

Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Special Meeting (10/28/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
5.a.	Bonnie Voland	Favor	<p>My name is Bonnie Voland. I'm a private citizen and member of the EAPD and I live in Eagle Rock/Glassell Park. I thank the Commissioners for months of study and hard work. It's appreciated. I am calling to voice my full support of the map submitted by the People's Bloc, which is made up of many different organizations around LA County. The Commission should advance this submission as part of the proposed maps for several reasons:</p> <p>These organizations are community-based.</p> <p>They provide critical services and understand what our neighbors need</p> <p>They constantly advocate for us and we trust them.</p> <p>This map is about justice and equity. It protects and ensures representation for our historically marginalized communities, which other submitted maps do not do.</p> <p>I have lived in this area for 33 years and love the atmosphere and services in my area and the People's Bloc Map protects this and keeps East LA together with Northeast.</p> <p>It helps us better advocate for environmental justice.</p> <p>I urge you to advance the People's Bloc map and ultimately adopt it as the final proposed map.</p> <p>Thanks for your time and consideration, Bonnie Voland</p>	10/28/2021	View Attachment
5.a.	Brienne Logasa	Other	<p>I would like to be added to the Speakers List during the meeting at Item 5a to comment the following:</p> <p>Good evening members of the LA County CRC, my name is Brienne Logasa and I am a Management Analyst with the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG). The SGVCOG was asked to provide a public comment on behalf of various of our member cities, including the cities of Azusa, Claremont, Glendora, Irwindale, La Puente, La Verne, Monrovia,</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			<p>Rosemead, San Dimas, San Gabriel, South Pasadena, Walnut, and West Covina.</p> <p>These cities support district maps and communities of interest that keep the San Gabriel Valley together. The SGVCOG's Executive Committee will be considering the formation of a working group to develop recommendations for supervisorial LA County redistricting maps that best represents the interests of the San Gabriel Valley, so please look forward to these recommendations in the coming weeks. Thank you.</p>		
5.a.	Cherokee-lar ODea	Other	<p>Honorable Commission my name is Cherokee-lar O'Dea and I am a 4th generation resident, Community Activist and Historical Proprietor of Chatsworth Lake Manor Unincorporated LA County 5th District. My grandparents and Mother started our local Citizens Committee back in the 1960's and they paved the way for our existing Rural Town Council today. It is on behalf of our entire Community we ask with all sincerity to not remove our historical community from the 5th District. Our entire identity is that we are the smallest Town in all of Unincorporated LA County being that we only have just over 600 homes in our area. We are steadfast in our unity and our exiting, ongoing Government and Resident Relations, we have a huge impact on wildfire safety and constant communication with local community members.</p> <p>This possible removal from the 5th District would this detour our entire foundation as a unified Community, for over the past 60 years. We have just been awarded in Sept 2021, a CALFire Fire Prevention Grant for our area that would help ongoing hazardous fuel mitigation projects in our Canyon Community for the next 4 years. We have over 400 active Community Members that will show up for zone change meetings or paper road threats. We have been celebrated by the Regional Planning Department for our efforts and we are officially sponsored by the 5th District for our most diligent relationships with our residents and Gov & Public Officials.</p>	10/28/2021	View Attachment
5.a.	Diego Rodrigues	Favor	In Favor	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Henry Fung	Favor	If I were to give my top three maps (excluding my own) for public dissemination, I would go with map #18 (Stecher), 26 (Hidalgo) and 10 (Lamorie). There should be at least one status quo or status quo-like option,	10/28/2021	n/a

