strong linguistic and cultural ties and many families immigrated to Los Angeles as refugees after the Armenian Genocide in 1915. To put it in perspective, our population is similar in size to the Chinese, Filipino and Jewish populations of the County and is the equivalent of about half of the size of the African Americans population in the County. In addition to our population, Armenian is the 4th highest language spoken at home in the County, according to the LA Almanac, laalmanac.com/population/po47.php and, as a result, our community is protected by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, as a protected language minority group.</p>	11/15/2021	View attachment View attachment

			<p>Attached, you will find a heat map, broken down by zip code which shows the commission where Armenians are most concentrated in the County. We ask that you consider these populations when drawing district lines as the Armenian community should be preserved in one Supervisorial district. As of now, the draft map that best accomplishes this aim is the map OP 029, offered by Stuart Waldman.</p> <p>In conclusion, please unify the communities of Pasadena, Glendale, Burbank and the rest of the San Fernando Valley into one district and do not draw the district to the Westside which we view as an intentional act to dilute our chances for representation. We also ask that you educate yourself and ask for a formal presentation on our community and its challenges in the County from the Armenian Assembly or the Armenian National Committee of America--Western Region.</p> <p>Thank you-</p> <p>Ana and the Executive Board Armenians for Redistricting Equity</p>		
4.a.	Andrea J Zuniga	Favor	-	11/17/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Anthony Liberatore	Other	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Arcelia Tavarez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Ashley Portillo-Baloy	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Astrid Heppenstall-Heger	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Carissa Mack	Other	Our community is in favor of Map D because it best represents our communities of interest. We oppose map C.	11/11/2021	n/a
4.a.	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a

4.a.	Carra Pope	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Christina S Spitz	Other	Support Draft Plan D-1 as our first choice for CSD 3, with Draft Plan A-1 as our 2nd choice. Do not support any other Plans. The basis for our position is set forth in detail in the attached letter from Pacific Palisades Community Council.	11/16/2021	n/a
4.a.	Christine B Powers	Other	Advocate to keep cities of Glendale, Burbank, and Pasadena within one supervisorial district, in their entireties.	11/17/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Cynthia D Carranza	Favor	I have lived in this district and work in this field along with district. Please vote for A1 in Favor	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Cynthia L Stotts	Favor	<p>My name is Dr. Cynthia Stotts and I am a Pediatrician who cares for critically ill children at LAC+USC Medical Center for over 30 years.</p> <p>I thank the Commissioners for your dedication to fairness.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am in full support of map option A-1, submitted by the People’s Bloc. The Commission should advance this submission as part of your proposed maps for several reasons. <p>These organizations are based within our communities. They provide critical services and understand what our neighbors need. They constantly advocate for us and we trust them.</p> <p>This map is about justice and equity. It protects and ensures representation for our historically marginalized communities, which other submitted maps do not do.</p> <p>Eastside LA: This map keeps East LA and Northeast LA together, which is great because the Eastside region could continue to advocate for the health of the residents and prevent the tragedy brought on by COVID-19. This requires addressing socio-economic justice together.</p> <p>Please advance the People’s Bloc map and adopt it as the final proposed map.</p> <p>With sincerest thanks, Dr. Cynthia Stotts</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

4.a.	Daniel Zamora	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Diego H Rodrigues	Favor	I am writing in full support of the map submitted by the People's Bloc. The organizations comprising the People's Bloc are integral members of our communities. The People's Bloc proposed map ensures equitable representation for our marginalized communities, which other submitted maps do not do. For 45 years Alma has worked alongside stakeholders from East LA, Northeast LA and the San Gabriel Valley. We know firsthand how these communities share a number of priorities including environmental justice, access to care, education and youth development among many other, cultural, financial and social REGIONAL interests. These communities, disproportionately impacted by COVID, depend on the longstanding relationships with service providers and the strong leadership of SD1. I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together as proposed by the People's Bloc, so we as a community can continue to work collaboratively towards the growth of the entire region, building build a united, safe, healthy and strong community. Thank you.	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Dyanne Alejandra Ortiz Nino	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Eddie De La Riva	Oppose	Good evening. I urge all commissioners not to adopt map A or any map in their current form. Contrary to what has been said none of these maps are truly representative of the needs of all communities, they are a disservice to the Southeast. Please keep SELA together and in District 1 where we belong, with other similar communities of interest this will ensure our needs are met and properly represented.	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Eddie DLR	Other	All of the current maps under consideration are terrible. PLEASE draw equitable maps that keep communities of interest together. SELA must remain whole and in District 1. I urge you to draw us into District 1	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Emylou Vergel de Dios	Favor	-	11/16/2021	n/a
4.a.	Evelyn K Zepeda	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Frank J Gruber	Other	Hello. My name is Frank Gruber and I'm a longtime political and environmental activist and commentator on issues affecting urban life in L.A.	11/15/2021	n/a

			<p>and other places. I'm based in Santa Monica, but I've written generally about the region and about regional government. I'm writing to urge you to adopt Map D or something very close to it. My primary reason for this is that D is the least convoluted plan. Its simplicity, five districts radiating out from the center, each compact and without obvious gerrymandered appendages and narrow connectors, is the most compelling reason for adopting it. It will make logical sense to the electorate. They will understand it implicitly. They will know that the supervisors they elect will represent populations whose needs and interests are largely aligned by geography and the environment.</p> <p>Obviously, the Voting Rights Act issues are paramount. I'm not an expert in this, but it seems that the map that purports to create three majority Latino districts is illusory, since one district has a Latino majority barely over 50%. Because a significant share of the Latino population consists of non-naturalized first-generation immigrants, a comparatively high percentage of Latinos are not registered to vote vis-a-vis other groups. Meanwhile, the number of Latinos in the other districts is reduced by a lot, reducing Latino clout in those districts. Map D creates two strong Latino districts, while making sure that the Latino populations in the other districts are too large for any politician, regardless of his or her ethnicity, to ignore.</p> <p>For these reasons, I urge you to choose Map D. Thank you, not only for considering my comment, but for your hard work. Sincerely, Frank Gruber</p>		
4.a.	Gabriela Hernandez-Gonzalez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Gabriela Hernandez-Gonzalez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Gleam Davis	Other	Favor Maps A & D, Reject Maps B & C	11/15/2021	n/a
4.a.	hector flores	Favor	Option A-1	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Henry Perez	Other	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Isaias Hernandez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a

4.a.	Ismael Castro	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Ismael Castro	Favor	Hello commissioners, my name is Ismael Castro and I live and work in Supervisorial District 1. I am calling tonight in support of Map A. I urge the commission to adopt this map because it is informed by trusted community organizations and community voices, and because it will keep the eastside connected and strong to continue pushing our collective interests successfully. Low-income communities of color have been greatly impacted by COVID19, increasing housing and food insecurity which have serious and direct impact on young people's access to meaningful education. Map A groups communities of color in ways that amplify our voices to have the representation we deserve. The communities in district 1 are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who are stronger together and have a long history of work in SD 1 that have brought great programs to our communities. Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to this process.	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jack Cheng	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jamie S Cabrera	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jennifer Gaeta	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Jennifer O Gaeta	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	John Mendoza	Favor	Map c	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Johnny Torres	Favor	We must keep district one intact. Separating the district would be devastating to the progress that has been built over the last few years.	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Johnny Torres	Favor	We need to keep East L.A. in District One. Loosing East L.A. would be devastating to the progress made over these years.	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	JOSE CORNEJO	Other	The people of the San Fernando Valley want to be kept in one district. Polling has shown that they don't want to be divided into two districts. Map B does its best to meet this goal. Please add Porter Ranch, Grenada Hills, and Sylmar into district 3. Leaving us out ensures that significant public transportation never reaches our neighborhoods. By placing us outside of district 3 we become disenfranchised.	11/12/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Joseph R Rosendo	Other	Although I appreciate the organization and support the advocates of District 1 & 2 in this issue. I don't believe that the entire county has been heard on this issue. The Topanga Chamber of Commerce and the Topanga businesses	11/17/2021	n/a

			support the implementation of Map B which keeps Topanga in District 3 and the entire Santa Monica Mountains communities together. Forcing an artificial joining of areas not adjacent or dealing with the same problems or splintering Santa Monica Mountain communities (in particular Topanga) in to different districts is just plain wrong headed thinking. Do what must be done to satisfy the needs of the people in District 1 & 2 and leave District 3 alone as is.		
4.a.	Julian Del Real-Calleros	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Lourdes Saab	Favor	I respectfully submit my support for the Pople's Bloc - Map A	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Manuel D Campa	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Michelle Benavides	Other	<p>My name is Michelle and I am a resident from district 1 who grew up in Boyle Heights my whole life. I am also a community member who works with young people and community residents. I am calling in to urge the commission to vote for Map A.</p> <p>Map A Keeps the Eastside communities together, which consists of Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights. It also includes communities with similar cultural and historical experiences. The communities in the eastside which are predominantly Latinx folks have been organizing for years to push for policies and social services that they need.</p> <p>Map A also Keeps SouthEast LA Whole - Allies and Residents have highlighted the importance of keeping the region united, since these communities share similar demographics, strengths, and challenges. We all know that redistricting is going to affect all of us and we need the commissioners to make the best choice and vote for Map A and support our most underrepresented and underserved communities.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Mirna A Romero	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Mirna Romero	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Noah Wald-Dickler	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a

4.a.	Oralia Rebollo	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Oralia Rebollo	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Oscar Flores	Other	--	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Paola Estrada	Favor		11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	rebecca castro	Favor	<p>Hi my name is Rebecca Castro and I live in Lynwood, CA. First, thank you to the Commissioners for your months of hard work. We really appreciate it. I am in full support of having the Southeast Los Angeles communities composed of: Bell, Bell Gardens, Commerce, Cudahy, Florence-Firestone, Huntington Park, Lynwood, Maywood, South Gate, Walnut Park, and Vernon to remain as part of the First Supervisorial District. None of the maps you are currently considering represent equity. They do not protect and ensure fair representation for our historically marginalized communities-ones that have a high percentage of Latino residents with low socioeconomic status, large immigrant population, similar government structures, and challenges. By breaking up the Southeast Los Angeles from East Los Angeles and the San Gabriel Valley, regions that we have come to identify very strongly with due to the First District's steadfast leadership, we will not be able to advance solutions to common issues impacting us all. From Exide, the former battery recycling plant in Vernon that has spewed lead into the air, soil and water in both Southeast Los Angeles and East Los Angeles- to the 1-710 which has displaced thousands of residents from Southern Los Angeles and East Los Angeles. - To the lack of parks and green spaces in Southern Los Angeles and the San Gabriel Valley. It is critical that you recognize the importance of keeping Southeast Los Angeles intact with these other two regions in the First District. Southeast Los Angeles does not share common interest with other wealthier parts of the County such as Long Beach, Whittier, Cerritos, Hollywood, the beach cities or the westside. And if you want to reference data, all you have to look at are COVID-19 case rates and vaccination rates from early on in the pandemic- Where Southeast Los Angeles, much like East Los Angeles and the San Gabriel Valley, was not getting its fair share of resources. I urge you to have Southeast Los Angeles remain as part of the First District and Ultimately adopt a map that depicts that.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

4.a.	Richard Montgomery	Oppose	I oppose any removal of the South Bay cities from the 4th District and Supervisor Janice Hahn. Supervisor Hahn is a south bay native, knows our issues and concerns and recently re-elected to serve four more years ! Do not make a mistake that we residents will have to live with!	11/11/2021	n/a
4.a.	Sean Cazares	Other	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Sepi Shyne	Favor	I support Draft Map A.	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Sheylla Duran	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	I request the county board to also look into the possibility of redistricting school districts which currently do not represent the socio-economic opportunities and the current district boundaries are almost 100+ years old	11/16/2021	n/a
4.a.	Terri Tippit	Other	As indicated in the attached letter, the Westside Neighborhood Council still has our strongest support for Map "D" due to its immediate inclusion of the whole WNC within the appropriate district and grouped with our historically connected neighbors to the north. HOWEVER, the WNC can – but contingent on modest adjustments in the boundary lines of each – also support Map "A", Map "B", and Map "E." The WNC urges that Map "C" and Map "F" be set aside. Thank you for your consideration and service.	11/17/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Veronica De Lara	Favor	-	11/16/2021	n/a
4.a.	Wade Major	Other	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	William Kay	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
4.a.	Chris Rowe	Other	SUPPORT MODIFIED MAP B BY KIM LAMORIE WITH CHANGES: I wanted to bring District 3 in closer to Sunset to keep Santa Monica whole in a different District. I want to put Alhambra with Monterey Park; I am trying to keep an Asian COI together. I would want to put Griffith Park in with the SFV as well. I definitely would want to use Sunset to divide Westwood. I take that route often off the 405 freeway. I know the canyons in there really well	11/15/2021	View attachment
4.a.	Paula Devine/Paula Marcello	-	-	11/16/2021	View attachment

