

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (LA County CRC) CRC MINUTES FOR SPECIAL MEETING: Thursday, October 28, 2021, 6:30 pm

VIDEO FILES FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED AT: CLICK HERE

Agenda

AGENDA ITEM 1: CALL TO ORDER

Thai V. Le, Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission (LA County CRC) Clerk, called the meeting to order at 6:33 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 2: ROLL CALL

<u>LA County CRC's Resolution No. 2021-01</u> enables the Commission to meet virtually in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e)(3) to extend the time during which the Commission may continue to teleconference its meeting without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953 because of COVID- 19 pandemic and health issues.

Thai V. Le took roll call. A quorum was present.

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Late; 7:00 pm	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

AGENDA ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF AGENDA – CO-CHAIR CAROLYN WILLIAMS

The agenda was accepted with no changes.





LOS ANGELES COUNTY **2021**

AGENDA ITEM 4: CONSENT ITEMS – CO-CHAIR DAN MAYEDA

Items listed under the consent calendar are considered by the Co-Chairs to be routine in nature and will be enacted by one motion unless a commissioner requests otherwise, in which case the item will be removed for separate consideration.

Public Comment: None

A motion was made, seconded, and passed to approve the October 20, 2021, Draft Minutes.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Mark Mendoza
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Doreena Wong
Outcome:	Approved

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Abstain	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Excused	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

AGENDA ITEM 5: ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

5a. Update and Discussion of Maps Submitted by the public and LA CRC Commissioners, Including Potentially Requesting Drafters of Certain Maps to Present at Future Meeting(s) and Hearing Presentations from Map Drafters Invited Earlier — Co-Chairs Dan Mayeda and Carolyn Williams

In total, 31 maps were submitted to the LA County CRC website at: CLICK HERE

Executive Director Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough presented a Scorecard framework that she worked on with ARCBridge. Priti Mathur of ARCBridge explained more of the metrics. The Commissioners asked questions of clarification. The scorecard displays the metrics for the maps received by 5:00 p.m., October 28, 2021.



			# Majority M	inority SDs			Splits		Community of Interest (COI) Model Splits							
Maps	Max Deviation	Polsby Popper- Compactness Score	Based on Total Population	Based on CVAP	# CS	SAs	•	ote: Can be sed later)	со	I A	СС)I B	СС	01 C		
Maximum	10%	# SDs >.20	10,047,926	6,315,311	348		99		27		27		27			
Comparison	Pass/Fail	Higher # is Better				Lowe	er # is Bett	er			Lower #	is Better				
Denominator		5	5	5	348		99		27		27		27			
	% Dev	# SDs	# SDs	# SDs	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Current SDs	4.36	3	2	1	25	7%	25	25%	13	48%	11	41%	13	48%		
						17										
1	8.92	2	3	3	60	%	37	37%	20	74%	16	59%	19	70%		
2	2.17	1	3	2	41	12 %	30	30%	17	63%	16	59%	19	70%		
3	1.13	2	2	1	22	6%	19	19%	14	52%	14	52%	14	52%		
4	1.55	3	2	1	24	7%	19	19%	14	52%	14	52%	14	52%		
5	0.76	2	2	1	23	7%	18	18%	16	59%	15	56%	16	59%		
						13										
6	5.42	1	3	3	44	%	23	23%	18	67%	13	48%	16	59%		
7	7.92	3	3	2	27	8%	10	10%	12	44%	11	41%	13	48%		
8	3.56	3	2	1	19	5%	13	13%	14	52%	13	48%	14	52%		