			one evolutionary option, and one revolutionary/out of the box option for the public to review.		
5.a.	Ismael Castro	Favor	<p>Thank you to the Commissioners for your dedicated work. I am calling today in full support of the map submitted by the People’s Bloc and urge you to advance and adopt it as the final map.</p> <p>This map is about justice and equity: protecting and ensuring representation for the historically marginalized communities in a manner that other submitted maps do not do.</p> <p>This map keeps East LA together with Northeast which will allow us to be better advocates working alongside the neighborhoods which make up our communities--whether it's HIV, COVID19, gentrification.</p>	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Ismael F Castro	Favor	-	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Mary Fischer	Favor	<p>Hello: First of all, thank you for all your hard work on the Commission. I write to urge you to keep Supervisor District 1 whole, as it is now. The district as indicated by the People's Bloc map contains the right mix of all the political, social and racial needs of the constituents who live here. Please, keep CD 1 as it is. Many thanks.</p>	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Michelle Freridge	Favor	<p>Good afternoon, my name is Michelle Freridge and I am with the Asian Youth Center and I live in Montebello. I want to thank the Commissioners for your months of hard work on this important civic project. I am commenting in full support of the map submitted by the People’s Bloc, which is made up of many different organizations around LA County including A3PCON (of which AYC is a member). The Commission should advance this submission as part of your proposed maps for several reasons. Community-Based organizations truly represent the communities they serve including the most vulnerable populations who cannot be here today to comment. They provide critical services and understand what our neighbors need. They constantly advocate for us, and we trust them. This map is about social justice and equity. It protects and ensures representation for our historically marginalized communities, which other submitted maps do not do. Specifically, this map keeps the San Gabriel Valley intact and represents the communities AYC</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			serves well. I urge you to advance the People's Bloc map and ultimately adopt it as the final proposed map. Thank you.		
5.a.	Oswaldo Dominguez	Favor	-	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Paul S Parzik	Favor	Hi my name is Paul Parzik and I reside in Pico Rivera. I appreciate our Commissioners for your commitment to community and time invested in improving the lives of the residents of Los Angeles County. I endorse the map submitted by the People's Bloc, which appears to have been drafted by people from a variety of perspectives throughout our LA County community. It is my opinion that the Commission should advance this submission as an element of your proposed maps for several reasons as a function of representation of those involved in the drafting and to support parity. Inasmuch as that I have confidence in the drafters and those evaluating this for passage, and to retain cohesion of the San Gabriel Valley, I encourage you to advance the People's Bloc map and adopt it as the final proposed map.	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Remberto Nunez	Favor	-	10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Sandra Flores	Favor		10/28/2021	n/a
5.a.	Teresa Eilers	Favor	Hi my name is Teresa and I am from South Pasadena and live in Rosemead. Thank you for all of your hard work on this important topic. I have reviewed the various maps that have been submitted to the Commission, and I am writing in support of the map submitted by the People's Bloc. The People's Bloc is made up of many different organizations across LA County who advocate for the well-being of the economically and racially disenfranchised. The map that they present keeps communities of color together and supports the racially just society that we have all come to advocate for since the George Floyd murder. The Commission should advance this submission as part of your proposed maps for several reasons. I hope that you adopt this map as the final map.	10/28/2021	n/a

			Thank you, Teresa Eilers		
5.b.	Randall Porizek	Other	El Camino Village would like to be considered for SD 4. Based on what residents say, many like to identify with the beach/ seaside communities of District 4 just based on our geographic location. Our concerns are based on improvement of our community, safety and even beautification of our community. Our community is stepping towards improvement and positive change. If including El Camino Village in district 4 would help to amplify our voice to promote growth and change, then it seems to make sense.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 001	Wade Major	Oppose	Terrible gerrymandering.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 002	Wade Major	Oppose	Worse gerrymandering than 1.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 003	Wade Major	Oppose	Respectable attempt, but it aligns too many high-density urban corridor voters with those from rural areas who not only have no common interests or concerns, but often have conflicting or contradictory concerns. This is the same problem we have now -- higher density voters from independent and organized cities can exercise political leverage against those with less clout in the same area.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 004	Stuart Waldman	Favor	Still great	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 004	Wade Major	Oppose	Badly gerrymandered and based on some silly assumptions about coastal communities.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 005	Henry Fung	Favor	This is the rationale for my plan, as submitted on October 20: With regard to my plan (OP 005) as other redistricting plan creators have given their feedback on why they drew the lines the way they are, I will provide some background. The plan creates one San Gabriel Valley district which maximizes Asian American participation. Approximately 30% of the SD 1 population is Asian American, the highest percentage of any of the districts. It reflects the San Gabriel	10/28/2021	n/a