4.b.	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
4.b.	Henry Lo	Other	<p>Good evening Commissioners, my name is Henry Lo and I am the Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Monterey Park. I want to thank you for your hard work. Simply put, the San Gabriel Valley is not a monolith. As an elected official representing Monterey Park, I know for a fact that my city has very little in common with the foothill cities for example, where more affluent residents live and where the issues are completely different than my own city residents. For example, areas in the Northern SGV like Glendora and La Verne has little in common with areas like El Monte, Montebello or Monterey Park.</p> <p>Please do not lump the San Gabriel Valley together as one and look at the specific demographic and income differences of each area.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
4.b.	Richard Montgomery	Favor	I oppose any removal of the South Bay cities from the 4th District and Supervisor Janice Hahn. Supervisor Hahn is a south bay native, knows our issues and concerns and recently re-elected to serve four more years ! Do not make a mistake that we residents will have to live with!	11/11/2021	n/a
4.b.	Robert L Hulett	Other	Yes.I voted on reform notification	11/14/2021	n/a
4.b.	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
4.b.	Frances Alet	Other	<p>Dear Redistricting Commission:</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the various redistricting maps that your committee has created for the County of Los Angeles.</p> <p>I'm a resident of Calabasas. I believe it's very important to keep the Santa Monica Mountains communities in a single district. Not only are Westlake Village, Agoura Hills, Calabasas, Hidden Hills, Woodland Hills (western), Malibu and Topanga geographically adjacent to each other, their populations face similar issues (safety, environmental, political) that other communities do not.</p> <p>Option A excludes Hidden Hills and part of Woodland Hills that's adjacent to the 101. It creates a gap in the district between Playa Vista and El Segundo, excluding the LAX/Westchester area, making the district noncontiguous. It then continues on to include South Bay beach cities down to Ranch Palos</p>	11/15/2021	n/a

			<p>Verdes. I've lived in the South Bay and love the area, but those communities face very different issues than the Santa Monica Mountains communities. It doesn't make sense to lump them together with those of the Santa Monica Mountains.</p> <p>Option B makes some sense to me. It keeps Calabasas, Agoura Hills, Hidden Hills, Malibu, Topanga, and Pacific Palisades together. However, it splits Burbank and Glendale from one another, which are very similar in population and needs.</p> <p>Option C makes the least sense to me. While it keeps the Santa Monica Mountains communities together, it "curls under" on the map to include Long Beach to Orange County. Like Option A, it creates a gap between Santa Monica/Redondo Beach for Inglewood, excluding Westchester. It creates a noncontiguous district with wildly different needs and concerns.</p> <p>Option D makes the most sense to me. It keeps the Santa Monica Mountains communities together. It extends to Chatsworth and Granada Hills to the north and Santa Monica/Ocean Park to the south. It keeps Burbank and Glendale in the same districts. Option D creates a contiguous district, that I think will have more similarities than differences in the cities' needs and concerns.</p> <p>If the choice is between Options A, B, C and D, I would vote for Option D. Thank you for considering my comments.</p> <p>Sincerely, Frances Alet Calabasas, CA. 91302</p>		
4.c.	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
4.c.	Jesus Ramirez	Favor	-	11/16/2021	n/a
4.c.	Jesus Ramirez	Favor	<p>Dear LA County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>As a resident of South LA, I am writing in support of Map C for several reasons. Currently, I am working at LAX airport putting packages in planes to be delivered to their designated area and Map C keeps my work place and other cities such as Inglewood and parks I frequently visit on a weekly basis in</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

			the same district where I live. I firmly believe that Map Option C is the only proposed draft that respects and empowers working class communities like Latinos and those color across the county. Considering the Latino and API growth over the last 10 years, the commission has the power to draw 2 Latino Majority CVAP Districts and at the same time give voters of color opportunity for a coalition District. I am requesting you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in the county.		
4.c.	Richard Montgomery	Favor	-	11/11/2021	n/a
4.c.	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Alayna Santos	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Alejandro Juarez	Favor	<p>My name is Alejandro Juarez-Ugalde and I work for Innercity Struggle, a community based organization that fights for true equity across the Eastside of Los Angeles from education, housing, to civic engagement. I am also a cultural arts teacher and have taught throughout the county but especially in the Eastside and I work with kids , their parents and families of the Eastside.</p> <p>In the Eastside we are a predominantly Latinx working class community, that has historically faced in inequities that we're only heighten by COVID. Not only were lives lost and peoples health damaged, but businesses shut down, employees lost their job, people lost their housing and access to quality healthy food. Nevertheless we in the Eastside fought on and have been building it back up with the help of youth, parents, community organizations, this has been possible through a strong unified Eastside I urge the commission to adopt and keep map A , this is the map that best represent our communities and peoples , it allow us to fight and build a better Eastside that is equitable , safe, and prosperous post pandemic.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Ana M Godoy	Favor	I support Map A because it is a map that incorporates community voice and it is the community uplifting the need for Map A to be the map that advances for further consideration. Map A incorporates a lot of the issues and concerns residents have uplifted in these redistricting hearings. It keeps the Eastside communities, which include, Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights,	11/16/2021	n/a

			El Sereno and Lincoln Heights united and whole under the same district as Montebello, Pico Rivera, Alhambra, Monterey Park, San Gabriel, and El Monte. As a South Gate resident, I appreciate that Map A keeps the South East LA region whole. South East LA includes the cities: Bell, Bell Gardens, Cudahy, Maywood, Huntington Park, Lynwood, Vernon, and South Gate. Map A also keeps South LA whole with other neighborhoods that have the same characteristics and interests. Ultimately Map A is the map that best groups communities of interests throughout the county. It is a map that does not separate communities and rather gives the opportunity for fair representation so that communities can elect someone that best represents them.		
OPTION A-1	Ann O'Connor	Favor	Map A-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map A-1 as the least acceptable (fourth choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/16/2021	View attachment
OPTION A-1	Annemarie N Donkin	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Anthony Liberatore	Favor	Map A-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map A-1 as the least acceptable (fourth choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Arcelia Tavaréz	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Ariana Rios	Favor	My name is Ariana Rios and I am a resident of unincorporated East Los Angeles. I have been a resident in the community for almost my entire life and I love my community. I have been dedicated to supporting the community and the betterment for 8 years. We are a predominantly working class and Latino community so we need a map the continues to be equitable and keep our interests in mind.	11/16/2021	n/a

			I urge the commission to adopt this map as it. It keeps my community together without robbing the South LA African American community of a representative they deserve to elect. We need to keep our communities of color together because they are fighting for the betterment of their community. Please let us continue the work we have done and want to do. This map also keeps SouthEast LA Whole. Residents have highlighted the importance of keeping the region whole, since these communities share similar demographics, strengths, and challenges. None of these maps are perfect but this is the closest to most equitable. Please take into consideration to most needy communities and choose this map and keep as is.		
OPTION A-1	Ashley Portillo-Baloy	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Astrid Heppenstall-Heger	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Astrid Heppenstall-Heger	Favor	This is critical in order to keep East Los Angeles together with Northeast, Maintains the integration of San Gabriel Valley and thus promotes critical services related to housing, support services for low-income families and allows us to advocate for programs that support the health and well being of families living in our region.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Austin M Galliguez	Favor	I support Map A because it keeps the most vulnerable communities of East LA unified instead of being torn apart. Being inclusive while targeting inequity issues with laser precision is best for everyone.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Bob Anderson	Favor	Map A-1 is acceptable to SOHA because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks), whole in a single supervisor district. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, SOHA has ranked Map A-1 as the least acceptable (fourth choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Candice Cho	Favor	My name is Candice Cho. I live and work in Los Angeles at the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council (A3PCON). A3PCON is a coalition of over forty community-based organizations serving and representing the 1.5 million	11/11/2021	n/a

			<p>Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPIs) who make up 15 percent of Los Angeles County. Our members include groups like Little Tokyo Service Center, Thai Community Development Center, Asian Youth Center, Koreatown Youth and Community Center, Korean American Coalition, and South Asian Network. A3PCON, along with some of its members, is also a member of the People's Bloc. The Bloc is a multiracial table dedicated to the inclusion of everyday residents in the redistricting process and advocates for equitable representation for communities of color.</p> <p>I urge the commission to adopt map A. This map keeps whole AAPI communities of interest, as they are defined by those communities, like Chinatown, Little Tokyo, Koreatown, Thai Town, and Historic Filipinotown. This map also pairs AAPI communities with other AAPI communities and communities of color with whom we have shared policy interests and concerns, like access to affordable housing. Thank you.</p>		
OPTION A-1	Carla Torres Montero	Favor	-	11/16/2021	View attachment
OPTION A-1	Cinthia Gonzalez	Favor	<p>My name is Cinthia Gonzalez and I am a resident of Boyle Heights as well as an organizer in the Eastside community.</p> <p>I have lived here all my life, attended school here and my family owns business here. The Eastside is home to so many immigrant families who have welcomed them with open arms. My community brings so many of us together and historically has housed so many undocumented families throughout the years.</p> <p>Despite our community having to deal with the racist structures that have been set in place in many years we have thrived together despite the oppression. From being a food desert to having overpopulated schools we have thrived by organizing ourselves and demanding our rights be respected as a community. As well as growing through services, education, etc.</p> <p>I urge the commission to adopt this map as it Keeps the Eastside communities, which consist of Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights united and whole under the same</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

			district as Montebello, Pico Rivera, Alhambra, Monterey Park, San Gabriel and El Monte. The communities in the Eastside of Los Angeles are home to majority Latinx populations that share a common cultural and historical experience. These communities are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who rely on services and programs from social service providers with a long history of work in SD 1.		
OPTION A-1	Daniel Zamora	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Daniela Hernandez	Favor	<p>My name is Daniela Hernandez and I am a South Central Resident (Supervisory District 2) and I am in full support of Map A, the People’s Bloc map.</p> <p>For the past 9 years, I’ve worked with Eastside youth and community members. During this time I have met many families similar to my own: low-income, working-class, immigrant, tenants.</p> <p>Community members from Boyle Heights, El Sereno, East LA, and Lincoln Heights have for years seen a disinvestment in their neighborhoods; their livelihoods were even more impacted by the pandemic. At the peak of COVID-19 cases in LA County, the Eastside was one of the hotspots as a majority of residents are essential workers who did not have the option to work from home and who did not have the luxury of quarantining in their multi-family homes. The historic Eastside is a Community of Interest that has a vested interest in seeing a just recovery led by LA County Supervisors. Commissioners, it would be a huge injustice to adopt a map, like Map C, that divides our Community of Interest. Map A is the best map as it maintains the Eastside whole while strengthening the Latinx, API, and Black CVAP. Map A is the result of hundreds of LA County residents, representing all counties, coming together to develop a vision of an equitable, racially just county. Map A centers the voices of community members. On the contrary, Map B and D did not include a democratic process. I do not support the creation of maps that are so disconnected from the people who will be most impacted.</p> <p>Commissioners, once again I urge you to support Map A, “the People’s” map.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

