

From: P T <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 11:23 PM
To: CommServ
Subject: Support for A-2 Plan

My name is Eric Tsang, I live in Rowland Heights since 2002, and I support the A-2 plan.

From: CommServ
Subject: RE:

-----Original Message-----

From: Jane Stickley [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 6:04 PM
To: CommServ
Subject:

I am encouraging the Board NOT to move millions of residents out of their districts, splitting neighborhoods and communities that have been linked for decades.

This redistricting is sounding more and more like a racially motivated move.

Thank you.

Jane Stickley
[REDACTED]
Hacienda Heights, CA 91745

August 4, 2011

Los Angeles County Review Committee
and Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
500 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Los Angeles County Review Committee and Board of Supervisors:

I write to you today with great concern about redistricting plans currently under consideration which would affect supervisorial district lines at Los Angeles County. Results from the 2010 U.S. Census unequivocally show the existence of at least two compact Latino communities within the county constituting at least half of the voting age citizens. In other words, the creation of two or more Latino-majority supervisorial districts is now possible. (There are five supervisorial districts—and this number most likely will not change in the near future since, as recently as **2001**, voters rejected a ballot measure to expand supervisorial representation to **seven** districts.

As you know, at the Los Angeles County, the Boundary Review Committee is responsible for determining the borders of the five supervisorial districts. Though several worthy proposals have been submitted for their consideration, I wish to voice my strong support for the S-1 Plan, also known as the African American Coalition Map—which would create two supervisorial districts with populations that would be both majority Latino and geographically compact.

The S-1 Plan meets all necessary case law standards. But it also achieves another equally important obligation—it honestly addresses Los Angeles County's history of racial discrimination at the ballot box, and it does so in the spirit of civic collaboration and good governance as evidenced by the fact that the S-1 Plan enjoys support of organizations beyond the Latino community.

The unpleasant but incontrovertible truth is that voting in Los Angeles County has historically been polarized along ethnic lines, and primarily between Latinos versus non-Latinos. This was true in 1991, when the county's district lines were redrawn to fairly allow for Latino representation—but only because the U.S. Supreme Court forced the county's hand as a result of the *Garza vs. County of Los Angeles* case, which doggedly chronicled the institutionalized, systemic racism perpetuated for generations against the county's Latinos.

Now it is 2011, and Latinos irrefutably represent a clear majority of Los Angeles County's voting age citizens. Yet a 2008 report co-authored by David I. Lublin and Gary Segura and titled "An Evaluation of the Electoral and Behavioral Impact of Majority-Minority Districts" scientifically proves what many Latinos have known anecdotally for generations—that voting in Los Angeles County is still polarized among ethnic lines, and particularly between Latinos and non-Latinos. To put it bluntly, racial discrimination at the ballot box is not a thing of the past.

Los Angeles County Review Committee
and Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
August 4, 2011
Page 2

Taken together, these facts demonstrate a compelling need for at least two majority-Latino supervisorial districts. Indeed, I believe that this unfulfilled need would constitute *de facto* disenfranchisement—which is exactly the opposite of the Boundary Review Committee's mission. It is no exaggeration to say, in fact, that the people of Los Angeles County are relying on the committee to ensure that disenfranchisement in any form does not happen.

Yet this is exactly what happened when the committee failed to support the S-1 Plan. This outcome is particularly true when taking into account Latinos' generally lower socioeconomic status combined with the game-changing effects of the infamous U.S. Supreme Court's *Citizens United vs. Federal Election Commission* ruling in January of 2010, which allowed for unlimited corporate funding of independent political broadcasts in candidate elections.

As such, because the S-1 Plan would fulfill not just the letter but the spirit of the Boundary Review Committee's mission, I strongly urge you to adopt it. Thank you very much for taking the time to read this letter and for considering its message. Please feel free to contact me in the future if necessary.

Sincerely, *Rosalia Contreras*

Rosalia CONTRERAS

President

South Whittier, Neighborhood Watch. / MASH



HISPANIC OUTREACH TASKFORCE

"Empowering Latinos to Succeed"

Our Mission is to improve the quality of life of Hispanics and the community through programs in education, health, economic development, financial literacy, and the cultural arts.