			<p>Valley as I see it, to include the definition as created by the San Gabriel Valley COG of cities that are also not part of another COG. Thus the district stretches from La Canada Flintridge to Pomona. Glendale is included primarily for population concerns as the Foothill Boulevard corridor in the La Crescenta, Montrose. and La Canada Flintridge area is one community of interest.</p> <p>The purpose of SD 2 is to maintain a historically African American influenced district, although the population of Blacks in LA County is too low to create their own district, and displacement of the Black community such that joining disparate areas such as the Antelope Valley, Altadena/NW Pasadena, South LA, and View Park/Windsor Hills would not be compact. It still connects communities with a large African American community like Carson, Compton, Crenshaw, Inglewood and Ladera Heights together. All have a tradition of strong Black leadership despite changing demographics in some of the cities like Carson and Compton and so would create opportunities for a future Black supervisor.</p> <p>To create two Latino districts SD 3 uses the foundation of Molina Plan T-1 from the 2011 redistricting by creating an I-5 corridor uniting San Fernando and Downey. It includes Downtown LA and</p>		
--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

			<p>the Eastside. One interesting note is that the Southeast LA cities included, like Bell, South Gate, and Cudahy are all in LAUSD which allows the SELA cities to join as a community of interest. As recently expressed through the vote for the Metro Board of Directors seat for the Gateway Cities, the core SELA cities (Maywood-South Gate) see themselves as distinct from the other Gateway Cities, so splitting the Gateway Cities up may not be as inappropriate as may originally seem.</p> <p>SD 4 maintains the core of existing SD 4 as a crescent shaped district, although not to the ridiculous level of connecting Diamond Bar and El Segundo as the current SD 4. It includes more of the coastline to Santa Monica and Pacific Palisades and also the Gateway Cities east of I-605. It does not split the City of Long Beach. It includes both the County's largest recreational harbor in Marina Del Rey and the nation's largest port in the Port of LA and Long Beach.</p> <p>The new SD 5 is a large district covering everything from the Antelope Valley to Malibu. While it may seem excessively large it concentrates the County's rural communities in one district. This allows rural issues to receive special focus such as horse keeping, wildfire danger, and farming and ranching. It also includes the county's largest</p>		
--	--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

		<p>institutions of higher education in Cal State Northridge and UCLA.</p> <p>A few features of this plan are minimal deviation from an equal split of the county, not splitting any cities or unincorporated areas with the exception of the City of Los Angeles, and keeping as many of the communities of the City of Los Angeles whole while using freeways and waterways as delineators. The plan also tries to ensure that each district has some high income and low income communities in there and is not uniformly poor or rich. For example, SD 1 includes San Marino and Diamond Bar but also Pomona and El Monte; SD 2 Culver City and Hancock Park but South LA and Compton; SD 3 Downtown LA and Mount Washington but also Florence-Firestone and Sun Valley; SD 4 Palos Verdes and Santa Monica but also Hawaiian Gardens and Wilmington; SD 5 Malibu and Cheviot Hills but also the Antelope Valley.</p> <p>It is challenging to draw both a SGV and SFV district without exceeding the population limit, not creating two Latino-majority districts, or disenfranchising the Antelope Valley.</p> <p>This plan prioritizes the SGV from being split but admittedly splits the SFV into the Latino-dominant central SFV and the more affluent, Whiter West Valley.</p>		
--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

