

Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Special Meeting (10/13/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
4	Ernest K Lee	Oppose	<p>Chinatown is one of the oldest communities in Los Angeles and is home to immigrant, elderly, working class, and families of color. The current boundaries of Chinatown have been shaped by historic racist land use practices that have left a legacy of predatory developments and gentrification. For residents to stand a chance in advocating for community needs & better resources Chinatown must remain whole & together in one council district.</p> <p>Located at 600 N Broadway, 1 block south of the proposed CD 1 boundary, Cathay Manor is senior housing made up of elderly, income-restricted, disabled Asian immigrants with limited/no English. These residents are valued members of the Chinatown community, they attend to all their needs in Chinatown, where they can get around and speak in their native languages. Please do not separate Chinatown into 2 districts, we are one community, and Cathay Manor needs to be in CD1 with the rest of the neighborhood.</p> <p>The new map proposes to relocate the boundary of CD1 in Chinatown from Cesar Chavez Ave & Alameda St. to Hill & Ord St. This splits our historic community into two. The new boundary separates the iconic gateway statue, as well as many of our residents from the rest of Chinatown. Are you saying that the entrance to Chinatown is not part of the rest of the community? How can that be? Please respect Chinatown and our community members and keep Chinatown whole and in one Council District.</p>	10/11/2021	n/a

4	Henry M Perez	Other	<p>Dear Los Angeles County Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>My name is Henry Perez and I am a stakeholder of Los Angeles County in District 1.</p> <p>I am submitting this public comment letter to urge the Commission to keep the historic Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, City Terrace, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights together and in their current Supervisorial District 1. It is important to ensure that these communities remain unified in Supervisorial District 1 and that they NOT be broken up and placed into separate Districts.</p> <p>The Eastside communities of unincorporated East Los Angeles, City Terrace, Boyle Heights, El Sereno and Lincoln Heights have a shared cultural history and legacy that make them a larger community of interest that should remain together with the rest of the current SD 1.</p> <p>The Eastside’s natural trajectory is toward the eastern part of Los Angeles County. It is NOT toward the North, West or South. Moving the Eastside communities into a separate supervisorial district would be removing our communities from their more natural communities of interest located in the eastern part of the County where SD 1 is situated.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of my submitted public comment.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Henry Perez</p>	10/8/2021	n/a
---	---------------	-------	--	-----------	-----

4	Ostra Sereno	Favor	<p>I oppose to remove USC and Expo Park from CD9. CD 9 is the poorest district in the city and the elimination of USC and Expo Park will eliminate any economic benefits from these areas of the district. Community Coalition is pushing to move USC and Expo Park to CD8 so that the financial benefits remain in the hands of one councilman who is African American. CD8 already has the Crenshaw Corridor and wealthier neighborhoods.</p> <p>I vehemently oppose the Community Coalition's call to action to move USC and Expo Park to CD 8. It is not fair. It is clearly an attempt to take power away from one minority group and try to give it to another. I thought Community Coalition was about fairness and solidarity for low income communities, but it's not the reality.</p> <p>Community Coalition pressures the Commission to provide USC and Expo Park to CD 8, THIS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE! As a resident of the CD9 I demand that you keep our economic assets. Keep the current district of CD9 intact!</p>	10/12/2021	n/a
4	sara daleiden	Other	<p>Please consider making additional supervisorial districts to address cultural and political specifics emerging with the public comments from us as neighbors. One area I am concerned about is Antelope Valley having its own district. Thank you!</p>	10/9/2021	n/a