August 4, 2011

Board of Directors

Betty Rengifo Tucker, President

Executive V.P. Comerica Bank-

James V. Arenas, Vice President

Business Consultant

Barbara Noblet, Secretary

Healthcare Consultant

Rene Licon, Jr., Treasurer

Financial Advisor

Dr. Yolanda Aguerrebere,

Principal, Magee Elementary School

Lisa Michelle Dabbs

Educational Administrator, Consultant

Les Howard, Ph.D.

Whittier College

Gladys Sevilla V.P. Retail Banking,

Comerica Bank

Advisory Council

Jake Alarid, Chair

American G.I. Forum

Pete Gomez, Vice Chair

Educational Psychologist

Bob Archuleta, Mayor Pro Temp

City of Pico Rivera

Ron Beilke, Businessman

B.V. Castillo, Dir. Comm. Rel.

BP America

Charles Claver, Director

Union Bank of California

Susanna Contreras-Smith

El Rancho Unified School Dist.

Pete Espinoza, Presiding Judge

S.E. District, L.A. Superior Court

Louie Gonzalez, Mayor

City of Santa Fe Springs

Raydella Lewis, V.P. Comm.

Keenan & Assoc.

Assemblyman Tony Mendoza

California State Assembly

Sylvia Granados-Southerland

Southern California Edison Co.

Rosemarie Vasquez, Mayor

City of Montebello

Gus Velasco, Councilman

City of Santa Fe Springs

Joe Vinatieri, Councilman

City of Whittier

Felipe Plascencia, Esq.

Attorney at Law

Victor Ledesma

Community Liaison

Linda Ibarra-Wong

HOT Co-Founder

Los Angeles County Review Committee and
Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Hall of Administration
500 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Los Angeles County Review Committee and Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors:

I write to you today with great concern about redistricting plans currently under consideration which would affect supervisorial district lines at Los Angeles County. Results from the 2010 U.S. Census unequivocally show the existence of at least two compact Latino communities within the county constituting at least half of the voting age citizens. In other words, the creation of two or more Latino-majority supervisorial districts is now possible. (There are five supervisorial districts—and this number most likely will not change in the near future since, as recently as 2001, voters rejected a ballot measure to expand supervisorial representation to seven districts.

As you know, at the Los Angeles County, the Boundary Review Committee is responsible for determining the borders of the five supervisorial districts. Though several worthy proposals have been submitted for their consideration, I wish to voice my strong support for the S-1 Plan, also known as the African American Coalition Map—which would create two supervisorial districts with populations that would be both majority Latino and geographically compact.

The S-1 Plan meets all necessary case law standards. But it also achieves another equally important obligation—it honestly addresses Los Angeles County's history of racial discrimination at the ballot box, and it does so in the spirit of civic collaboration and good governance as evidenced by the fact that the S-1 Plan enjoys support of organizations beyond the Latino community.

The unpleasant but incontrovertible truth is that voting in Los Angeles County has historically been polarized along ethnic lines, and primarily between Latinos versus non-Latinos. This was true in 1991, when the county's district lines were redrawn to fairly allow for Latino representation—but only because the U.S. Supreme Court forced the county's hand as a result of the Garza vs. County of Los Angeles case, which doggedly chronicled the institutionalized, systemic racism perpetuated for generations against the county's Latinos.

6706 Friends Avenue, Whittier, CA 90601
Telephone: (562)789.0550 Fax (562) 789.0559
E-Mail: info@hotoutreach.org Website: www.hotoutreach.org

Board of Supervisors
August 4, 2011
Page 2


Now it is 2011, and Latinos irrefutably represent a clear majority of Los Angeles County's voting age citizens. Yet a 2008 report co-authored by David I. Lublin and Gary Segura and titled "An Evaluation of the Electoral and Behavioral Impact of Majority-Minority Districts" scientifically proves what many Latinos have known anecdotally for generations—that voting in Los Angeles County is still polarized among ethnic lines, and particularly between Latinos and non-Latinos. To put it bluntly, racial discrimination at the ballot box is not a thing of the past.