OPTION A-1	Danika Lam	Favor	My name is Danika Lam and I live in Windsor Square in the zip code 90005. I work at the Asian Pacific Policy & Planning Council (A3PCON), which is headquartered in Downtown Los Angeles and is a coalition of over 40 community-based organizations advocating for AAPIs in LA County. A3PCON is part of the People's Bloc, a multiracial table dedicated to the inclusion of everyday residents in the redistricting process and advocates for equitable representation for communities of color. I urge the commission to adopt map A. This map keeps AAPI communities of interest whole, like Chinatown, Little Tokyo, Koreatown, Thai Town, and Historic Filipinotown, as defined by our communities. These communities share similar characteristics and policy concerns, like access to affordable housing and access to in-language and culturally competent health care. This map also pairs AAPI communities with other communities of color with whom we have shared interests and concerns.	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Dennis G Arguelles	Favor	I support Option A-1. More than any other map it retains the integrity of long-standing ethnic and minority communities and ensures the BOS truly reflects the County's diverse and multicultural population.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Dyanne Alejandra Ortiz Nino	Favor	My name is Alejandra Ortiz, I work for the community organization ICS, as part of my job as community organizer I was able to interact with families from East LA, City Terrace, El Sereno, Boyle Heights. As I knocked on doors there was a commonality as I spoke to people, they were worried about their living conditions. They were renters that live in houses not big enough for their full family but that's what they can afford with their income. Rent is too high and unaffordable for many that hold jobs with very low pay. I am here today because I feel it is my responsibility to urge the commission to adopt map four as it keeps the east side communities together. The communities in the Eastside of Los Angeles are home to majority Latinx populations that share a common cultural and historical experience. These communities are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who rely	11/17/2021	n/a

			on services and programs from social service providers with a long history of work in SD 1.		
OPTION A-1	Eddie De La Riva	Oppose	Good evening. I urge all commissioners not to adopt map A or any map in their current form. Contrary to what has been said none of these maps are truly representative of the needs of all communities, they are a disservice to the Southeast. Please keep SELA together and in District 1 where we belong, with other similar communities of interest this will ensure our needs are met and properly represented. While it has been said by members of the East LA cities that Map A is truly inclusionary they fail to mention that it puts the Southeast communities at a huge disadvantage. I URGE you to please create a map that keeps the Southeast Communities together under District 1.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Eddie DLR	Oppose	This map is not equitable. Keep SELA in SD1	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Eiman Farooqui	Favor	<p>My name is Eiman Farooqui and I am a resident of Koreatown. I am also the Operations Manager of the Asian Pacific Policy & Planning Council (A3PCON). A3PCON is a coalition of over forty community-based organizations serving and representing the 1.5 million Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPIs) who make up 15 percent of Los Angeles County. Our members include groups like Little Tokyo Service Center, Thai Community Development Center, Asian Youth Center, Koreatown Youth and Community Center, and Korean American Coalition.</p> <p>Koreatown has a number of street vendors and pop up vendors that sell food and convenience items regularly on Beverly Blvd, but also on a number of street corners in the neighborhood. Many people take advantage of the number of busses that run through Koreatown and the metro. It's fairly easy to get around the community on public transit, despite the community being a car-heavy area. I believe that Koreatown is most comparable to our neighboring East Hollywood because of the variety of ethnicities and enclaves (like Thaitown and Little Armenia) in the area.</p> <p>A3PCON, along with some of its members, is also a member of the People's Bloc. The Bloc is a multiracial table dedicated to the inclusion of everyday residents in the redistricting process and advocates for equitable</p>	11/11/2021	n/a

			<p>representation for communities of color.</p> <p>I urge the commission to adopt map A. This map keeps AAPI communities of interest whole, like Chinatown, Little Tokyo, Koreatown, Thai Town, and Historic Filipinotown, as defined by our communities. Map A also maintains Black effective district with 30%+ CVAP, keeps South East LA whole, and keeps the Eastside communities, which consist of Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights united and whole under the same district as Montebello, Pico Rivera, Alhambra, Monterey Park, San Gabriel and El Monte whole.</p>		
OPTION A-1	Elektra Kruger	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Eliana R Mastrangelo	Favor	<p>My name is Eliana Mastrangelo and I work for LA Voice, organizing communities of faith across the county so that everyday citizens have a voice in the decisions made about their lives.</p> <p>I urge the commission to adopt this map as it ensures political representation for communities that have been historically disenfranchise, particularly in South LA where it maintains a black district.</p> <p>I want to urge the commission to adopt this map so that the most underserved communities can have a strong political voice as we recover in an equitable and dignified way.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Emylou Vergel de Dios	Favor	Hi, my name is Emylou and I'm infill support of the People's Bloc map because it keeps low income communities in the SGV area together. Also, it ensures representation for our historically marginalized communities, which other submitted maps do not do. Please, advance the People's Bloc map.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Erika Jimenez	Favor	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Esthefanie Solano	Favor	This map has been guided by the feedback and needs of everyday residents of LA County. As someone raised in the Eastside of Los Angeles, I have seen how our community has changed over the last 10 years and the deep wounds and inequalities the pandemic has exacerbated. In order to be able to address the ever-changing needs of working families in LA county, we need district	11/16/2021	n/a

			lines that honor the essence of all communities and give a voice to historically disenfranchised communities - this map does the best job at doing that for Black and Latinx working families.		
OPTION A-1	Eunice Song	Favor	<p>COI: Koreatown</p> <p>My name is Eunice Song, and I am the Executive Director of the Korean American Coalition (KAC) in Los Angeles, a 501(c)3, non-profit organization in the heart of Koreatown that has advocated for the civic and civil rights interests of the Korean American Community since 1983.</p> <p>KAC is a member of the Koreatown Redistricting Task Force as well as a member of the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council, which is part of the AAPI & AMEMSA Redistricting Collaborative, and we are in full support of MAP A that the People's bloc recommends as it keeps Koreatown as well as other COI's whole.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Evelyn K Zepeda	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	fabiola argueta	Favor	<p>I am a resident of Unincorporated East LA. I have lived here my whole life, all my 7 siblings and I are alumni from Garfield High School and a currently go to East Los Angeles College</p> <p>The Eastside Community is mostly made up of immigrant/first generation working class families that have experienced a devastating amount of loss due to COVID. Many of us have dealt with the burden of familiar loss and the worry of our high upcoming rent. Even with this said, the Eastside shares resiliency as a common trait amongst the community.</p> <p>I urge you commissioners to adopt this map as it is the map that keeps the Eastside (Unincorporated East LA, Boyle Heights, El Sereno, Lincoln Heights) united as it should be.</p> <p>The communities in the Eastside of Los Angeles are home to a large Latinx</p>	11/15/2021	n/a

			populations that share a common cultural and historical experience. Please take the time to listen to the voices of Eastside residents and take it into consideration when voting for maps. Thank you.		
OPTION A-1	Gabriela Hernandez-Gonzalez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Harrison Ryoo	Favor	My name is Harrison Ryoo and I am a member of the Korean American Coalition, Los Angeles. I urge the commission to adopt this map as it keeps the community unified and keeps AAPI Communities whole. These communities include Little Tokyo , Chinatown , Thai- Town, Historic Filipino Town, and Koreatown. These communities share similar social and economic characteristics (i.e.limited English proficient, low income or working class, undocumented, and renters vulnerable to gentrification and displacement) and share similar policy concerns.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	hector flores	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Henry Fung	Favor	I am in favor of keeping the map as is for future discussion and having them justify why Torrance was linked with Pomona. I understand the goal of keeping an Asian community out of mostly White SD 3 but it is separated from their compatriots in Gardena who are in SD 2. I would look at Dr. Grace Peng's comments regarding the Japanese community of interest in the Torrance/Gardena area and carefully snip the edges to keep this community of interest together, should this map be selected.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Henry Lo	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Ireh Yoon	Favor	I am a LA County resident and a Koreatown stakeholder who works in Koreatown. I am in full support of MAP A that the People's bloc recommends as it keeps Koreatown as well as other COIs whole.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Irma Lopez	Favor	Soy Irma López y vivo en el sureste de Los Angeles. les pido que apoyen el mapa 1A para mantener unida a las comunidades de SELA, somos unas comunidades que trabajamos unidas y luchamos por la justicia, la equidad y	11/17/2021	n/a

			el bienestar de todas las familias. Les pido que mantengan a las comunidades de SELA Juntas.		
OPTION A-1	Isaias Hernandez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Ismael Castro	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Ismael Castro	Favor	Hello commissioners, my name is Ismael Castro and I live and work in Supervisorial District 1. I am calling tonight in support of Map A. I urge the commission to adopt this map because it is informed by trusted community organizations and community voices, and because it will keep the eastside connected and strong to continue pushing our collective interests successfully. Low-income communities of color have been greatly impacted by COVID19, increasing housing and food insecurity which have serious and direct impact on young people's access to meaningful education. Map A groups communities of color in ways that amplify our voices to have the representation we deserve. The communities in district 1 are predominantly Latinx, immigrant and low-income who are stronger together and have a long history of work in SD 1 that have brought great programs to our communities. Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to this process.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jack Cheng	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jamie S Cabrera	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jane Wishon	Oppose	-	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Janice Johannsen	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jason Pope	Favor	Map A-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map A-1 as the least acceptable (fourth choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/17/2021	n/a

OPTION A-1	Jeany Choi	Favor	<p>My name is Jeany Choi, and I am LA County resident and a Koreatown stakeholder as I work at Korean American Coalition (KAC) in Los Angeles, a 501(c)3, non-profit organization in the heart of Koreatown that has advocated for the civic and civil rights interests of the Korean American Community since 1983.</p> <p>KAC is a member of the Koreatown Redistricting Task Force as well as a member of the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council, which is part of the AAPI & AMEMSA Redistricting Collaborative, and we are in full support of MAP A that the People's bloc recommends as it keeps Koreatown as well as other COI's whole.</p> <p>Thank you for all your hard work.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jennifer Gaeta	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jennifer O Gaeta	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jessica Y Panduro	Favor	<p>This is the only map that was drawn with community input across los angeles county. This map aligns with the core values that we move our work forward. In ensuring that we continue our work in protecting the working class, community impacted by covid. Our community has fought long enough to create unity within our district. Removing the Eastside of Los Angeles from Supervisorial district 1 would hurt the work that we have been able to accomplish. Keep our communities home in District 1</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jim Hoffman	Oppose	<p>This looks like a political map. The proposed districts have nonsensical tentacles that make no economic or social sense. Woodland Hills has little in common with the desert communities of Lancaster or even Santa Clarita but it shares commonalities with the rest of the SF Valley.</p> <p>The proposed District 4 looks is a real stretch. The concerns of Redondo Beach are not the same as Pomona.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Jocee E Hudson	Favor	<p>My name is Rabbi Jocee Hudson and I am the Lead on Clergy and Formation at LA Voice. I have lived in the Los Feliz neighborhood since 2006 and my daughter is a student at Franklin Avenue Elementary School. In my work with members of diverse faith groups across LA County, I am in support of Draft</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			Map Option A, as it represents the diverse needs of the communities with whom I am in direct relationship.		
OPTION A-1	John G Vigna	Favor	This map respects and raises up communities long marginalized, and ensures their concerns are not diluted by wealthier, less diverse parts of LA	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	John Moreno	Favor	Map Option A-1 – SUPPORT. This option keeps the City of Paramount aligned with its neighboring cities that share the same regional governance priorities through appropriate representation in the areas of planning urban development, service delivery, and public safety. Relationships have been developed and trust has been built with officials in these cities and keeping us together would allow us to continue the governmental relationships and working partnerships we have built with these communities. This Map Option would ensure the City of Paramount remains a part of the Lakewood Sheriff’s Station jurisdiction, minimizing any impact to our response times and keeping our community safe. We strongly believe that remaining in a district where the communities have shared interests is critical in serving our residents and business community.	11/15/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	JOSE CORNEJO	Oppose	Long Beach to Porter Ranch is ridiculous.	11/12/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Josselyn A Perez	Favor	My name is Josselyn Perez, I am a resident of East Los Angeles for the last 25 years. I would to say my support for option A-1. From just looking at the maps, I can see that this map does the best job of keeping the integrity of communities of interest, and ensuring proper representation. This is including my hometown of the Eastside united with East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno, and Lincoln Heights.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Juan C Hernandez	Favor	My name is Juan Carlos and I live in East Los Angeles. I have lived here a long time and everyone I know that I am close to lives close by or somewhere in the Eastside. My neighborhood, my friends and family, everyone that I know when it really gets down to it was impacted by the pandemic. We have a working class culture here and share the same sort of struggles with rent prices that are out of control and similar social and political problems that we seek to heal from by fighting for equity that is needed now more than ever for our youth and elders as well as disabled community members.	11/16/2021	n/a