			# Majority M	inority SDs			Splits		Community of Interest (COI) Model Splits							
Maps	Max Deviation	Polsby Popper- Compactness Score	Based on Total Population	Based on CVAP	# CS	SAs		ite: Can be sed later)	со	I A	СС)I B	COI C			
Maximum	10%	# SDs >.20	10,047,926	6,315,311	348		99		27		27		27			
Comparison	Pass/Fail	Higher # is Better				Lowe	er # is Bett	er			Lower #	is Better				
Denominator		5	5	5	348		99		27		27		27			
	% Dev	# SDs	# SDs	# SDs	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
9	3.66	1	4	0	4	1%	20	20%	15	56%	11	41%	12	44%		
10	0.80	3	1	1	22	6%	18	18%	16	59%	16	59%	16	59%		
11	7.66	3	2	1	19	5%	22	22%	9	33%	9	33%	11	41%		
12	3.61	2	3	2	29	8%	12	12%	13	48%	12	44%	14	52%		
13	3.63	3	2	1	30	9%	21	21%	15	15%	15	56%	16	59%		
14	9.82	2	2	1	7	2%	17	17%	9	33%	4	15%	3	11%		
15	3.75	4	2	1	13	4%	14	14%	12	44%	11	41%	12	44%		
16	2.96	3	2	1	25	7%	23	23%	12	44%	11	41%	14	52%		
17	0.20	4	2	1	18	5%	15	15%	12	44%	14	52%	14	52%		
18	4.57	4	2	2	21	6%	19	19%	11	41%	10	37%	12	44%		
						10										
19	1.54	4	2	2	36	%	30	30%	19	70%	17	63%	19	70%		



			# Majority M	inority SDs			Splits		C	Communit	y of Intere	est (COI) N	1odel Split	:s
Maps	Max Deviation	Polsby Popper- Compactness Score	Based on Total Population	Based on CVAP	# CS	As	•	ite: Can be sed later)	СС	II A	СС	DI B	СС	01 C
Maximum	10%	# SDs >.20	10,047,926	6,315,311	348		99		27		27		27	
Comparison	Pass/Fail	Higher # is Better				Lowe	er # is Bette	er			Lower #	is Better		
Denominator		5	5	5	348		99		27		27		27	
	% Dev	# SDs	# SDs	# SDs	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
20	6.15	1	3	1	38	11 %	27	27%	19	70%	16	59%	19	70%
21	5.60	1	3	2	36	10 %	27	27%	19	70%	19	70%	16	59%
22	5.79	2	3	2	30	9%	30	30%	15	56%	11	41%	14	52%
23	7.23	2	3	2	43	12 %	30	30%	17	63%	16	59%	19	70%
24	6.20	2	3	2	28	8%	30	30%	15	56%	11	41%	14	52%
25	7.57	2	2	1	5	1%	20	20%	7	26%	4	15%	6	22%
26	8.68	2	2	1	5	1%	21	21%	7	26%	2	7%	4	15%
27	2.99	4	1	1	15	4%	13	13%	15	56%	14	52%	14	52%
28						0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
29						0%		0%		0%		0%		0%



			# Majority M	inority SDs		Splits		C	ommunit	y of Intere	est (COI) N	/lodel Split	s
		Polsby Popper-	Based on										
	Max	Compactness	Total	Based on		NCs (Not	e: Can be						
Maps	Deviation	Score	Population	CVAP	# CSAs	address	ed later)	CO	IA	CC	DI B	CO	IC
Maximum	10%	# SDs >.20	10,047,926	6,315,311	348	99		27		27		27	
Comparison	Pass/Fail	Higher # is Better			Lo	wer # is Bette	r			Lower #	is Better		
Denominator		5	5	5	348	99		27		27		27	
	% Dev	# SDs	# SDs	# SDs	# %	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
30					0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
Mean	4.6	2.4	2.4	1.4	25.3	21.4		14.1		12.4		13.9	

COI Legend: <u><49%</u> 50%-

60% >



Public comment – see recordings on "VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED" at the start of the minutes:

LOS ANGELES COUNTY **2021**

- 1. Stuart Waldman, San Fernando Valley Redistricting Coalition
- 2. Briane Logasa, San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG)
- 3. Jacqueline Ayer, Antelope Valley (Acton)
- 4. Jessica Panduro, InnerCity Struggle supports the People's Bloc map
- 5. Sandra Guzman supports the People's Bloc map
- 6. Faraz Aquil prepared Map 15
- 7. InnerCity Struggle (Erica Hernández) supports the People's Bloc map
- 8. Kristian Cardenas, Lincoln Heights supports the People's Bloc map
- 9. Remberto Nunez, Boyle Heights supports the People's Bloc map
- 10. Michelle Aranda Coss supports the People's Bloc map
- 11. Isabel Gonzalez supports the People's Bloc map
- 12. Alejandro Juarez-Ugalde supports the People's Bloc map
- 13. Adele Andrade-Stadler supports the People's Bloc map
- 14. Mary Fischer, Highland Park supports the People's Bloc map
- 15. Diego Rodrigues (CEO, Alma Services) supports the People's Bloc map
- 16. Henry Fung supports Maps, 05, 18, 26, 10
- 17. Carlos Leon supports the People's Bloc map
- 18. Thomas Carey, Church of the Epiphany in Lincoln Heights supports the People's Bloc map
- 19. Pharaoh Mitchell supports the People's Bloc map
- 20. Jackie Contreras supports the People's Bloc map
- 21. Henry Perez, East LA, InnerCity Struggle supports the People's Bloc map
- 22. Cherokee-lar O'Dea, Chatsworth Lake Manor Rural Town Council (SD5) supports Map 10
- 23. Louisa Ollague supports the MALDEF and People's Bloc maps for two Latino SDs
- 24. Valerie Morishige, Hollywood Hills Prefers Hollywood and East Hollywood be kept together
- 25. James Toma (former Mayor of West Covina) supports the People's Bloc map
- 26. Osvaldo Dominguez, City Terrace supports the People's Bloc map
- 27. John Mendoza, Pomona
- 28. Anna Godoy, Eastside supports the People's Bloc map
- 29. Wade Major opposes the People's Bloc map; supports Map 10

<u>5b.</u> Discussion and Possible Approval of Draft Maps and/or Direction to ARCBridge to Develop Draft Maps for Upcoming Public Hearings—Co-Chairs Dan Mayeda and Carolyn Williams

The Commissioners discussed the next steps and received public comment.



Public comment – see recordings on "VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED" at the start of the minutes:

- 1. Stuart Waldman
- 2. Faraz Aqil
- 3. Chris Rowe
- 4. Henry Fung
- 5. Jackie Contreras
- 6. Rene Jimenez
- 7. Joseph Roth
- 8. Wade Major
- 9. Zoom User (Carla Pope) Supports Map 10 and Map 27
- 10. Bruce M. Rowe Supports Map 4, Map 18

In total, there were 31 maps submitted. Commissioner Holtzman withdrew three of his maps – Maps 20, 21, and 22 – from consideration. The Commissioners then shared their top 3 map preferences. An "X" means they liked the map, but it was not among their top 3. No Commissioner had more than 3 ratings.

Last Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Franklin				1						1		х						1										
Holtzman	1																			1	1				х			
Mayeda		1																	1	1								
Mendoza														1				1		х		1						
Morales												1						1		1								
Obregon	1														1		х		1									
Orpinela- Segura								1				1											1					
Soto												1							х	1				х			1	
Soto												х			1				1					1			х	
Kenney			1							х					1		1		х									
Stecher		х								х				х	1		х	1	х	1				х				
Vento	1					х						1						х		1				х				
Williams				Х								1		х				1		1								
Wong					1							1				1				х								
	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	0	1	4	1	1	5	3	7	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0



HHHHH



Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura had provided her input prior to the meeting since she was going to be unable to attend the October 28, 2021, meeting. She provided the following caveats to her map choices:

LOS ANGELES COUNTY **2021**

- Map 8: Put Downey with SD 4, Pasadena with SD 5, El Monte with SD 1
- Map 12: Put El Monte and North El Monte together; add Duarte to SD 1; remove Pico Rivera and Whitter from SD 1 into SD 4

The Commissioners explained individually the logic behind their selected top 3 map choices and related considerations. Maps 12, 15, 18, and 23 had the highest ratings.

The Commissioners discussed other maps that were comparable to each other. For example, Commissioner Brian Stecher indicated that Map 23 is similar to Map 2; Map 18 to Maps 10, 14, 17, 27; and Map 15 to Map 19. Commissioner Holtzman pointed out that Map 24 is similar to Map 12.

Map 12 Prepared by the People's Bloc

A motion was made and seconded to adopt Map 12 as an option for further review and refinement at the upcoming public hearings.