Taken together, these facts demonstrate a compelling need for at least two majority-Latino supervisorial districts. Indeed, I believe that this unfulfilled need would constitute de facto disenfranchisement—which is exactly the opposite of the Boundary Review Committee's mission. It is no exaggeration to say, in fact, that the people of Los Angeles County are relying on the committee to ensure that disenfranchisement in any form does not happen.

Yet this is exactly what happened when the committee failed to support the S-1 Plan. This outcome is particularly true when taking into account Latinos' generally lower socioeconomic status combined with the game-changing effects of the infamous U.S. Supreme Court's Citizens United vs. Federal Election Commission ruling in January of 2010, which allowed for unlimited corporate funding of independent political broadcasts in candidate elections.

As such, because the S-1 Plan would fulfill not just the letter but the spirit of the Boundary Review Committee's mission, I strongly urge you to adopt it. Thank you very much for taking the time to read this letter and for considering its message. Please feel free to contact me in the future if necessary.

Respectfully,



James Arenas, Vice President
Hispanic Outreach Taskforce
6707 Friends Avenue
Whittier, CA 90601

[REDACTED]
Office: 562.789.0550
Cell: 951.545.1270



August 4, 2011

Board of Supervisors/Boundary Review Committee County of L.A.
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Board of Supervisors/Boundary Review Committee:

I write to you today with great concern about redistricting plans currently under consideration, which would affect supervisorial district lines in Los Angeles County. Results from the 2010 U.S. Census unequivocally show the existence of at least two compact Latino communities within the county constituting at least half of the voting age citizens. In other words, the creation of two or more Latino-majority supervisorial districts is now possible.

As you know, at the Los Angeles County, the Boundary Review Committee is responsible for determining the borders of the five supervisorial districts. Though several worthy proposals have been submitted for their consideration, I wish to voice my strong support for the Amended S-1 Plan, also known as the Latino/African American Coalition Map-which would create two supervisorial districts with populations that would be both majority Latino and geographically compact.

The Amended S-1 Plan meets all necessary case law standards. But it also achieves another equally important obligation-it honestly addresses Los Angeles County's history of racial discrimination at the ballot box, and it does so in the spirit of civic collaboration and good governance as evidenced by the fact that the Amended S-1 Plan enjoys support of organizations beyond the Latino community.

The unpleasant but incontrovertible truth is that voting in Los Angeles County has historically been polarized along ethnic lines, and primarily between Latinos versus non-Latinos. This was true in 1991, when the county's district lines were redrawn to fairly allow for Latino

representation-but only because the U.S. Supreme Court forced the county's hand as a result of the Garza vs. County of Los Angeles case, which doggedly chronicled the institutionalized, systemic racism perpetuated for generations against the county's Latinos.


Now it is 2011, and Latinos irrefutably represent a clear majority of Los Angeles County's voting age citizens. Yet a 2008 report co-authored by David I. Lublin and Gary Segura and titled "An Evaluation of the Electoral and Behavioral Impact of Majority-Minority Districts" scientifically proves what many Latinos have known anecdotally for generations-that voting in Los Angeles County is still polarized among ethnic lines, and particularly between Latinos and non-Latinos. To put it bluntly, racial discrimination at the ballot box is not a thing of the past.

Taken together, these facts demonstrate a compelling need for at least two majority-Latino supervisorial districts. Indeed, I believe that this unfulfilled need would constitute de facto disenfranchisement-which is exactly the opposite of the Boundary Review Committee's mission. It is no exaggeration to say, in fact, that the people of Los Angeles County are relying on the committee to ensure that disenfranchisement in any form does not happen.

Yet this is exactly what happened when the committee failed to support the Amended S-1 Plan. This outcome is particularly true when taking into account Latinos' generally lower socioeconomic status combined with the game-changing effects of the infamous U.S. Supreme Court's Citizens United vs. Federal Election Commission ruling in January of 2010, which allowed for unlimited corporate funding of independent political broadcasts in candidate elections.