			<p>It uses I-405 as a simple boundary as much as possible.</p> <p>Also, unlike current SD 3 with a minimal unincorporated population, all districts share in the UA population. SD 1 has UA communities in the Covina Islands, South San Gabriel, Rowland Heights, Hacienda Heights, and La Crescenta. SD 2 has Westmont, West Athens, Athens, Rosewood, and Willowbrook. SD 3 has Universal City, East LA, and Florence-Firestone. SD 4 has Marina Del Rey, Los Nietos, and South Whittier. SD 5 has the Santa Monica Mountains, Newhall Ranch, and the unincorporated portions of North County.</p>		
OP 005	Wade Major	Oppose	Ridiculous gerrymandering.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 006	Wade Major	Oppose	Again, insane gerrymandering.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 007	Wade Major	Oppose	Look at it. This is absurd gerrymandering. Coastal communities in a single district makes no sense.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 008	Stuart Waldman	Favor	Still great	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 008	Wade Major	Oppose	Same problem as 7, assuming all coastal communities should be together. Terrible idea.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 009	Henry Fung	Oppose	This plan was not intended to be a proposal for adoption but as a starting point for discussion. However, you could easily move the lines for district 3 and 5 to create one 50% CVAP Latino district and move lines for 1 and 4 (eastern San Gabriel Valley and South Bay/Long Beach) to two more CVAP Latino districts. Given that the commission does not seem to want to move forward with this proposal, I leave that up to the commissioners to do what they want.	10/28/2021	n/a

OP 009	Wade Major	Oppose	Horrible gerrymandering.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 010	Brittany Teague	Favor	-	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 010	Cherokee-lar ODea	Favor	<p>We are known historically for working diligently for low impact development in our area. We also have tremendous impact on environmental awareness and our efforts are nonstop. Now having a four-year contract with Cal Fire and LA County Fire for Fire Prevention. We can provide Cost Free Annual Brush Clearance for our Community Members and increase fuel break for our area. We are what's called the Green Belt of The Santa Susannah Mountains and it would be devastating to remove us from the 5th District. Please know we are in support of Map 010 that does not remove us from Supervisor District 5 of Unincorporated LA County.</p> <p>We successfully saved 17 of our 23 Commercial Zones on our Main St. Lake Manor Drive back in 2015. We have successfully had 70 acres donated to our local Chatsworth Oaks Park to the Santa Monica Mountains Association back in 2014. Our family has owned and operated the Main Community Hub which is our Historical Log Cabin Mercantile Heritage Inc., one of the first Historical landmarks in our Canyon. And we are the host of our sponsored Program The Conservation Concierges Project sponsored by saveourplanet.org/conservation-concierges which is now an official LA County Fire and CALFire Contractor for fuel reduction in our area of Chatsworth Lake Manor and surrounding areas.</p>	10/28/2021	View Attachment
OP 010	Wade Major	Favor	<p>Absolutely the best and really the only logical district separation. Communities of common interest, both demographically and geographically, are protected and grouped. Most importantly, population balance is preserved so that these communities aren't potentially outvoted or out-leveraged by denser, more populous communities in the same area. Political gamesmanship is minimized and existing cooperation, like between the communities of the COG, are maximized and preserved. This enables those existing alliances to have stronger cooperation with the supervisor's office for such essential services as fire response, homelessness etc. This is a superb and logical division.</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