4	Scott W Martin	Other	<p>Having missed the window to officially submit a Map and be able to Speak on our "redistricting" comments, I have attached a picture of the North/East area of District 4 in which contains the Unincorporated Area of Hacienda Heights. The current placement of the district lines (4th District), and surrounding communities adjacent to Hacienda Heights (Rowland Heights, Whittier, and La Habra Heights) should remain in place. The Board of Directors of the Hacienda Heights Improvement Association request NO CHANGES to the current District Lines.</p>	10/12/2021	Click here to see attachment
4	Steven Weinberg	Other	<p>Please see attached Written Statement of Franklin Coldwater Canyon Neighbors Association urging the Commission to place Franklin Coldwater in Council District 5. Thank you.</p>	10/12/2021	Click here to see attachment
4	Warren D TenHouten	Other	<p>1. As a 55 year resident of Laurel Canyon, PLEASE put Laurel Canyon back in District 5 (as the original K2.5 map showed it). Move the District 5 eastern boundary to Nichols Canyon / Bantam Trail. The Hillside communities face unique risks, challenges, concerns. They need their own SEPARATE Council District. Combining Hillside communities with "flat" communities will not work. Laurel Canyon has ALWAYS been part of the Bel Air/ Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council. Why was Laurel Canyon removed from Council District 5? Yes, some residents of Laurel Canyon did not like making Laurel Canyon BLVD the eastern boundary of Council District 5. OKAY. Then make Nichols Canyon / Bantam Trail the eastern boundary of Council District 5.</p>	10/8/2021	n/a

			<p>2. Change the southern boundaries of District 5 to eliminate Westwood, Rancho Park, Cheviot Hills, Fairfax, Beverly Grove, etc. Those communities should NOT be combined with hillside communities; Westwood, Rancho Park, etc. should be combined with other low-land communities in a Council District. It does NOT work to combine Hillside communities---which face unique risks (wildfire, narrow roads, challenging topography, land-use concerns, over-development, need to preserve open space and wildlife, etc.)---with low-land communities. These two sets of communities have different interests, concerns, challenges.</p> <p>3. Alternatively, change the eastern boundary of Council District 5 so that it includes ALL of Bel Air/ Beverly Crest, Laurel Canyon, and the West and East Hollywood Hills. Make the 101 Hollywood Freeway or the Interstate 5 Freeway the Eastern boundary of Council District 5. Again---eliminate the lowland neighborhoods from Council District 5. Why would Council District 5 include Rancho Park, Westwood, Cheviot Hills, etc.? Makes ZERO sense.</p> <p>4. The least good alternative: let Laurel Canyon stay in Council District 4 but do NOT include lowland communities in Council District 4. Hillside communities have a set of unique challenges (wildfire, narrow roads, challenging topography, land-use concerns, over-development, need to preserve open space and wildlife, etc.). They need their OWN SEPARATE Council District. It will NOT work to combine hillside communities with lowland communities in a single Council District.</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

6.b.	Ernest K Lee	Oppose	<p>Chinatown is one of the oldest communities in Los Angeles and is home to immigrant, elderly, working class, and families of color. The current boundaries of Chinatown have been shaped by historic racist land use practices that have left a legacy of predatory developments and gentrification. For residents to stand a chance in advocating for community needs & better resources Chinatown must remain whole & together in one council district.</p> <p>Located at 600 N Broadway, 1 block south of the proposed CD 1 boundary, Cathay Manor is senior housing made up of elderly, income-restricted, disabled Asian immigrants with limited/no English. These residents are valued members of the Chinatown community, they attend to all their needs in Chinatown, where they can get around and speak in their native languages. Please do not separate Chinatown into 2 districts, we are one community, and Cathay Manor needs to be in CD1 with the rest of the neighborhood.</p> <p>The new map proposes to relocate the boundary of CD1 in Chinatown from Cesar Chavez Ave & Alameda St. to Hill & Ord St. This splits our historic community into two. The new boundary separates the iconic gateway statue, as well as many of our residents from the rest of Chinatown. Are you saying that the entrance to Chinatown is not part of the rest of the community? How can that be? Please respect Chinatown and our community members and keep Chinatown whole and in one Council District.</p>	10/11/2021	n/a
------	--------------	--------	---	------------	-----