Commissioner Mark Mendoza proposed an amendment to add Map 18 as part of the motion. Commissioner Doreena Wong seconded the motion. The Commissioners discussed the merits of the amendment and the usefulness to approve maps one-by-one because Commissioners may prefer one map over another. Commissioner Mark Mendoza withdrew his amendment.

The motion was then voted on and approved.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner John Vento
Outcome:	Approved

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

LOS ANGELES COUNTY 2021 REDISTRICTING 2021

Map 18 Prepared by Commissioner Brian Stecher

A motion was made, seconded, and approved to adopt Map 18 as an option for further review and refinement at the upcoming public hearings.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner John Vento
Outcome:	Approved

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
No	Commissioner David Holtzman	No	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Map 23 Prepared by MALDEF

A motion was made, seconded, and approved to adopt Map 23 as an option for further review and refinement at the upcoming public hearings.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Doreena Wong
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Saira Soto and Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Outcome:	Approved

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Map 15 Prepared by Faraz Aqil

A motion was made and seconded to adopt Map 15 as an option for further review and refinement at the upcoming public hearings. The motion failed.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Nelson Obregon
Outcome:	Failed



No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
No	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	No	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Abstain	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	No	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	No	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Map 1 Prepared by Josh Rasmussen

A motion was made and seconded to adopt Map 1 as an option for further review and refinement at the upcoming public hearings. The motion failed.

Motion Made:	Commissioner John Vento
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner David Holtzman
Outcome:	Failed

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	No	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	No	Commissioner Saira Soto
No	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	No	Commissioner Brian Stecher
No	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
No	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	No	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
No	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Map 19 Prepared by Commissioner Brian Stecher

A motion was made, seconded, and approved to adopt Map 19 as an option for further review and refinement at the upcoming public hearings.

Motion Made:	Commissioner Doreena Wong
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Outcome:	Approved

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	No	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Yes	Commissioner Brian Stecher
No	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	No	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

The four approved map options are posted on the LA County CRC website at: <u>CLICK HERE</u>. They are listed as:





- 1. Map A (formerly Map 12)
- 2. Map B (formerly Map 18)
- 3. Map C (formerly Map 23)
- 4. Map D (formerly Map 19)

<u>5c. Consider Adopting Resolution Authorizing the Continuation of Hybrid and Fully Teleconferenced Meetings</u> of the Commission for a 30-Day Period– Co-Chair Carolyn Williams and Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda

Holly Whatley, Independent Legal Counsel to the LA County CRC, presented her Staff Report. Recently passed legislation allows legislative bodies to continue to conduct teleconference meetings after September 30 if certain criteria are met and findings made. The LA County CRC adopted the formal Resolution No. 2021-01 on September 29, 2021, to conduct its meetings virtually within the following 30 days. Resolution No. 2021-01 expires on October 29, 2021.

The LA County CRC needs to re-adopt such findings at least every 30 days to enable the resolution to continue in effect because of health and safety issues.

Such extension of Resolution No. 2021-01 would permit the LA County CRC to conduct its public hearings virtually in November (through November 28, 2021), as well as meetings within the following 30 days.

The LA County CRC will need to re-adopt such findings at least every 30 days to enable the resolution to continue in effect.

Public Comment: Joseph Roth

A motion was made, seconded, and approved to adopt extension of Resolution No. 2021-01 through November 28, 2021, as Resolution No. 2021-02.

Motion	Made:	Commissioner John Vento		
Motion	Seconded:	Commissioner Mark Mendoza		
Outcom	ne:	Approved		
	1			
Yes	Commis	sioner Jean Franklin	Yes	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commis	sioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commis	sioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto

res	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeua	res	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong



The <u>adopted Resolution No. 2021-02</u> is attached as an exhibit and is displayed on the LA County CRC website.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY **2021**

AGENDA ITEM 6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT – GAYLA KRAETSCH HARTSOUGH, PH.D.

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough reported that the media launch has begun with the first ad running in Our Weekly.



She reminded the Commissioners of the tight timeline. She advised the Commissioners to plan on meeting every Wednesday evening up until December 15 with the earliest possible date for the launch of the public hearings as November 5, 2021.