			Please adopt map A to keep East La, Boyle Heights, Lincoln Heights and El Sereno together. We share the same history and culture in addition to the same obstacles. This is the groundwork for change and adopting this map ensures we have the opportunity to seek good meaningful change for our neighborhoods.		
OPTION A-1	Juan Garza	Favor	I support the selection of Map A-1 as it's one of the most viable options that continues to best (1) balance the identity and unique community needs of our vast diverse region and (2) maintains our long-standing communities of interest in the Southeast together. Thank you for your time and consideration of this request.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Kristian Cardenas	Favor	My name is Kristian Cardenas a lifelong Lincoln Heights resident. Please use Map A as it keeps us with similar communities like Boyle heights, El Sereno, etc. We have similar demographics and issues so should be represented together. Thank you.	11/15/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Lester C Kau	Oppose	It breaks up the Foothill cities into more than one district which makes it more difficult for the cities to work on issues that are a common concern.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Lucy Herrera	Favor	My name is Lucy Herrera and I live in Ramona Gardens and work at Legacy LA- a non profit youth center that focuses on youth development and advocacy based in Boyle Heights. I have lived in my community for almost 30 years and have the privilege of working as a Leadership Director within the same community that I was raised in. What brings our community of Boyle Heights together is the passion that our residents and youth have to demand equity and social justice against the years of oppression we have faced and continue to face on a daily. My community is affected by Gentrification, environmental racism, and lack of investment in our youth among many other issues the folks before me have shared. I urge the commission to vote for Map A. This map Keeps the Eastside communities together, which consist of Unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights united and whole under the same district as Montebello, Pico Rivera, Alhambra, Monterey Park, San Gabriel and El Monte. Map A keeps our community whole and gives a voice to our underserved community. Once	11/17/2021	n/a

			again, I urge the commission to vote for Map A. Please listen to the voices of the community! Thank u		
OPTION A-1	Manuel D Campa	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Maria-Isabel Rutledge	Favor	Black Communities need to stay connected for political power, stop the divide and conquer mentality of the white slave masters. Together we,THE BLACK COMMUNITIES STAND, divided we fall. FREEDOM will come when we are allowed to reach our Maximum Potential. We must be able to vote for representatives that reflect our communities and not selected by the white man and his agenda.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Marielle Reataza	Favor	Good evening, esteemed Commission: my name is Dr. Marielle Reataza, and I am commenting as executive director of the National Asian Pacific American Families Against Substance Abuse (NAPAFASA), a community-based organization with over 30 years of service to the Asian American and Pacific Islander community. Equally important, if not more, I am also commenting as a Filipino American and resident of Historic Filipinotown. Immigrating to Los Angeles from the Philippines in 1991, Koreatown was my first home in the US. Growing up and living in and around these neighborhoods and now working as as community advocate, it is critical that we are mindful of redistricting and that we keep our AAPI communities in LA Metro united. I support Option A-1 and thank the Commission for Keeping Historic Filipinotown whole.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	Map A-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map A-1 as the least acceptable (fourth choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Michelle Aranda	Favor	Hello my name is Michelle Aranda and I work with youth from East Los Angeles. This is a predominantly working class, immigrant community. The pandemic really greatly struck a further burden on the communities of East LA and Boyle Heights where we were once the epicenter of such pandemic. I urge the commission to adopt Map A.	11/16/2021	n/a

OPTION A-1	Michelle S Wong	Favor	<p>My name is Michelle. I am a resident of Burbank, Los Angeles, and I work at the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council (A3PCON), which is headquartered in downtown Los Angeles.</p> <p>A3PCON is a coalition of over forty community-based organizations serving and representing the 1.5 million Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPIs) who make up 15 percent of Los Angeles County. Our members include groups like Little Tokyo Service Center, Thai Community Development Center, Asian Youth Center, Koreatown Youth and Community Center, Korean American Coalition, and South Asian Network. A3PCON, along with some of its members, is also a member of the People's Bloc. The Bloc is a multiracial table dedicated to the inclusion of everyday residents in the redistricting process and advocates for equitable representation for communities of color. I urge the commission to adopt map A. This map keeps AAPI communities of interest whole, like Chinatown, Little Tokyo, Koreatown, Thai Town, and Historic Filipinotown, as defined by our communities. These communities share similar characteristics and policy concerns.</p> <p>These communities also want to be paired with other AAPI communities and communities of color with whom we have shared interests and concerns such as the Eastside communities.</p>	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Mirna A Romero	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Mirna Romero	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Noah Wald-Dickler	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Norbert Tan	Favor	-	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Peter Ng	Favor	We support the Draft Map A-1 as it will keep the communities of many ethnic groups together.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Robert J Kang	Favor	<p>My name is Robert Kang, and I am a member of the Board of Directors for the Korean American Coalition (KAC) in Los Angeles, a 501(c)3, non-profit organization in the heart of Koreatown that has advocated for the civic and civil rights interests of the Korean American Community since 1983. I also supported this community-of-interest in the previous redistricting process.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>KAC is a member of the Koreatown Redistricting Task Force as well as a member of the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council, which is part of the AAPI & AMEMSA Redistricting Collaborative. We are in full support of MAP A that the People’s bloc recommends as it keeps Koreatown as well as other COI’s whole. Both KAC, and I personally, respectfully urge you to keep this community-of-interest together, as you have in the past.</p> <p>I hope this proves useful. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions you may have.</p> <p>Robert Kang</p>		
OPTION A-1	Sean Cazares	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Sepi Shyne	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Shekinah Deocares	Favor	<p>Residents of these communities as well as Filipino community members across Los Angeles want to keep historic neighborhoods like Historic Filipinotown, Thai Town, Ktown, Little, Tokyo, and Chinatown unified in one district. This is critical now more than ever. For the last two years, community organizations in these neighborhoods have led in providing in-language and culturally appropriate COVID-19 vaccine appointments and awareness, voter education, and programs to protect our Asian American communities against rising anti-asian hate.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Sonali Pustam	Favor	<p>My name is Sonali Pustam and I work for the Asian Pacific Policy & Planning Council (A3PCON). A3PCON is a coalition of over forty community-based organizations serving and representing the 1.5 million Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPIs) who make up 15 percent of Los Angeles County. Our members include groups like Little Tokyo Service Center, Thai Community Development Center, Asian Youth Center, Koreatown Youth and Community Center, and Korean American Coalition.</p> <p>A3PCON, along with some of its members, is also a member of the People's Bloc. The Bloc is a multiracial table dedicated to the inclusion of everyday</p>	11/11/2021	n/a

			<p>residents in the redistricting process and advocates for equitable representation for communities of color.</p> <p>I urge the commission to adopt this map as it Keeps AAPI Communities whole. These communities want to be paired with other AAPI communities and communities of color with whom we have shared interests and concerns such as the Eastside communities.</p>		
OPTION A-1	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	Vileana L DE La Rosa	Other	<p>Not in favor of drawing out the 40th congressional district. Please re-do these maps and maintain the 40th congressional district which represents largely Latinx voters. Taking out the 40th district is a blow to the needs of the Latino communities who deal with lack of representation and inequities in health and political representation. I urge you to please reconsider the maps as they are drawn and to stand by the communities who are being pushed out of representation in a ploy by the Republican Party. The 40th district is blue and we deserve to have our representation maintained.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	William Kay	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION A-1	yvonne Figueroa	Favor	<p>My name is Yvonne Figueroa and I am a lead organizer with LA Voice. LA Voice is a multi-racial, multi-faith community organization that awakens people to their own power, training them to speak, act, and work together to transform our County into one that reflects the dignity of all people.</p> <p>I organize with congregations in Inglewood, South Bay, Hollywood, and the Westside. Together we have advocated for affordable housing and tenant protection. We have also connected community members to critical resources.</p> <p>We are a predominantly working class community, have been greatly</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>impacted by COVID, have shared issues/interest: such as high rent burden, lack quality and affordable food options, and green spaces. You can look at the Equity Indicators healthycity.org/g/equityindicators/</p> <p>I urge the commission to adopt this map as it maintains Black District- South LA has historically been a place of residence for the black community and has experienced a constant erasure through the process of gentrification, economic disinvestments and racist policies. Keeping South LA whole and keeping them in a district with other neighborhoods that have similar characteristics and shared interest will allow for the black community to elect someone that will represent them.</p>		
OPTION A-1	Zachary Hoover	Favor	-	11/17/2021	View attachment
OPTION A-1	Maria Brenes	Favor	<p>Dear Honorable Los Angeles County Redistricting Commissioners,</p> <p>On behalf of the People's Bloc, we thank you for your service to this important effort that will determine LA County Supervisorial boundaries for the next 10 years. We truly appreciate your commitment to a deliberate process and analysis of data. This historic moment presents an opportunity to advance equitable representation for LA County residents. We are grateful for your thoughtful and intentional leadership. The purpose of the attached letter is to further uplift the strengths and opportunities presented by MAP A-1. The letter also includes an initial response to MAPS E & F. We urge the Commission to continue considering MAP A-1 for the reasons outlined in the attached letter.</p> <p>Thank you in advance for your review of the People's Bloc letter.</p>	11/17/2021	View attachment
OPTION A-1	Eunice Hur Song	Favor	<p>COI: Koreatown</p> <p>My name is Eunice Song, and I am the Executive Director of the Korean American Coalition (KAC) in Los Angeles, a 501(c)3, non-profit organization in</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>the heart of Koreatown that has advocated for the civic and civil rights interests of the Korean American Community since 1983.</p> <p>KAC is a member of the Koreatown Redistricting Task Force as well as a member of the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council, which is part of the AAPI & AMEMSA Redistricting Collaborative, and we are in full support of MAP A that the People’s bloc recommends as it keeps Koreatown as well as other COI’s whole.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Eunice Hur Song, Esq. Executive Director Korean American Coalition</p>		
OPTION A-1	An Le	Favor	<p>Please find the attached written comment in support of Map A-1, also referred to as the People's Bloc map.</p> <p>If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at the contact information below.</p> <p>Thank you, An</p>	11/17/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-1	Ana M Godoy	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Ann O’Connor	Favor	<p>Map B-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map B-1 as the second most acceptable (second choice) because it includes most major parts of the San Fernando Valley.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Annemarie N Donkin	Favor	<p>I favor Map B-1 for the Third District which most closely aligns with the current COI, i.e. SMM mountain communities and the Valley communities.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			This map is the most logical and practical option. We must protect the vulnerable mountain communities, as the office of the Supervisor is the only local government for the unincorporated Santa Monica Mountains. Please, please leave the Third District intact!		
OPTION B-1	Anthony Liberatore	Favor	Map B-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map B-1 as the second most acceptable (second choice) because it includes most major part of the San Fernando Valley.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Benen Weir	Favor	1.Topanga is a Mountain Community 2.Keeping all communities of interest together 3.Being part of a Community that has the Mountains as their first interest 4. Creates districts with geographic integrity	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Betty Breneman	Favor	Option B appears to be the least disruptive & best choice at this time. I don't understand the emphasis on race in this whole matter - that seems, itself, to be basically racist!	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Bob Anderson	Favor	Map B-1 is acceptable to SOHA because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks), whole in a single supervisor district. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, SOHA has ranked Map B-1 as the second most acceptable (second choice) because it effectively includes most major part of the San Fernando Valley.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Carmel F McFayden	Favor	I am in favor of keeping Woodland Hills in District 3 where it currently is. Moving it into District 5 makes no geographic sense.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Christine L Rowe	Other	The maps by Commissioner Stecher and Commissioner Kenney keep cutting off the northern part of the San Fernando Valley around the 118 Freeway. This is a COI area. Kim Lamorie's map shows that COI and those boundaries in District 3 - SFV and Las Virgenes COIs. Thank you.	11/15/2021	n/a