As such, because the Amended S-1 Plan would fulfill not just the letter but the spirit of the Boundary Review Committee's mission, I strongly urge you to adopt it. Thank you very much for taking the time to read this letter and for considering its message. Please feel free to contact me in the future if necessary.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kamala Lopez', with a large, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

Kamala Lopez
Founding Director, Los Angeles
GlobalGirl Media



August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Frederick F Shen

August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Honorable L.A. County Supervisors:

My name is Nikki Noushkam and I am a resident in LA County Supervisor District 4. I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I urge you to approve the proposed S1 map at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting. I strongly believe that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not endorse this notion and I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact. In addition, the boundaries proposed in redistricting plan S1 are more in line with the final draft version of the state assembly, senate and congressional maps generated by the bipartisan California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Nikki Noushkam', written over a horizontal line.

Nikki Noushkam

August 5, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Rosemary Calderon". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping underline.

Thank you for time

Jorge Gomez

On behalf of Assembly member Tony Mendoza

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:57 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Draw a 2nd Latino District!

FYI

From: Esther Ramirios [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:20 PM
To: Gloria Molina; SecondDistrict; Yaroslavsky, Zev; AskDon; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: Draw a 2nd Latino District!

Dear Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors:

I am a second generation Latina thriving in the San Fernando valley and active registered voter.

I am writing to communicate that I am shocked and unsettled that the Board is on the verge of again adopting a map that DISRESPECTS the Latino community and its population growth. Moreover, you are on the verge of VIOLATING the Voting Rights Act, by failing to create a new Latino district when it has been established by Census records that one is warranted. The Advisory Commission was presented with several proposed maps creating a second Latino-majority district, but the representatives appointed by Supervisors Antonovich, Knabe, and Yaroslavsky rejected each of those proposals.

Please hear my requests:

1. I ask that you support the creation of a second Latino district because it better respects Los Angeles County's population and the Federal Voting Rights Act.
 2. The Latino community will interpret self-interested votes to deny a second Latino district as hostile actions against the Latino community. We will take our memory to the polls come the next election.
 3. Don't waste taxpayer money. Los Angeles County lost a costly lawsuit the last time it tried to ignore the Federal Voting Rights Act. Don't waste my taxpayer money and the courts time by triggering another successful lawsuit!
- Please DO NOT HANDCUFF the rights of Latinos to keep your status quo. We have a loud, strong voice.

Sincerely,
Esther Ramirios, MA

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:56 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Fair Districts

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:54 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Cc: Cieplik, Michael
Subject: FW: Fair Districts

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Gonzalo Diazibarra [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 1:37 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: Fair Districts

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Name
Gonzalo Diazibarra
Cerritos, CA 90703

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ingrid Gunnell


Glendale, CA 91202

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:55 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: I do not support the Status Quo

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:59 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: I do not support the Status Quo

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Avy Cease [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 2:31 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: I do not support the Status Quo

August 5, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Avy Cease
Resident Los Angeles County

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:54 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:16 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Sylvia Ramirez [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

11

County Board of Supervisors
Hahn Hall of Administration
Temple Street, Rm. 383
Sacramento, CA 90012

County Redistricting Plan S1

I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of meeting.

Belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current do not support this notion.

Support the status quo.

Redistricted maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, other districts remain compact.

Consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the maps as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

|

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:13 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:07 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: Edna Martinez [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 12:55 AM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

August 9, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Edna Martinez

[REDACTED]
Lakewood CA 90712

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:55 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:02 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: jamie candelario [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 3:02 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Maggie Candelario
[REDACTED]
Norwalk, CA. 90650

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:12 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:10 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: Marcel Rodarte [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 10:07 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Marcel Rodarte

████████████████████
Norwalk, CA 90650

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:55 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:59 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Graciela Vasquez [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 2:36 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Graciela Vasquez

[REDACTED]
Lakewood CA 90713

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:56 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:58 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Joseph Gonzales [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 2:26 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

August 6, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Joseph J. Gonzales
"Friends of Joseph J. Gonzales for City Councilmember 2009"

[REDACTED]
City of South El Monte
[REDACTED]

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:56 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:55 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Luna, Ricardo [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 2:13 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ricardo Luna
████████████████████
Los Angeles, Ca 90065

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:13 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:09 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: Susan Rubio [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 11:01 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.