OP 011	Wade Major	Oppose	Carves up the high density central Los Angeles corridor in an awkward and clumsy way that invites political conflicts in the middle of the county. Doesn't serve like-minded communities.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 012	Adele F Andrade Stadler	Favor	-	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 012	Brian Tabatabai	Favor	I am in favor of the People's Bloc as it maintains the most continuity and recognizes the need to have proper representation for POC	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 012	Diego Rodrigues	Favor	I am in strong support of the People's Bloc proposed Map.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 012	Henry Fung	Other	I would support looking at this, but Catalina needs to be connected to SD 4 and not SD3.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 012	James Toma	Favor	-	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 012	Rene Jimenez	Favor	<p>Good evening,</p> <p>My name is Rene Jimenez, a resident of the unincorporated area of South San Jose Hills.</p> <p>I'd like to begin by thanking the Commissioners for your months of hard work. We really appreciate your effort and dedication.</p> <p>I am in full support of the map submitted by the People's Bloc, which is made up of many different organizations around LA County.</p> <p>These organizations are based within our communities and I've had the honor of working with a few of them. They provide critical services and understand what my neighbors need. They constantly advocate for us and we trust them.</p> <p>This map is about justice and equity. It protects and ensures representation for our historically marginalized communities, which other submitted maps do not do.</p> <p>With this map, we can better advocate for environmental justice and give us the representation that we need.</p> <p>I urge you to advance the People's Bloc map and ultimately adopt it as the</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			final proposed map. Thank you.		
OP 012	Wade Major	Oppose	Simply awful. Assumes the whole SM Bay should be one district -- despite no other common interests -- and divides Palos Verdes. Deeply unwise.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 013	Wade Major	Oppose	Gerrymandered.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 014	Wade Major	Oppose	Gerrymandered.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 015	Wade Major	Oppose	Right idea -- wrong execution. See #10.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 016	Wade Major	Oppose	Gerrymandered.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 017	Wade Major	Oppose	Right idea -- wrong execution. See #10.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 018	Wade Major	Oppose	Right idea -- wrong execution. See #10.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 019	Wade Major	Oppose	Right idea -- wrong execution. See #10.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 020	Wade Major	Oppose	Gerrymandered. You can't even look at this map and be serious. You should be laughing.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 021	Wade Major	Oppose	Laughably gerrymandered.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 022	Wade Major	Oppose	22, 24, 25 and 26 all have the same problem. Gerrymandered -- and geographically not logical. Nothing really makes sense.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 023	Wade Major	Oppose	MALDEF is seeking to strengthen Latino representation at the expense of other communities of interest. But more problematically, no majority Hispanic area of Los Angeles has been stable over any decade apart from East Los Angeles. This is a dynamic and growing population and the boundaries should be drawn to recognize that Latino voters in Los Angeles are not a monolithic group but a valued part of many different communities with varied other interest. Racial/cultural shared interests are one of many that need to be considered, and as all immigrant groups eventually intermarry with others and migrate to different geographical areas with different regional and cultural concerns, they become part of other populations as well. This is a facile and one-dimensional way of looking at a complex county.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 024	Wade Major	Oppose	22, 24, 25 and 26 all have the same problem. Gerrymandered -- and geographically not logical. Nothing really makes sense.	10/28/2021	n/a

OP 025	Wade Major	Oppose	22, 24, 25 and 26 all have the same problem. Gerrymandered -- and geographically not logical. Nothing really makes sense.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 026	Wade Major	Oppose	22, 24, 25 and 26 all have the same problem. Gerrymandered -- and geographically not logical. Nothing really makes sense.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 027	Wade Major	Oppose	So close... but 10 is far, far better.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 028	Wade Major	Oppose	Honestly. Just look at this thing. If you aren't laughing... you should be.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 029	Stuart Waldman	Favor	Following the meeting last night I made some changes to one of the maps that I submitted OP 008. In this updated map we were able to create 2 Latino VRA seats (50.27 and 52.12 LCVAP) , an African American seat (29.7 BCVAP) , keep Long Beach together, keep the Las Virgenes Malibu Council of Governments whole and keep the San Fernando Valley 99% whole in one district. Additionally, it puts El Monte and South El Monte in the same district.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 029	Wade Major	Oppose	Facile, ethnocentric priorities here -- two Latino seats, one Black seat and keep the valley together? That's the priority? This county is so much richer and more complex than that. This is borderline racist and insulting in its assumptions about what constitutes communities of interest.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 030	Stuart Waldman	Favor	This map would be acceptable for the San Fernando Valley.	10/28/2021	n/a
OP 031	Stuart Waldman	Favor	This map would be acceptable for the San Fernando Valley.	10/28/2021	n/a
-	Tim Sanchez	-	<p>My name is Tim Sanchez from Minorities for Fair Representation. I apologize for my late focus on LA County but we in the minority advocacy world are being pulled in many directions re redistricting esp here in LA County with LA City, Long Beach and the State all running concurrently.</p> <p>I urge you to take a look at these links from the state commission about RPV in the LA Area: here and here and here</p> <p>My concern is that this commission is running under the assumption that there is not Racially Polarized voting in LA County. This presentation from the state seems to indicate the contrary - with seriously racially polarized voting in the SGV, SFV, Central LA and the Antelope Valley, I was troubled by the report by Prof Katz and Bruce Adelson which only used Supervisor races. I</p>	10/28/2021	View Attachment