OPTION B-1	Daniela Hernandez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Elektra Kruger	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Esthefanie Solano	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Gabriela Hernandez-Gonzalez	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Henry Fung	Favor	Option B is a map worthy of future consideration, and is probably the least race-conscious out of the six maps.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Jane Wishon	Oppose	-	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Jason Pope	Favor	Map B-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map B-1 as the second most acceptable (second choice) because it includes most major part of the San Fernando Valley.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Jessica Y Panduro	Oppose	This map not does it only pack latinos into two districts but it also creates a second latino seat at the expense of our black voices. Three leading white cvap districts it is not representative of our communities.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Jim Hoffman	Favor	This map outlines the proposed districts in a logical, localized formation. The districts represent the social and economic characteristic of the the communities. The defined areas have a fairly contiguous outline along more geographic borders. The neighbor across the street will less likely be in a different district. The healthy stewardship of the Santa Monica Mountains has to be kept within District 3 as a whole.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	John Moreno	Oppose	Map Option B-1 – OPPOSE. This option creates disparity and delineates the City of Paramount from the neighboring cities with whom we share many of the same interests and concerns. This Map Option would threaten the safety of our community by changing our Sheriff’s Department Service Station (Lakewood), negatively impact our County service delivery, and misalign	11/15/2021	n/a

			regional governing priorities. Relationships have been developed and trust has been built with officials in these cities. To break us off from these governmental relationships and working partnerships would fracture our		
OPTION B-1	JOSE CORNEJO	Other	Please add the LA City Neighborhoods of Porter Ranch, Granada Hills, and Sylmar into district 3. We are part of the San Fernando Valley and the City of LA. You can balance the population and keep the district continuous by placing the independent cities of Santa Monica, Beverly Hills and West Hollywood into district 4	11/12/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-1	Joseph R Rosendo	Favor	Thank you for your work over the past year. You have heard a lot of testimony from the People's Bloc. You need to understand, in a year of meetings they received zero, zero testimony from the San Fernando Valley and SMM communities. Almost 1/5 of LA County, completely ignored. They received extensive participation from District 1 and 2 communities, and you should listen to and adopt the recommendations from those communities. But please, don't make the mistake of thinking their community input included all of LA County. We urge you to preserve the communities of interest in East and South LA identified by the People's Bloc but listen to us when we say that our communities were not included in that process. This commission has a baseline responsibility to make a map at least as good as the one it replaces. This map is a major step backward for many communities in LA County.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Joseph R Rosendo	Favor	This is the map favored by the Topanga Chamber of Commerce and our member businesses. It keeps the communities of the Santa Monica Mountains together and addresses our common needs while also addressing the needs of other communities. The best choice by far.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Josselyn A Perez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Kim Lamorie	Favor	Strongly in favor of B-1 with suggested modifications to Map 043. See Federation letter attached.	11/14/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-1	Lester C Kau	Favor	None of the maps keep the entire San Gabriel Valley in one district, but at least B-1 keeps the foothill cities together. All the other maps are terrible. They break up the Foothill cities into different districts.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Matt Craven	Favor	Please Woodland Hills intact! As a community we are different than other parts of the Valley due to our Hotel and Business areas.	11/17/2021	n/a

OPTION B-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	Map B-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map B-1 as the second most acceptable (second choice) because it includes most major part of the San Fernando Valley.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Nancy Rothenberg	Favor	-	11/15/2021	View attachment
OPTION B-1	Sean Cazares	Oppose	Horrible map.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	staci jackson	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Susan Stearns	Favor	Keep Woodland Hills whole!!	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Vileana L DE La Rosa	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	William Alford	Favor	<p>This option Keeps all communities together. Topanga is a mountain community and we share similar interests and challenges as a other neighboring mountain communities.</p> <p>By keeping us together, we can focus on common goals and obstacles. Easier lines of communication in times of emergency. Climate Change and wild fire is at the top of the list.</p> <p>This option also creates districts with better geographic integrity.</p> <p>So many times in catastrophic events we hear that if agencies worked better together things may have been different. We need to plan ahead and keep similar communities together to streamline so many things. Efficiency.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

OPTION B-1	William Alford	Favor	<p>This option Keeps all communities together. Topanga is a mountain community and we share similar interests and challenges as a other neighboring mountain communities. By keeping us together, we can focus on common goals and obstacles. Easier lines of communication in times of emergency. Climate Change and wild fire is at the top of the list. This option also creates districts with better geographic integrity. So many times in catastrophic events we hear that if agencies worked better together things may have been different. We need to plan ahead and keep similar communities together to streamline so many things. Efficiency.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION B-1	Mollie Helfand	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p> <p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people. • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. 	11/14/2021	n/a

			<p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland boundary expansion.</p> <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely, Mollie Helfand Unincorporated LA county</p>		
OPTION B-1	Susan Fredericks-Ploussard	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p>	11/14/2021	n/a

			<p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people. • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland boundary expansion.</p> <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Dr Susan Fredericks MPH</p>		
OPTION B-1	Cynthia Maxwell	Favor	Dear Commissioners:	11/14/2021	n/a

		<p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p> <p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people. • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland boundary expansion.</p> <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Cynthia Maxwell Cold Creek</p> <p>Feinstein, Padilla Introduce Senate Bill to Protect Rim of the Valley Corridor</p> <p>May 20 2021</p> <p>Washington—Senators Dianne Feinstein and Alex Padilla (both D-Calif.) today introduced the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act, a bill to add more than 191,000 acres of the Rim of the Valley Corridor to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Companion legislation introduced by Representative Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) was passed by the House of Representatives in February.</p> <p>“Southern Californians deserve access to more natural places,” said Senator Feinstein. “Los Angeles County is one of the most park-poor counties in the state. Our bill would help fix that by protecting portions of the Rim of the Valley Corridor, connecting more people to the trails and vast open spaces in the foothills of the surrounding mountains. It would boost the local economy, protect sensitive habitat for wildlife and allow more people to experience the unspoiled beauty of the region.”</p> <p>“The science is clear: to solve the climate crisis, we must protect our natural spaces. Conserving the Rim of the Valley Corridor is critical to helping California and the nation meet our goal of conserving 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030. I’m proud to join Senator Feinstein in introducing the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act to help protect this area’s natural resources and diverse wildlife. Not only will this bill help us meet our climate</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>change goals, it will also improve access to the outdoors for some of the most park-poor communities in the country,” said Senator Padilla.</p> <p>“The Rim of the Valley is the critical bridge between our urban city centers, suburbs in the Los Angeles basin, and the spectacular wilderness that surrounds us. I am thrilled to work with Senator Feinstein in getting this piece of legislation passed, so we can protect these lands for generations to come,” said Representative Schiff. “Millions of residents in the Los Angeles region depend on open space in our communities, and the benefits of widely accessible green space have never been more apparent than during the past year. The Rim of the Valley Corridor is an area of breathtaking natural beauty, and we must preserve this pristine land for the sake of our environment, wildlife, and ecosystems, and for the benefit of LA residents, the millions each year who visit, and for generations to come.”</p>		
OPTION B-1	Georgia Goldfarb	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p> <p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area 	11/16/2021	n/a

			<p>residents. More parks for more people.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. <p>Contiguity of habitat and its representation will be enhanced. This will result in more supportive policies protective of wildland habitat. Monarch butterflies are threatened by human development and will benefit from greater protection of wildland habitat.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again. Georgia Goldfarb Malibu Monarch Project</p>		
OPTION B-1	Pat Henkel	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>We support Option B, with modification reflected in our Maps 043, which has been sent to you. It would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for people of our County.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

			<p>Thank you again,</p> <p>Sincerely yours, Pat Henkel President of Malibu Lakeside Community Association</p>		
OPTION B-1	Kieran Healy	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p> <p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people. • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

		<p>boundary expansion.</p> <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely, Kieran and Debra Healy</p> <p>Feinstein, Padilla Introduce Senate Bill to Protect Rim of the Valley Corridor</p> <p>May 20 2021</p> <p>Washington—Senators Dianne Feinstein and Alex Padilla (both D-Calif.) today introduced the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act, a bill to add more than 191,000 acres of the Rim of the Valley Corridor to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Companion legislation introduced by Representative Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) was passed by the House of Representatives in February.</p> <p>“Southern Californians deserve access to more natural places,” said Senator Feinstein. “Los Angeles County is one of the most park-poor counties in the state. Our bill would help fix that by protecting portions of the Rim of the Valley Corridor, connecting more people to the trails and vast open spaces in the foothills of the surrounding mountains. It would boost the local economy, protect sensitive habitat for wildlife and allow more people to experience the unspoiled beauty of the region.”</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>“The science is clear: to solve the climate crisis, we must protect our natural spaces. Conserving the Rim of the Valley Corridor is critical to helping California and the nation meet our goal of conserving 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030. I’m proud to join Senator Feinstein in introducing the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act to help protect this area’s natural resources and diverse wildlife. Not only will this bill help us meet our climate change goals, it will also improve access to the outdoors for some of the most park-poor communities in the country,” said Senator Padilla.</p> <p>“The Rim of the Valley is the critical bridge between our urban city centers, suburbs in the Los Angeles basin, and the spectacular wilderness that surrounds us. I am thrilled to work with Senator Feinstein in getting this piece of legislation passed, so we can protect these lands for generations to come,” said Representative Schiff. “Millions of residents in the Los Angeles region depend on open space in our communities, and the benefits of widely accessible green space have never been more apparent than during the past year. The Rim of the Valley Corridor is an area of breathtaking natural beauty, and we must preserve this pristine land for the sake of our environment, wildlife, and ecosystems, and for the benefit of LA residents, the millions each year who visit, and for generations to come.”</p>		
OPTION B-1	Debbie Larson	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p>	11/14/2021	n/a

		<p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people.• Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog.• Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history.• Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland boundary expansion.</p> <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely, Debbie Larson Board Member, Malibu Lakeside Community Association</p> <p>Feinstein, Padilla Introduce Senate Bill to Protect Rim of the Valley Corridor</p> <p>May 20 2021</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>Washington—Senators Dianne Feinstein and Alex Padilla (both D-Calif.) today introduced the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act, a bill to add more than 191,000 acres of the Rim of the Valley Corridor to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Companion legislation introduced by Representative Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) was passed by the House of Representatives in February.</p> <p>“Southern Californians deserve access to more natural places,” said Senator Feinstein. “Los Angeles County is one of the most park-poor counties in the state. Our bill would help fix that by protecting portions of the Rim of the Valley Corridor, connecting more people to the trails and vast open spaces in the foothills of the surrounding mountains. It would boost the local economy, protect sensitive habitat for wildlife and allow more people to experience the unspoiled beauty of the region.”</p> <p>“The science is clear: to solve the climate crisis, we must protect our natural spaces. Conserving the Rim of the Valley Corridor is critical to helping California and the nation meet our goal of conserving 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030. I’m proud to join Senator Feinstein in introducing the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act to help protect this area’s natural resources and diverse wildlife. Not only will this bill help us meet our climate change goals, it will also improve access to the outdoors for some of the most park-poor communities in the country,” said Senator Padilla.</p> <p>“The Rim of the Valley is the critical bridge between our urban city centers, suburbs in the Los Angeles basin, and the spectacular wilderness that surrounds us. I am thrilled to work with Senator Feinstein in getting this piece of legislation passed, so we can protect these lands for generations to come,” said Representative Schiff. “Millions of residents in the Los Angeles region depend on open space in our communities, and the benefits of widely accessible green space have never been more apparent than during the past year. The Rim of the Valley Corridor is an area of breathtaking natural beauty, and we must preserve this pristine land for the sake of our environment,</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			wildlife, and ecosystems, and for the benefit of LA residents, the millions each year who visit, and for generations to come.”		
OPTION B-1	Carrie Baltin	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p> <p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people. • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland boundary expansion.</p>	11/15/2021	n/a

		<p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely, Carrie Baltin VP MNVCA</p> <p>Feinstein, Padilla Introduce Senate Bill to Protect Rim of the Valley Corridor</p> <p>May 20 2021 Washington—Senators Dianne Feinstein and Alex Padilla (both D-Calif.) today introduced the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act, a bill to add more than 191,000 acres of the Rim of the Valley Corridor to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Companion legislation introduced by Representative Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) was passed by the House of Representatives in February.</p> <p>“Southern Californians deserve access to more natural places,” said Senator Feinstein. “Los Angeles County is one of the most park-poor counties in the state. Our bill would help fix that by protecting portions of the Rim of the Valley Corridor, connecting more people to the trails and vast open spaces in the foothills of the surrounding mountains. It would boost the local economy, protect sensitive habitat for wildlife and allow more people to experience the unspoiled beauty of the region.”</p> <p>“The science is clear: to solve the climate crisis, we must protect our natural</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>spaces. Conserving the Rim of the Valley Corridor is critical to helping California and the nation meet our goal of conserving 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030. I'm proud to join Senator Feinstein in introducing the Rim of the Valley Corridor Preservation Act to help protect this area's natural resources and diverse wildlife. Not only will this bill help us meet our climate change goals, it will also improve access to the outdoors for some of the most park-poor communities in the country," said Senator Padilla.</p> <p>"The Rim of the Valley is the critical bridge between our urban city centers, suburbs in the Los Angeles basin, and the spectacular wilderness that surrounds us. I am thrilled to work with Senator Feinstein in getting this piece of legislation passed, so we can protect these lands for generations to come," said Representative Schiff. "Millions of residents in the Los Angeles region depend on open space in our communities, and the benefits of widely accessible green space have never been more apparent than during the past year. The Rim of the Valley Corridor is an area of breathtaking natural beauty, and we must preserve this pristine land for the sake of our environment, wildlife, and ecosystems, and for the benefit of LA residents, the millions each year who visit, and for generations to come."</p>		
OPTION B-1	Leah Culberg	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for your work on these critically important maps. We support Option B, with modifications reflected in our Map 043.</p> <p>Our map 043 modifications, among other factors, include more geographically contiguous mountainous areas in the Rim of the Valley Corridor (ROTV) -- a 191,000 acre extension of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA) currently pending in the Senate. Connectivity is key as demonstrated by the Liberty Canyon Wildlife Crossing -- and this map from the National Park Service gives a better indication of that mountain connectivity and the many advantages in including Rim of the Valley Corridor mountain communities.</p>	11/15/2021	n/a