The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.


Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Susan Rubio  Councilmember

City of Baldwin Park

☎: (626) 

📠: (626) 

www.baldwinpark.com



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:13 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:07 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: Andre Quintero [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 11:46 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

August 6, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Andre Quintero, Mayor, City of El Monte*

████████████████████

El Monte, CA 91732

*Title for Identification Purposes Only

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:16 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:14 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 7:07 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ruben Valdez
[REDACTED]

whittier, ca 90960

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:55 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Los Angeles County Supervisor Boundary Redistricting Proposal

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:00 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: Los Angeles County Supervisor Boundary Redistricting Proposal

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Gary Chomiak [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: Los Angeles County Supervisor Boundary Redistricting Proposal

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the current boundaries as they do not reflect current population changes.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.


Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Gary Chomiak
████████████████████
Cerritos, CA 90703

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:57 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Redistricting Action Alert!

FYI

From: Alliance for a Better Community [mailto:██████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 4:46 PM
To: Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: Redistricting Action Alert!



August 8, 2011

REDISTRICTING ACTION ALERT

Alliance for a Better Community urges Los Angeles County residents to contact the Board of Supervisors for support of additional Latino representation

Latinos comprise half of the population in Los Angeles County. Yet, there has been no additional Latino representation on the Board of Supervisors since Gloria Molina was elected more than 20 years ago. In the past, Supervisors Mike Antonovich, Don Knabe, and Zev Yaroslavsky have voiced opposition to an additional district citing that there is no need for an added seat to accurately represent Los Angeles County.

The Advisory Commission was presented with several proposed maps creating a second Latino-majority district, but the representatives appointed by Supervisors Antonovich, Knabe, and Yaroslavsky rejected each of these proposals. This is a severe violation of the Federal Voting Rights Act, and could lead the county into a lawsuit, which will cost tax-payer money and they will surely lose.

ABC urges all Los Angeles County residents to call and e-mail their Board of Supervisors tomorrow, August 9, 2011. We need to stop this violation of the Federal Voting Rights Act.

Call your LA County Board of Supervisor and ask them support an additional seat!

Gloria Molina - 1st District
molina@bos.lacounty.gov

or call (213) 974-4111

Mark Ridley-Thomas - 2nd District

seconddistrict@bos.lacounty.gov

or call (213) 974-2222

Zev Yaroslavsky - 3rd District

zev@bos.lacounty.gov

or call (213) 974-3333

Don Knabe - 4th District

AskDon@lacbos.org

or call (213) 974-4444

Michael D. Antonovich - 5th District

fifthdistrict@lacbos.org

or call (213) 974-5555



Alliance for a Better Community



This message was sent to fifthdistrict@lacbos.org by:

Angelica Solis

Executive Director

350 South Bixel, Suite 180

L [REDACTED] A 90017

Unsubscribe:

If you would like to be removed from this list, please [click here](#).

Sent Using :
SimpleSend
www.simplesend.com

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:54 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Redistricting

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:22 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: Redistricting

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: martha mowatt [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:09 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject:

August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Kind Regards,

Martha Mowatt
████████████████████.
Norwalk, CA 90650

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:14 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Re-districting

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:05 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: Re-districting

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: Kanda, Kewal K [mailto:k████████████████████]
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:54 AM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: Re-districting

August 9, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thanks,

Kewal K. Kanda

Cell: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:12 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Redistricting

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:11 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: Redistricting

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: donna hernandez [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 8:58 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Cc: JR GOMEZ, JORGE HUGO
Subject: Redistricting

August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Donna Hernandez
████████████████████
Norwalk, Ca. 90650

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:16 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: REDISTRICTING

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:14 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: REDISTRICTING

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: Olga Rios [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:26 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: REDISTRICTING

August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I urge you to approve this map at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

I strongly believe that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. This new map does not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Olga Rios
[REDACTED]

Lakewood, CA 90715

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:16 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Rezoning of Zone 4

FYI

From: Rhind, Ernestina **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 8:13 AM
To: Michael D. Antonovich; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev
Subject: FW: Rezoning of Zone 4

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office Public Response e-mail for appropriate review and action.