Commission draws its map option Must post for 7 days before public hea		Final maps
October – Week 4	November	15 Dec.
	At least 2 public hearings 30 days ap	
Plan	on public hearings every Wednesday	/ at 7p

Although the LA County CRC has not received any requests for interpreters, Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough will arrange for Spanish interpreters for the upcoming public hearings.

The tentatively scheduled special meeting for October 29, 2021, will be cancelled because the Commissioners approved the map options for the upcoming public hearings.

AGENDA ITEM 7. ADJOURNMENT – CO-CHAIR DANIEL MAYEDA

Co-Chair Mayeda adjourned the meeting at 10:10 p.m.

To sign up for receiving future LA County CRC notices, go to: redistricting.lacounty.gov

To submit input to the public hearings, including signing up for speaking before the Commission, go to: <u>https://forms.gle/2SDZSxEuKNZ3ZU1KA</u>



EXHIBIT: RESOLUTION NO. 2021-02



RESOLUTION NO. 2021-2

RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REAUTHORIZING HYBRID OR FULLY TELECONFERENCED MEETINGS OF THE COMMISSION FOR A 30-DAY PERIOD

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission is committed to preserving and nurturing public access and participation in meetings of the Commission; and

WHEREAS, all meetings of the Commission's legislative bodies are open and public, as required by the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code 54950 – 54963), so that any member of the public may attend, participate, and watch the Commission conduct its business; and

WHEREAS, the Brown Act, Government Code section 54953(e), makes provisions for remote teleconferencing participation in meetings by members of a legislative body, without compliance with the requirements of Government Code section 54953(b)(3), subject to the existence of certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a required condition is that a state of emergency is declared by the Governor pursuant to Government Code section 8625, proclaiming the existence of conditions of disaster or of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the state caused by conditions as described in Government Code section 8558; and

WHEREAS, it is further required that state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing; and

WHEREAS, such conditions now exist in the County, specifically, the Governor proclaimed a State of Emergency on March 4, 2020 due to COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2021, the State Public Health Officer ordered all individuals to follow the state guidance on face coverings and its website recommends physical distancing; and

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2021, the Los Angeles County Health Officer ordered all individuals to follow the local guidance on face coverings and its website recommends physical distancing; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2021, the Commission approved Resolution No. 2021-01 authorized hybrid and fully remote meetings; and

WHEREAS, members of the public will be able to participate remotely through the digital means listed on the meeting agenda and, for hybrid meetings, in person provided applicable masking and social distancing protocols are followed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Commission hereby finds, determines, and orders the following:

- 1. Recitals. The Recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.
- Remote Teleconference Meetings. The Commission shall conduct its meetings without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Government Code section 54953, as authorized by subdivision (e) of section 54953, and shall comply with the requirements to provide the public with access to the meetings as prescribed in paragraph (2) of subdivision (e) of section 54953; and
- 3. Hybrid Meetings. Organizing hybrid or fully teleconferenced meetings presents the best compromise between the competing goals of facilitating public meetings under the Brown Act and social distancing under the public health orders; and
- 4. Delegation of Authority. The Executive Director is hereby authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution including, conducting open and public meetings in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e) and other applicable provisions of the Brown Act.
- 5. Effective Date of Resolution. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and shall be effective for 30 days, or such time the Commission adopts a subsequent resolution in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e)(3) to extend the time during which the Commission may continue to teleconference without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission on October 28, 2021 by the following vote:

Motion Made: Commissioner John Vento						
Motion Seconded: Outcome:		Commissioner Mark Mendoza Approved				
Yes	Commis	sioner Jean Franklin	Yes	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura		
Yes	Commis	Commissioner David Holtzman		Commissioner Hailes Soto		
Yes	Commis	Commissioner Mary Kenney		Commissioner Saira Soto		
Yes	Co-Chai	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda		Commissioner Brian Stecher		
Yes	Commis	Commissioner Mark Mendoza		Commissioner John Vento		
Yes	Commis	sioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams		

Yes

Commissioner Doreena Wong

Yes

Commissioner Nelson Obregon