			<p>Among its many mountain boundary benefits, expanding the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creates new outdoor recreation opportunities for more 17 million area residents. More parks for more people. • Preserves critical habitat for unique and threatened species, ranging from mountain lions to the California red-legged frog. • Protects cultural sites that allow the National Park Service to tell the story of the region’s nationally significant history. • Creates new partnerships with local governments and schools to connect youth with the outdoors. <p>We have included for your perusal, the latest press release from Senator Feinstein on the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area parkland boundary expansion.</p> <p>Map 043 is also the best map because it keeps the San Fernando Valley, San Gabriel Valley, Long Beach, and historically linked communities of East LA unified in distinct districts.</p> <p>Modifications to Option B that bring it more in line with Map 043 would be the best result for communities across Los Angeles County, it reflects the full diversity of our County, and will provide the strongest representation for the people of our County.</p> <p>Thank you again.</p> <p>Sincerely, Leah and Paul Culberg</p>		
OPTION C-1	Ana M Godoy	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a

OPTION C-1	Ann O'Connor	Favor	Map C-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map C-1 as the second least acceptable (third choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Annemarie N Donkin	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Anthony Liberatore	Favor	Map C-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map C-1 as the second least acceptable (third choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Bob Anderson	Favor	Map C-1 is acceptable to SOHA because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks), whole in a single supervisor district. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, SOHA has ranked Map C-1 as the second least acceptable (third choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Daniela Hernandez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Elektra Kruger	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Ernesto Castillo	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of Los Angeles County, I am writing in support of Map Option C. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an	11/16/2021	n/a

			opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in our County.		
OPTION C-1	Esthefanie Solano	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Fabian Pacheco Flores	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of Pacoima, I am writing in support of Map Option C. I personally volunteer my time for clean ups in the area and community outreach. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts and giving voters of color an opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in our County	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Henry Fung	Favor	I still like Option C-1 the best but feel that the rationale for a race-neutral adoption of this map may be questionable, based on the feedback given by the racial polarization attorney. I do appreciate the CRC taking the comments of the attorney in open session, something the State Redistricting Commission has not done.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Ivonne Correa	Favor	Buenas Tardes Comisionados, Mi nombre es Ivonne Correa y ??soy miembro de CHIRLA en el Sureste de Los Angeles. He vivido en Huntington Park desde 1977. Me gustaría agradecerles por su servicio a todos los residentes del condado de Los Angeles durante este proceso de redistribución de distritos. Hoy estoy llamando para apoyar la Opción C. He estado trabajando mucho con diferentes legisladores en mi ciudad. Recientemente, dimos un taller a la comunidad sobre violencia doméstica y este sábado entregaré pavos a los miembros de la comunidad. Quiero que mis comunidades en Bell, Bell Gardens, Huntington Park, Vernon, South Gate, Maywood permanezcan juntas. Al trabajar con comunidades en el este de Los Angeles y el sudeste de Los Angeles, puedo ver muchas similitudes entre esas comunidades. A mi no me parece mal que nos unamos con otras ciudades	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>que son similares en el Este de San Fernando Valle.</p> <p>El distrito 3 que yo apoyo le da la oportunidad a la comunidad Latina, afroamericana, asiática, inmigrante desde el sureste de Los Ángeles pasando por el Este de Los Ángeles hacia el este del Valle de San Fernando la oportunidad de estar representado en un Distrito de población en edad de votar de ciudadanos de mayoría latina. Al unir comunidades de clase trabajadora a lo largo de la autopista 5 estamos dándole una oportunidad de elegir un candidato que es parte de nuestra comunidad que entiende nuestras necesidades. Dado el crecimiento de la comunidad latina en el condado, merecemos representación adicional.</p> <p>La opción de mapa C es el único mapa que daría derecho al voto a millones de latinos que se encuentran actualmente en distritos donde no pueden elegir candidatos. Pido a los Comisarios que hagan historia adoptando este mapa.</p> <p>Muchas gracias por su tiempo.</p>		
OPTION C-1	Jane Wishon	Oppose	-	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Jason Pope	Favor	Map C-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map C-1 as the second least acceptable (third choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Jessica Y Panduro	Other	Eastside of Los Angeles including Lincoln Heights, El Sereno, City terrace, Boyle Heights and Unincorporated East Los Angeles want to remain in Supervisorial District 1. This configuration breaks a community that has work for over years to build and infrastructure that works for the hard working class of latinos.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Jesus Ramirez	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of South LA, I am writing in support of Map C for several reasons. Currently, I am working at LAX airport putting packages in planes to be delivered to their designated area and Map C keeps my work place and	11/16/2021	n/a

			other cities such as Inglewood and parks I frequently visit on a weekly basis in the same district where I live. I firmly believe that Map Option C is the only proposed draft that respects and empowers working class communities like Latinos and those color across the county. Considering the Latino and API growth over the last 10 years, the commission has the power to draw 2 Latino Majority CVAP Districts and at the same time give voters of color opportunity for a coalition District. I am requesting you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in the county.		
OPTION C-1	Jim Hoffman	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	John Mendoza	Favor	Public comments	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	John Mendoza	Favor	Public comments	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	John Moreno	Favor	Map Option C-1 – SUPPORT. This option keeps the City of Paramount aligned with its neighboring cities that share the same regional governance priorities through appropriate representation in the areas of planning urban development, service delivery, and public safety. Relationships have been developed and trust has been built with officials in these cities and keeping us together would allow us to continue the governmental relationships and working partnerships we have built with these communities. This Map Option would ensure the City of Paramount remains a part of the Lakewood Sheriff’s Station jurisdiction, minimizing any impact to our response times and keeping our community safe. We strongly believe that remaining in a district where the communities have shared interests is critical in serving our residents and business community.	11/15/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	JOSE CORNEJO	Oppose	Long Beach to Porter Ranch is ridiculous.	11/12/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Josselyn A Perez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Kevin W Cruz Amaya	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of Los Angeles I am writing in support of Map Option C. I am a current, first-generation, doctoral student at UCLA and would like to express	11/16/2021	n/a

			<p>my support for Map Option C. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county, which are similar to the ones I grew up in and deserve equitable political representation. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C.</p> <p>Thank you for your service to all people in our County.</p>		
OPTION C-1	Laura Ramirez	Favor	<p>Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of Los Angeles - West Athens I am writing in support of Map Option C. I am a teacher. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in our County.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Lester C Kau	Oppose	<p>It breaks up the Foothill cities into more than one district which makes it more difficult for the cities to work on issues that are a common concern.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Luis E Curiel	Favor	<p>Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of North Hollywood I am writing in support of Map Option C. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in our County.”</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Maria Jose Vides	Favor	<p>Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of South LA I am writing in support of Map Option C. I worked to lift the voices and advocate for immigrants across the county. I firmly believe that Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an</p>	11/16/2021	n/a

			opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in our County.		
OPTION C-1	Maria T Borden	Favor	<p>Dear Commissioners,</p> <p>My name is Maria Teresa Borden and I am a CHIRLA member.</p> <p>I have lived in Los Angeles' Mount Washington neighborhood for 11 years. I want to thank you for your service to LA County residents during this redistricting process. I want to express support for Option C because it is an opportunity to have a second district in the county that could comprise Latino and working-class folks. I am a Latina and I believe another Latino district in the county would more closely reflect the county's diversity.</p> <p>The Latino and working-class people I work with at CHIRLA should have more of a say in the county's political process, but right now, those who live in the East San Fernando Valley are lumped with coastal communities that have nothing in common with them from an income, work history, education or ethnicity perspective. Given the growth of the Latino community in the county, we deserve additional representation.</p> <p>Currently, the San Fernando Valley is in the same district with the West side and Malibu, communities that are richer and better-resourced—yet in practical terms, folks in the mid-Valley don't have any real access to those resources because their voice is diluted.</p> <p>Map Option C is the only map that would enfranchise thousands of Latinos who now can't choose a candidate that looks like them or comes from their community. The commissioners have a chance to make history—and more importantly, justice—with this map. I encourage you to adopt Option C.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.</p>	11/15/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Maru Galvan	Favor	<p>Hola me llamo Maru Galvan, y soy la organizadora del Valle de San Fernando con la Coalición de los Derechos Humanos al Inmigrante (CHIRLA). Yo también soy una residente de la ciudad de Van Nuys y he vivido en esta comunidad</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>por 21 años.</p> <p>Como una organizadora que ha trabajado con la comunidad inmigrante en todo el condado de Los Ángeles por 8 años, apoyo a la Opción C porque es la mejor para el pueblo. Este es el único mapa que respeta plenamente a todas las comunidades del condado de Los Ángeles. La opción C une a las comunidades que tienen mucho en común en lo que respecta al nivel socioeconómico, la cultura y el idioma.</p> <p>En lo local no hemos tenido un representante por muchos años que represente al pueblo.</p> <p>He visto que los negocios pequeños están perdiendo sus negocios, porque no han calificado para los préstamos de asistencia que se han dado por COVID. Los dueños de estos negocios son inmigrantes y muchas veces no saben donde conseguir la asistencia en su lenguaje y no tienen la tecnología necesaria para aplicar a procesos digitales que son extremadamente largos y complicados. También tenemos necesidad de control de renta, porque hemos visto que muchos renteros ya están mandando cartas con un aumento de rentas. Hay construcción de nuevos edificios pero sabemos que estos son muy caros para las comunidad inmigrantes del Este de San Fernando, hacia Pico Union, pasando por el Este de Los Ángeles hasta el Sureste de Los Ángeles donde viven muchos de nuestra comunidad inmigrante.</p> <p>Lo más importante es que la Opción C proporciona a la comunidad latina una representación justa. La opción C también atrae a un nuevo distrito de mayoría latina, BOS 3, en el este del valle de San Fernando a lo largo de la I-5 hasta Lynnwood. La Opción C es el único mapa que respeta plenamente a la comunidad latina al brindar la oportunidad inmediata de elegir un candidato de elección en BOS 3. Todos los demás mapas ponen a los grupos minoritarios unos contra otros.</p> <p>Gracias por la oportunidad de hablar esta noche.</p>		
OPTION C-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a