From: Danny Yeung [mailto: 
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: Rezoning of Zone 4

Dear Supervisors,

After attended several hearings of the redistricting committee and watched the process with concern, I was very relieved to learn the committee recommended plan A-2 it is definitely the one that makes the most sense. As a number of other speakers have pointed out, A-2 impacts the least number of people and I am among the thousands of residents think that is important due to the financial problems many families are currently experiencing because of the recession. Residents living in unincorporated area to be moved to a new district would increase unnecessary stress and pressure,
Please listen to your constituents and not make drastic changes to the current supervisor districts against the will of the residents concerned..

I appreciate your time.
Regards,
Danny

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:55 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: SUPPORT PLAN S1

FYI

From: Medina, Katherine **On Behalf Of** ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:00 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: SUPPORT PLAN S1

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

From: luis ayala [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 2:57 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: SUPPORT PLAN S1

August 6, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Luis Ayala

Vice Mayor, City of Alhambra



From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:47 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: Support the creation of a second Latino district!

FYI

From: ronald servellon [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 9:57 PM
To: Yaroslavsky, Zev; AskDon; Michael D. Antonovich; Gloria Molina; SecondDistrict
Subject: Support the creation of a second Latino district!

Hi dear County Supervisors,

Please support the creation of the second Latino district because it's just, and it's the law, Latino voters have a long memory, and don't waste taxpayer money by triggering another successful lawsuit.

Thanks for your time,

Ron A. Servellon

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:44 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: The Rowland Heights Gazette: Unmitigated Outrage Upon Outrage

Importance: High

FYI

From: Roy [mailto:██████████]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 11:59 PM
To: President Barack Obama; Jerry Brown; Don Knabe; Gloria Molina; SecondDistrict; Michael D. Antonovich; Yaroslavsky, Zev; 'Beth Hojnacke'; ██████████ 'Charles Liu'; 'Cocos'; 'John Bellah'; 'John Grant'; 'Lynne Ebenkamp'; 'Szu-pei Lu'; 'Ted Ebenkamp'
Cc: Assemblymember.Hagman@outreach.assembly.ca.gov; 'Bob Huff'; 'Barbra Boxer'; 'Diane Feinstein'; 'Gary Miller'
Subject: The Rowland Heights Gazette: Unmitigated Outrage Upon Outrage
Importance: High

MyFellow Americans, the Los Angeles Times does Heroic Service to Mankind by exposing the Bastion of the Most Corrupt, Vile Pustulent Spewing Degradation of the Human Condition in recent history.

Yes, none other than Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor Gloria Molina, wants to disregard the Historical Battles of Desegregation in the United State of America and form the Ultimate reversal by forming an essentially all Hispanic/Latino District so as to "Guarantee" a Latino on the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Molina somehow fails to realize as did Representative Loretta Sanchez, that all elected offices belong to all of the People and the People of all Races, Ethnicity, and Religion etc. An Elected Official has the duty and responsibility to represent all of the people of their district.

Is Gloria Molina saying that Supervisors Knabe, Antonovich etc., failed to serve the Latino constituents of their Districts? This Reporter can tell you from firsthand experience that she has been little more than a Derelict Absentee Slum Lord in Rowland Height where Don Knabe has picked up her slack repeatedly.

She has failed to clean up the Latino/Cholo Gang Infested Valinda where good people live in constant free.

Gloria Molina is fixated on the "Latino Sir Name." Great, I will change my name to **Raul de Bayon** tomorrow. Now Vote for me. Sound Stupid? Thank you.

Dick Simmons of Don Knabe's office noted that at one of the redistricting hearings, one of Gloria's Spanish speaking constituents noted how important it was to have a Spanish speaking representative. Hello, all Supervisors' offices have a horde of Spanish speaking staff. And yes, I feel it is very important to me that those who serve me SPEAK ENGLISH!