			<p>would urge you to use local races, Statewide races especially races like the Ricardo Lara/Steve Poizner (Ins Commissioner) race to show polarization especially in regards to the Latino community and the Susan Rubio/Mike Eng race for State Senate re Asian Community.</p> <p>One thing is clear before you consider these maps tonight, is that you do not have a full suite of options before you. You must look at the Latino Community in the Antelope Valley which is clearly racially polarized and they need to be with other communities that allow for candidates of choice to win. Even Prof Katz and Mr Adelson came to the conclusion that the Antelope Valley is the area with the most concern. Please heed this information so that you don't disempower the fastest growing Latino community - the Antelope Valley by putting them with other voters that constantly vote against their interests.</p> <p>I will be sending another email in regards to our groups concerns and thoughts about some of the proposed maps</p>		
-	Tim Sanchez	-	<p>Hey All-</p> <p>Tim here again with some comments about the public maps in the hub.</p> <p>Great Maps - OOP-1 and OOP-6 are great - they create 3 latino majority seats for CVAP - and although they split some regions - it does a pretty good job of keeping cities whole. For us it combines the best of People's Block and the MALDEF map. It puts the AV with the NE SFV to create a latino community of interest and the northern portions of the SGV which use the Angeles forest as park and open space in the park poor areas of the SGV and it also protects the African American District 2 by making it a true coalition district of about 1/3-1/3/1/3 latino, african american and white</p> <p>Good Maps - OOP2, OOP7, OOP-12, OOP 23 and OOP 29</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			<p>These maps create 2 Latino VRA seats while also protecting the historic African American seat. 29 keeps the SFV whole as a bonus but as mentioned before the NE SFV has diff COIs then the rest of the SFV.</p> <p>Maps with serious Concern-</p> <p>OOP 25 - this is basic incumbent protection plan - and undercuts the reason of this commission - this basically takes the current incumbent gerrymander and continues it</p> <p>OOP 18 - We are very troubled by Commissioner Stecher's map which eliminates African American voice at the county board of Supervisors. We have had a vibrant history of the African American community empowerment and this would. This plan creates MINORITY PACKING of 81% CVAP and the district 2 pits Latinos against African American in a super concerning way. Please protect the Latino Community districts separate from influence districts as the above plans do.</p>		
-	Stuart Waldman	-	<p>Commissioners,</p> <p>Following the meeting last night I made some changes to one of the maps that I submitted OP 008. In this updated map we were able to create 2 Latino VRA seats (50.27 and 52.12 LCVAP) , an African American seat (29.7 BCVAP) , keep Long Beach together, keep the Las Virgenes Malibu Council of Governments whole and keep the San Fernando Valley 99% whole in one district. Additionally, it puts El Monte and South El Monte in the same district. Below is the link:</p> <p>https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/op-029-stuart-waldman</p> <p>Respectfully, Stuart Waldman</p> <p>Stuart Waldman, Esq.</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			President Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)		
-	Tamaryn Byrne	-	-	10/28/2021	View Attachment
-	Maria Brenes	-	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Maria Brenes and I am a resident, homeowner, public school parent and stakeholder of Los Angeles County's Board District 1.</p> <p>I am submitting this public comment letter to urge the Commission to keep the historic Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights together and in the current Supervisorial District 1. It is important to ensure that these communities remain unified in Supervisorial District 1 and that they NOT be broken up and placed into separate Districts. The People's Bloc Map achieves this and I am in full support of their map.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD1.</p> <p>The Eastside's natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is currently situated.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Maria Brenes Reside on Stockbridge Ave, 90032 (El Sereno)</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