OPTION C-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	Map C-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we requested in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map C-1as the second least acceptable (third choice) because it extends too far south into the Marina del Rey area.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Michael Barth	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, As a resident of LA County, I am writing in support of Map Option C. I am a workers' rights attorney and have focused my career on advocating for underprivileged communities. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the county. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service to all people in our County	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Michael Menjivar	Favor	As a lifelong resident of the East San Fernando Valley, specifically the Sun Valley and North Hollywood areas, I am writing in support of Map Option C. Map Option C is the only proposed draft that enfranchises working class communities and communities of color across the County. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, this Commission can make history by drawing 2 Latino majority CVAP Districts, and giving voters of color an opportunity for a coalition District. I ask that you adopt Option C. Thank you for your service. to all people in our County.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Pedro A Trujillo Carrasco	Favor	Dear Commissioners, My name is Pedro Trujillo Carrasco and I'm a CHIRLA member. I have lived in East Los Angeles for 1 year and before that, I lived in Northeast Los Angeles (Lincoln Heights and Boyle Heights) for 22 years. I want to thank you for your service to LA County Residents during this redistricting process. I am calling in support of Option C because it would give the East San Fernando Valley an opportunity to be represented in a Latino majority citizen voting age population District by joining it with other working class Los Angeles	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>communities along the 5 freeway. Given the growth of the Latino community in the County, we deserve additional representation.</p> <p>Working with communities in East LA and Southeast LA, I can see many similarities between those communities. Over the past 15 years, I have spoken to residents and small business owners in these cities and we have similar cultural identity as well as similar concerns and needs. Many of the Latino voters I have spoken to are frustrated that their representatives are not prioritizing their city's needs. This frustration has led to two groups of people: 1) those who are losing faith and interest in the democratic process, and 2) those who see the importance for change in the way the districts are drawn.</p> <p>The San Fernando Valley is currently in a District with the West side and Malibu, communities with which they have little in common. Map Option C is the only map that would enfranchise millions of Latinos who are currently in Districts where they cannot elect candidates of choice. I ask that the Commissioners make history by adopting this map.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.</p>		
OPTION C-1	Reyna Cruz	Favor	<p>Buenas Tardes Comisionados, Mi nombre es Reyna Cruz y ??soy miembro de CHIRLA. He vivido en el Valle del Antílope en Palmdale durante 16 años. Hoy estoy aquí para apoyar la Opción C.</p> <p>En mi comunidad de Antelope Valley una de las necesidades que tenemos es que el transporte público está muy retirado, las paradas de bus nos dejan muy lejos de las tiendas y escuelas. También necesitamos más recursos públicos como acceso a salud médica y programas para personas de bajos recursos. Necesitamos representantes en la comunidad que le den prioridad a programas para los niños y familias, como deportes o grupos de padres. En mi comunidad de Palmdale, Lancaster y las áreas incorporadas del Antílope Valle como Lake LA viven muchos latinos y también morenos que son gente trabajadora. Una gran parte de los miembros de la comunidad trabajan en</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>Santa Clarita y Los Ángeles.</p> <p>Además yo apoyo la Opción C porque “BOS 5” es un distrito en que la población minoritaria que puede votar como la comunidad Asiática en el oeste y sureste del valle de San Gabriel en el condado se mantienen juntas. En particular, la Opción C “BOS 5” no enfrenta a los grupos minoritarios entre sí en futuras contiendas electorales, sino que les brinda la oportunidad de construir una coalición a favor de un candidato que es de nuestra comunidad. Gracias por su servicio y compromiso para mejorar las voces de todos los residentes del Condado de Los Ángeles y defender el proceso de redistribución de distritos liderado por ciudadanos de nuestro condado.</p>		
OPTION C-1	Samuel Lawrence	Favor	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Sean Cazares	Oppose	Racist map.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Shekinah Deocares	Oppose	I oppose Map C because it currently splits our AAPI neighborhoods apart effectively silencing LA’s historic AAPI communities and taking away much-needed resources from our community’s businesses, families, and children.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Veronica De Lara	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission, as a LA County resident living in Granada Hills, I am writing in support of Map Option C. Given the growth of the Latino and API communities over the last decade, Map Option C makes the most sense. Thank you	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	Viana Parra	Favor	Dear LA County Redistricting Commission. As a public servant who deals first hand with the growing diverse communities of Los Angeles, it is clear that these communities are best served by Option C. By enfranchising working class communities, option C promotes equitable representation for LA County residents who need it the most. It is abundantly clear that these communities will continue to grow, so I ask that you adopt Option C to best give voters of color an opportunity to have a voice and most importantly representation that best serves their unique needs.	11/16/2021	n/a

OPTION C-1	Vileana L DE La Rosa	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION C-1	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Ana M Godoy	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Ann O'Connor	Favor	Map D-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we described in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map D-1 as the most acceptable (first choice) because it effectively includes most major parts of the San Fernando Valley in the best pattern possible.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Annemarie N Donkin	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Anthony Liberatore	Favor	However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map D-1 as the most acceptable (first choice) because it effectively includes most major parts of the San Fernando Valley in the best pattern possible.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Bob Anderson	Favor	Map D-1 is acceptable to SOHA because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks), whole in a single supervisor district. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, SOHA has ranked Map D-1 as the most acceptable (first choice) because it effectively includes most major part of the San Fernando Valley in the best pattern possible.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Celeste Wolfe	Favor	Maps A and C could work if they adjust the boundaries to keep the WNC whole and also my neighborhood's traditional boundaries intact, keeping our entire western boundary contiguous. No carve-out on the southwest corner of Beverly Glen and Olympic.	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Christina S Spitz	Favor	-	11/16/2021	View attachment

OPTION D-1	Daniela Hernandez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Elektra Kruger	Favor	Option D-1 keeps all of Shadow Hills and Stonehurst in District 5. Shadow Hills and Stonehurst are both historically equestrian based communities and need to share the support of a single County Supervisory representative. Thank you	11/15/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Elektra Kruger	Favor	Option D-1 retains Stonehurst together with Shadow Hills in District 5. Stonehurst has historically been an equestrian community as is Shadow Hills and both need to share the representation of a single County Supervisory District. Currently that County Supervisory District is District 5.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Esthefanie Solano	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Henry Fung	Oppose	I agree with commissioner Stecher that this map should be removed from future consideration.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Jane Wishon	Favor	-	11/11/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Jason Pope	Favor	Map D-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we described in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map D-1 as the most acceptable (first choice) because it effectively includes most major parts of the San Fernando Valley in the best pattern possible.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Jim Hoffman	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	John Moreno	Favor	Map Option D-1 – SUPPORT. This option keeps the City of Paramount aligned with its neighboring cities that share the same regional governance priorities through appropriate representation in the areas of planning urban development, service delivery, and public safety. Relationships have been developed and trust has been built with officials in these cities and keeping us together would allow us to continue the governmental relationships and working partnerships we have built with these communities. This Map Option would ensure the City of Paramount remains a part of the Lakewood Sheriff's Station jurisdiction, minimizing any impact to our response times and keeping	11/15/2021	n/a

			our community safe. We strongly believe that remaining in a district where the communities have shared interests is critical in serving our residents and business community.		
OPTION D-1	JOSE CORNEJO	Other	Please keep ALL of the San Fernando Valley in one district	11/12/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Josselyn A Perez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Lester C Kau	Oppose	It breaks up the Foothill cities into more than one district which makes it more difficult for the cities to work on issues that are a common concern.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Melinda B Liberatore	Favor	Map D-1 is acceptable to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks) because it keeps the entire Sherman Oaks community, including POSO, whole in a single supervisor district as we described in our certified letter and testimony to the Commission. However, of Maps A-1, B-1, C-1, and D-1, POSO has ranked Map D-1 as the most acceptable (first choice) because it effectively includes most major parts of the San Fernando Valley in the best pattern possible.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Sean Cazares	Favor	Great map for the Foothill Communities.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	staci jackson	Favor	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	Stacy Sledge-Baldino	Favor	-	11/17/2021	View attachment
OPTION D-1	Susan P Nissman	Favor	Community of Interest: Historical Alliance promoting Traffic Safety and Coordinated Roadway Management on PCH(SR1) between Santa Monica/McClure Tunnel north to VCO line: Pacific Coast Highway/State Route 1 PCH TASK FORCE The PCH Task Force is an active coalition comprised of resident and bicyclist	11/15/2021	n/a

		<p>representatives, law enforcement, city and traffic engineers, Caltrans, and local and state elected officials. The Task Force jurisdiction stretches from the McClure Tunnel in Santa Monica to the Ventura County line and includes the cities of Santa Monica, Los Angeles, Malibu and unincorporated Los Angeles County. Grant funding for Safety Corridor projects are augmented by funding provided by the California Office of Traffic Safety, through the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.</p> <p>The PCH Task Force was convened over twenty-five years ago by then Assemblymember Sheilas Kuehl with the goal of bringing state and local jurisdictions together to improve safety along the highway with a multi-pronged approach that includes increased education, enforcement, and necessary roadway improvements. The four law enforcement agencies responsible for patrolling the PCH Taskforce Safety Corridor - California Highway Patrol, Los Angeles County Sheriff's Malibu-Lost Hills Station, Los Angeles Police Department West LA, and Santa Monica Police Department - work collaboratively to improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and drivers on this Safety Corridor. "Be Safe on PCH" is the posted message along the Safety Corridor.</p> <p>Working in coalition, the Task Force addresses unsafe speed, unsafe pedestrian crossings, education on bicycle laws, distracted driving and incidents of DUI in order to reduce the number of injuries and deaths to motorists, bicyclists and pedestrians along the corridor. Caltrans Division 7 is the lead State agency for PCH/State Route 1.</p> <p>This historical alliance also supports coordinated/unified disaster planning and response, alerts, messaging and up-to-date information for the traveling public regarding road closures, evacuation routes, etc.</p> <p>It is critical that the PCH Task Force stay whole and in one Supervisorial District as an active historical alliance, both geographically defined and jurisdictionally coordinated by the impacted communities: for not only the thousands of daily commuters crossing the 3 mountain connectors (Kanan,</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

			Malibu Canyon Road, Topanga Canyon Blvd/SR27), feeding this identified PCH Safety Corridor to get to the Westside (schools and jobs), but also for the millions of travelers from all over Greater Los Angeles recreating at county and state public beaches, and in the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area above these beaches.		
OPTION D-1	Tim M Sandoval	Favor	-	11/17/2021	View attachment
OPTION D-1	Vileana L DE La Rosa	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION D-1	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Ana M Godoy	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Ann O'Connor	Oppose	New Map E is COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE. First, it does not keep the entire Sherman Oaks community whole in a single supervisor district, which is POSO's (Part of Sherman Oaks) basic goal and was communicated to the Commission by certified letter from POSO and our testimony, as well as through multiple people and organizations, including our Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association. We cannot understand why the Commission would remove a section of POSO between the 405 freeway, Oxnard St, Noble Av, and Burbank Blvd, and place it in another district. Please do not do this, we want to be kept whole with Sherman Oaks! The 2011 Los Angeles City Redistricting Commission made a "de facto" policy decision to keep POSO united with Sherman Oaks based on its Los Angeles Renaming Policy. Second, the district with most of Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Arcadia and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. It splits the San Fernando Valley in half. This concept makes no sense and should be deleted. OPTION E OPPOSE	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Annemarie N Donkin	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Anthony Liberatore	Oppose	New Map E is COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE. First, it does not keep the entire Sherman Oaks community whole in a single supervisor district, which is POSO's (Part of Sherman Oaks) basic goal and was communicated to the	11/17/2021	n/a

			<p>Commission by certified letter from POSO and our testimony, as well as through multiple people and organizations, including our Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association. We cannot understand why the Commission would remove a section of POSO between the 405 freeway, Oxnard St, Noble Av, and Burbank Blvd, and place it in another district. Please do not do this, we want to be kept whole with Sherman Oaks! The 2011 Los Angeles City Redistricting Commission made a “de facto” policy decision to keep POSO united with Sherman Oaks based on its Los Angeles Renaming Policy. Second, the district with most of Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Arcadia and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. It splits the San Fernando Valley in half. This concept makes no sense and should be deleted.</p> <p>OPTION E OPPOSE</p>		
OPTION E	Bob Anderson	Oppose	<p>New Map E is COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE. First, it does not keep the entire Sherman Oaks community whole in a single supervisor district, which is SOHA's basic goal and was communicated to the Commission in multiple recommendations through multiple people and organizations. We cannot understand why the Commission would remove a section of POSO between the 405 freeway, Oxnard St, Sepulveda Blvd, and Burbank Blvd, and place it in another district. Please do not do this! Second, the district with most of Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Arcadia and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. It splits the San Fernando Valley in half. This is a ridiculous district concept and should be terminated immediately.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Elektra Kruger	Favor	<p>Option E retains Stonehurst together with Shadow Hills in District 5. Stonehurst has historically been an equestrian community as is Shadow Hills and both need to share the representation of a single County Supervisory District. Currently that County Supervisory District is District 5 which also has within its boundaries most equestrian community areas.</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Erik Griswold	Favor	<p>I favor Option E as it does not place my community in a district that is only linked to the rest of the territory through impassible mountains. Please keep the San Gabriel and Pomona Valleys together with the same Supervisor as it is</p>	11/17/2021	n/a

			already hard enough having to deal with inter-county issues every time we sneeze.		
OPTION E	Esthefanie Solano	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Henry Fung	Oppose	<p>I don't like how Option E splits the San Gabriel Valley in three pieces. However, that is the status quo and so it doesn't make things worse. The fatal flaw in my mind is splitting up the Asian community in three pieces - Walnut, Diamond Bar, and the Heights are in one district, the core Asian plurality/majority cities along the I-10 corridor are in another one, while Arcadia and San Marino are another. This seems like too much cracking of the SGV. Perhaps Arcadia and Monrovia could be split at the 210 as that seems to be where the affluent, Whiter areas are (north of the 210). San Marino is extremely affluent but also majority Asian.</p> <p>I do like how Map E cleaves the San Fernando Valley, though.</p>	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Jason Pope	Oppose	<p>New Map E is COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE. First, it does not keep the entire Sherman Oaks community whole in a single supervisor district, which is POSO's (Part of Sherman Oaks) basic goal and was communicated to the Commission by certified letter from POSO and our testimony, as well as through multiple people and organizations, including our Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association. We cannot understand why the Commission would remove a section of POSO between the 405 freeway, Oxnard St, Noble Av, and Burbank Blvd, and place it in another district. Please do not do this, we want to be kept whole with Sherman Oaks! The 2011 Los Angeles City Redistricting Commission made a "de facto" policy decision to keep POSO united with Sherman Oaks based on its Los Angeles Renaming Policy. Second, the district with most of Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Arcadia and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. It splits the San Fernando Valley in half. This concept makes no sense and should be deleted. OPTION E OPPOSE</p>	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Jessica Y Panduro	Oppose	Map E breaks South East LA and from community input they want to remain whole in one district. It also lowers the Black CVAP in District 2	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Jim Hoffman	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a