Gloria Molina wants Fairness. How about giving up the Patron Mentality and allow our Mexican/Latino Americans to break out of the Barrio/Ghetto Mentality and integrate with their Fellow Americans. You find it threatening. They might find it refreshing.

In a nut shell, how pathetic can anyone person be? I guess we now know.

Le Citizen Roi/Patriot

Bid for more Latinos on county board sets up fight

The three white supervisors back a district plan that hews to the status quo.

RONG-GONG LIN II

An epic redistricting battle is shaping up at the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors that could result in the first nonwhite board majority in modern history and further reduce the clout of Republicans in county politics.

Latino activists are pushing for the county to create a second Latino-majority district, saying demographic shifts in the last decade demand it. Latinos now make up 48% of the county population, up from 45% in 2000, census data show. And Latinos constitute a third of the county's potential voters, up from a little more than one in four a decade ago.

"I hope the board is going



MARK BOSTER Los Angeles Times

CHANGE: Board member Gloria Molina and activists say demographics shifts in the last decade demand an overhaul.

to recognize the demographic changes in this county," said Gloria Molina, the county's first nonwhite and first person of Latino heritage to be elected supervisor in more than a century. Molina won her seat two decades ago after civil rights groups prevailed in a legal fight that went to the U.S. Supreme Court. Latino rep-

resentatives successfully argued that supervisors had drawn boundaries since the 1950s to protect white incumbents and dilute the Latino vote.

Before Molina's election, no Spanish-surnamed person had served on the board since 1875.

"After a while, it comes down to fairness," said Steven A. Ochoa, national redistricting coordinator for the Los Angeles-based Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund.

A plan backed by Molina and Latino activists would radically overhaul the districts of Republican Don Knabe of Cerritos and Democrat Zev Yaroslavsky of the Westside. Knabe's largely white district, which hugs the county's western and southern coastal edges, would be redrawn as the new majority Latino district, shifting deeply into Molina's current district toward the eastern San Gabriel Valley.

Yaroslavsky would be [See Districts, A8]

**Jack B. White, School Board Member
Baldwin Park Unified School District**

August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: LA County Redistricting Plan S1

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, **S1**, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker, and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented. The S1 proposal does not displace any supervisor from his/her district, and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities, and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jack White, Schoolboard Member
Baldwin Park Unified School District


Baldwin Park, CA 91706


From: CommServ
Subject: RE: FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael D. Antonovich
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 7:55 AM
To: CommServ
Subject: FW: FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Medina, Katherine On Behalf Of ExecutiveOffice
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 5:02 PM
To: Gloria Molina; The Office of Mark Ridley-Thomas; Yaroslavsky, Zev; Don Knabe; Michael D. Antonovich
Subject: FW: FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING

The following e-mail is being forwarded to you from the Executive Office's Public Response e-mail for your review/information.

-----Original Message-----

From: JR GOMEZ, JORGE HUGO [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 3:16 PM
To: ExecutiveOffice
Subject: FAIRNESS IN REDISTRICTING

August 5, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the proposed redistricting plan, S1, submitted by Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting. It is my belief that communities with shared interests and services should also have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion.

I do not support the status quo.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jorge Gomez

[REDACTED]
Norwalk, CA 90650

Cerritos, CA 90703
August 8, 2011

Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street, Rm. 383
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

I support the Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Jackie Dupont-Walker and Tunua Thrash proposed redistricting plan, S1. I strongly believe this map should be approved by at the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting.

Shared interests and services should have shared representation. The current boundaries do not support this notion, and are unacceptable.

The above listed maps support socio-economic diversity by keeping working class communities together. They also provide boundaries that are in line with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act by keeping ethnic communities from being fragmented and they do not displace any supervisor from his/her district and the newer districts remain compact.

Please consider keeping neighborhoods, communities and cities of common interest together. Please use the boundaries as currently drawn in the S1 proposal. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Wada