-	Henry Perez	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Henry Perez and I am a resident of Unincorporated East Los Angeles in Los Angeles County in Supervisorial District 1. I urge you to keep the Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno and Lincoln Height united and in SD 1. The People’s Bloc Map achieves this and I am in full support of their map.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD 1.</p> <p>The Eastside’s natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is situated.</p> <p>Any effort by groups that are not from our community to move our Eastside communities out of SD 1 in order to fulfill a political goal of creating a Latinx seat in the San Fernando Valley is an insult to our communities. It is using our Eastside neighborhoods as a chess piece to fulfill this political goal and it is unacceptable. The People’s Bloc Map that is supported by dozens of community organizations helps create a second VRA seat with communities that are more naturally together. Please support the People's Bloc map instead.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Henry Perez</p>	10/28/2021	n/a
---	-------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------	-----

-	Ana Godoy	-	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Ana Godoy and I am a stakeholder of Los Angeles County in Supervisorial District 1.</p> <p>I am submitting this public comment letter to urge the Commission to keep the historic Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno, and Lincoln Heights together in their current Supervisorial District 1. It is important to ensure that these communities remain unified in Supervisorial District 1 and NOT be broken up and placed into separate Districts. The People’s Bloc Map achieves this and I am in full support of their map.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno, and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD 1.</p> <p>The Eastside’s natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West, or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is situated.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>In Community, Ana Godoy</p>	10/28/2021	n/a
-	Michele Aranda Coss	-	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Michelle Aranda Coss and I am a stakeholder of Los Angeles County in Supervisorial District 1.</p> <p>I am submitting this public comment letter to urge the Commission to keep</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			<p>the historic Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights together and in their current Supervisorial District 1. It is important to ensure that these communities remain unified in Supervisorial District 1 and that they NOT be broken up and placed into separate Districts. The People’s Bloc Map achieves this and I am in full support of their map.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD 1.</p> <p>The Eastside’s natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is situated.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Michelle Aranda Coss</p>		
-	Jessica Panduro	-	<p>Hello Commissioners I want to share my full support for the map submitted by The People's Bloc and ask that as we move forward in this process that we keep the Eastside under Supervisorial District 1.</p> <p>Thanks for your time and hard work.</p> <p>In Community, Jessica Y. Panduro S.</p>	10/28/2021	View Attachment
-	Robert Lancet	-	<p>Honorable Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for keeping our Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation</p>	10/27/2021	n/a

		<p>Area/Las Virgenes/Malibu COG COI together.</p> <p>As you now contemplate your short list of supervisorial districts, we respectfully urge you to consider giving greater weight to maps like the ones we submitted OP 010 and OP 027 and other maps like OP 013 which likewise have been drawn by local community member Los Angeles County residents. We have striven to meet all of the criteria established by your Commission.</p> <p>Unfortunately, national advocacy groups with little understanding of the full diversity and character of the entire County of Los Angeles have submitted maps that seem to be garnering more attention over local input. These maps, particularly OP 012 from the People’s Bloc, and OP 023 from MALDEF, use a narrow criteria for their work that cleaves communities and results in an outwardly gerrymandered map. By failing to incorporate all of the Commission’s criteria, including compactness and communities of interest, these maps would degrade the quality of representation for all Angelenos.</p> <p>Finally, we particularly oppose OP 023 because it would effectively disenfranchise over 1.5 million people in the Santa Monica Mountains, the San Fernando Valley, and West LA, by putting us under a Supervisor that none of us have had the opportunity to vote for -- and where we do not share communities of interest.</p> <p>We are confident that the Commission will produce a boundary map that is both intuitive and representative of the will of the people of the County of Los Angeles.</p> <p>Robert Lancet Acting President of the Westhills Homeowners Association Board of Directors in an unincorporated section of the third district of the LA County.Honorable Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for keeping our Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area/Las Virgenes/Malibu COG COI together.</p>		
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