OPTION E	Joseph Roth	Oppose	I am a board member of the Westside Neighborhood Council (WNC) and of the Westwood South of Santa Monica Blvd HOA (WSSM), I oppose Option E because it splits the WNC and affiliated HOAs between two districts and does not group all of us with our natural and historic neighbors to the north in Westwood. HOWEVER, IF THOSE BORDERS WERE TWEAKED AND MOVED TO THE SOUTH TO ADDRESS THIS CONCERN, THEN THIS MAP COULD BE ACCEPTABLE.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Josselyn A Perez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Lester C Kau	Oppose	It breaks up the Foothill cities into more than one district which makes it more difficult for the cities to work on issues that are a common concern.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Melinda B Liberatore	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Melinda B Liberatore	Oppose	New Map E is COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE. First, it does not keep the entire Sherman Oaks community whole in a single supervisor district, which is POSO's (Part of Sherman Oaks) basic goal and was communicated to the Commission by certified letter from POSO and our testimony, as well as through multiple people and organizations, including our Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association. We cannot understand why the Commission would remove a section of POSO between the 405 freeway, Oxnard St, Noble Av, and Burbank Blvd, and place it in another district. Please do not do this, we want to be kept whole with Sherman Oaks! The 2011 Los Angeles City Redistricting Commission made a "de facto" policy decision to keep POSO united with Sherman Oaks based on its Los Angeles Renaming Policy. Second, the district with most of Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Arcadia and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. It splits the San Fernando Valley in half. This concept makes no sense and should be deleted. OPTION E OPPOSE	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Sean Cazares	Oppose	Map places the Foothill Communities with other communities of interest we have nothing in common with.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	--=	11/16/2021	n/a

OPTION E	Terri Tippit	Oppose	The WNC's specific comments are that, in Map "E", the three WNC communities east of Overland Ave. and south of Pico Blvd. are separated from the rest of the WNC and assigned to a separate district. TO CORRECT THIS PROBLEM -- AND TO MAKE THE MAP ACCEPTABLE -- the eastern boundary line should be Castle Heights Avenue instead of Overland Avenue and the southern boundary line be the I-10 Freeway (except for the above-described minor adjustment to National Blvd. between Sepulveda Blvd. and Overland Avenue). Please see attached letter for detailed explanation.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	Vileana L DE La Rosa	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION E	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION E	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Abbe S Land	Favor	I would like to indicate my support for map F. Map F consolidates the costal cities, keeps cities such as West Hollywood and Beverly Hills intact, provides a strong Latino voting base, an African American influencer seat and will give voice to our community to address long standing issues of economic justice, equality and equity. Thank you for your consideration.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Ana M Godoy	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Ann O'Connor	Oppose	New Map F is UNACCEPTABLE to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks). The district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Burbank and south to Rancho Palos Verdes. It also does not include major portions of the San Fernando Valley -- which is our real Community of Interest. OPTION F OPPOSE	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Annemarie N Donkin	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Anthony Liberatore	Oppose	New Map F is UNACCEPTABLE to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks). The district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Burbank and south to Rancho Palos Verdes. It also does not include major portions of the San Fernando Valley -- which is our real Community of Interest. OPTION F OPPOSE	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	ariel pe	Favor	I write in support of Map F for adoption as it groups our city with other cities that share a community of interest. Our city is most similar to communities like Cerritos, Norwalk, Downey, Paramount, Bellflower, Artesia, La Mirada,	11/17/2021	n/a

			Whittier, South Gate, Lynwood, Huntington Park and Hawaiian Gardens, with whom local economic and transportation interests, and common fire and law enforcement agencies. The area has similar household median income; similar type of housing data and the area is very diverse as evidenced by the high diversity index. Additionally, most of these cities already work together on regional issues such as homelessness, public safety, and economic development, to name a few. Keeping these communities together would meet a preponderance of the CRC's criteria for a community of interest. Thank you.		
OPTION F	Barry Waite	Favor	This map clearly shows the progress the commission has made in revising previous maps. Each district maintains a reasonable degree of commonality and is more compact than competing plans. For our city of Lomita, it keeps us with cities we work with on many projects and issues. Thank you so much for your hard work in arriving at this map! It is definitely the most logical we have seen.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Bob Anderson	Oppose	New Map F is UNACCEPTABLE. The district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Burbank and south the Rancho Palos Verdes. It also does not include major portions of the San Fernando Valley -- a large, but real, Community of Interest.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Carla Torres Montero	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Elektra Kruger	Other	In favor if amended to have the western boundary moved further to the west to Glenoaks Bl as opposed to the currently proposed western boundary of Stonehurst Ave.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Erik Griswold	Favor	If Option E is not adopted then at least use Option F so that Claremont is not all alone in the "Hic Sunt Dracones" (Latin for "There be Dragons") of Eastern Los Angeles County south of the mountains.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Esthefanie Solano	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Henry Fung	Favor	By way of background, although I don't represent a community organization I have been actively involved in transportation and civil engineering advocacy for over 20 years. For identification purposes only, I currently am the chair of the LA Metro Community Advisory Council, an advisory body representing the interests of Metro bus and rail users and taxpayers. By way of disclosure I am	11/16/2021	n/a

			<p>an appointee of Supervisor Hilda Solis, although I have never spoken to her directly nor have I ever spoken or communicated to her staff regarding any redistricting topics. I have visited all 86 non-gated cities in LA County (two, Hidden Hills and Rolling Hills, are completely gated and have no businesses or homes outside the gates) and through work, social engagements, and personal interests, am familiar with a great majority of the cities in Los Angeles County.</p> <p>I would strongly urge commissioners to superimpose this map next to Option A and note the changes. I tried to be very thoughtful and deliberate about including or excluding cities and neighborhoods on the map. By virtue of a 2 million person district there will be diversity in residents and interests. However, the goal should be to not split communities of interest, although by necessity they may be grouped up with people with disparate interests. For example, the People's Bloc SD 3 and my SD 3 combine the Latino population of the Central San Fernando Valley with the rich coastal communities of the Palos Verdes Peninsula. But neither map splits up Central SFV. Similarly, rather than splitting the rich people in Cheviot Hills with the Hollywood Hills nearby, I grouped them together, even though there are other parts of SD 2 in Culver City and Ladera Heights which are wealthy. If grouping economic interests is more important, Option E may be better.</p>		
OPTION F	Jason Pope	Oppose	New Map F is UNACCEPTABLE to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks). The district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Burbank and south to Rancho Palos Verdes. It also does not include major portions of the San Fernando Valley -- which is our real Community of Interest. OPTION F OPPOSE	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Jessica Y Panduro	Oppose	Removing watts from district 2 is critical when it comes to keeping communities of interest together. Watts was disfranchised a few redistricting process ago. Maintain Watts in District 2. This map also impacts the black cvap in district 2. Which it is the only district that gives our black community a fighting chance to elect a representative that uplifts their needs.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Jim Hoffman	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	JOHN DAMICO	Favor	I believe this map is the best version-providing a complete and thorough understanding of the county and the need for representation.	11/17/2021	n/a

OPTION F	Joseph Roth	Oppose	I am a board member of the Westside Neighborhood Council (WNC) and of the Westwood South of Santa Monica Blvd HOA (WSSM), I oppose Option F as splits our stakeholders and homeowners associations and reaches up randomLY to grab part of the 405 corridor into Westwood, ignoring the longstanding interconnectivity of Westside arteries and neighborhoods.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Josselyn A Perez	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Juan Garza	Favor	I support the selection of Map F as it's one of the most viable options that continues to best (1) balance the identity and unique community needs of our vast diverse region and (2) maintains our long-standing communities of interest in the Southeast together. Thank you for your time and consideration of this request.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Lester C Kau	Oppose	It breaks up the Foothill cities into more than one district which makes it more difficult for the cities to work on issues that are a common concern.	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Melinda B Liberatore	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Melinda B Liberatore	Oppose	New Map F is UNACCEPTABLE to POSO (Part of Sherman Oaks). The district with Sherman Oaks extends from Malibu east to Burbank and south to Rancho Palos Verdes. It also does not include major portions of the San Fernando Valley -- which is our real Community of Interest. OPTION F OPPOSE	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Monica Manalo	Favor	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Sean Cazares	Favor	This map is an improvement from Map B, thank you, we support this 100% along with Maps A and D.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Sriram Varadharajan	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Terri Tippit	Oppose	The WNC's specific comments are that the north-south division of the entire Westside with Overland Ave. as a boundary line is unacceptable. The entire community has always utilized the I-405 freeway as a north-south boundary line, and Map "F" should continue that approach, from Sunset Blvd. south to at least National Blvd. Please see attached letter for detailed explanation.	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	Victor Manalo	Favor	-	11/16/2021	View attachment

OPTION F	Vileana L DE La Rosa	Oppose	-	11/17/2021	n/a
OPTION F	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
OPTION F	William Alford	Oppose	-	11/16/2021	n/a
-	James R. Bozajian	-	-	11/16/2021	View attachment
-	Melissa Olen		<p>Honorable Commissioners,</p> <p>I am a resident of Calabasas. The area where I live is embedded in the Santa Monica Mountains and COG Cities COMMUNITY OF INTEREST (COI).</p> <p>Our COI is Unincorporated County and the COG cities of Agoura Hills, Calabasas, Hidden Hills, Malibu, Westlake Village and the Santa Monica Mountains.</p> <p>Our cohesive, longstanding COI should have the same single supervisorial district.</p> <p>The entire COI is located in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (VHFHSZ). Wildfire is our shared number one safety issue. The Woolsey fire was an unfortunate and jarring event that cemented our cities together in worry and concern over the reality of the escalating fire danger in our region. Climate change has created a unique set of problems that residents in this area must deal with, and we will be more effective if we can deal with these issues together as a group under one supervisor.</p> <p>The Unincorporated Santa Monica Mountains portion of this COI is divided into 2 inseparable, land-use policy areas that are intentionally aligned -- the Santa Monica</p>	11/13/2021	n/a

			<p>Mountains Local Coastal Program (LCP) and the adjacent North Area Plan (NAP). These two-governing land-use policy documents are administered, implemented, and enforced by the L.A. County Department of Regional Planning and. They are contiguous and adjoined to the five COG cities, forming our compact COI.</p> <p>We are an inextricable part of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (SMMNRA), one of only five Mediterranean ecosystems in the world. It preserves the rich biological diversity of more than 450 animal species and 26 distinct plant communities.</p> <p>I respectfully ask the Commission to keep our entire COI as one unique Community of Interest in a single Supervisorial District. Thank you.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Melissa Olen Calabasas, CA</p>		
-	Paula Devine/ Terri Williams	-	-	11/16/2021	View attachment
-	Michael Bruckner	-	<p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>Please see the attached letter and resolution from the Arcadia City Council to be submitted as public comment to the Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission for the November 17, 2021 Special Meeting.</p> <p>On behalf of the City of Arcadia, thank you for allowing us to participate in this process.</p>	11/17/2021	View attachment View attachment

			Thanks, Mike		
-	Becky Shevlin/ Brianne Logasa	-	Attachment on behalf of San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	11/18/2021	View attachment