			<p>As you now contemplate your short list of supervisorial districts, we respectfully urge you to consider giving greater weight to maps like the ones we submitted OP 010 and OP 027 and other maps like OP 013 which likewise have been drawn by local community member Los Angeles County residents. We have striven to meet all of the criteria established by your Commission.</p> <p>Unfortunately, national advocacy groups with little understanding of the full diversity and character of the entire County of Los Angeles have submitted maps that seem to be garnering more attention over local input. These maps, particularly OP 012 from the People’s Bloc, and OP 023 from MALDEF, use a narrow criteria for their work that cleaves communities and results in an outwardly gerrymandered map. By failing to incorporate all of the Commission’s criteria, including compactness and communities of interest, these maps would degrade the quality of representation for all Angelenos.</p> <p>Finally, we particularly oppose OP 023 because it would effectively disenfranchise over 1.5 million people in the Santa Monica Mountains, the San Fernando Valley, and West LA, by putting us under a Supervisor that none of us have had the opportunity to vote for -- and where we do not share communities of interest.</p> <p>We are confident that the Commission will produce a boundary map that is both intuitive and representative of the will of the people of the County of Los Angeles.</p> <p>Robert Lancet Acting President of the Westhills Homeowners Association Board of Directors in an unincorporated section of the third district of the LA County.</p>		
-	Brigette Plascencia	-	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Brigette Plascencia and I am a stakeholder of Los Angeles County in Supervisorial District 1.</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

		<p>I am submitting this public comment letter to urge the Commission to keep the historic Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights together and in their current Supervisorial District 1. It is important to ensure that these communities remain unified in Supervisorial District 1 and that they NOT be broken up and placed into separate Districts. The People's Bloc Map achieves this and I am in full support of their map.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD 1.</p> <p>The Eastside's natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is situated.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Brigette Plascencia</p>			
-	Alejandro Juarez-Ugalde	-	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Alejandro Juarez-Ugalde and I am a stakeholder of Los Angeles County in Supervisorial District 1.</p> <p>I am submitting this public comment letter to urge the Commission to keep the historic Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights together and in their current Supervisorial District 1. It is important to ensure that these communities remain unified in Supervisorial District 1</p>	10/28/2021	n/a

			<p>and that they NOT be broken up and placed into separate Districts. The People's Bloc Map achieves this and I am in full support of their map.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD 1.</p> <p>The Eastside's natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is situated.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p>		
-	Karrie Harris	-	-	10/28/2021	View Attachment
-	Joan Slimocosky	-	<p>Honorable Commissioners:</p> <p>Thank you for keeping our Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area/Las Virgenes/Malibu COG COI together.</p> <p>As you now contemplate your short list of supervisorial districts, we respectfully urge you to consider giving greater weight to maps like the ones we submitted OP 010 and OP 027 and other maps like OP 013 which likewise have been drawn by local community member Los Angeles County residents. We have striven to meet all of the criteria established by your Commission.</p> <p>Unfortunately, national advocacy groups with little understanding of the full diversity and character of the entire County of Los Angeles have submitted maps that seem to be garnering more attention over local input. These maps, particularly OP 012 from the People's Bloc, and OP 023 from MALDEF, use a</p>	10/27/2021	n/a

		<p>narrow criteria for their work that cleaves communities and results in an outwardly gerrymandered map. By failing to incorporate all of the Commission's criteria, including compactness and communities of interest, these maps would degrade the quality of representation for all Angelenos.</p> <p>Finally, we particularly oppose OP 023 because it would effectively disenfranchise over 1.5 million people in the Santa Monica Mountains, the San Fernando Valley, and West LA, by putting us under a Supervisor that none of us have had the opportunity to vote for -- and where we do not share communities of interest.</p> <p>We are confident that the Commission will produce a boundary map that is both intuitive and representative of the will of the people of the County of Los Angeles.</p> <p>Joan Slimocosky, President Monte Nido Valley Community Association</p>		
--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--