

**From:** AV Greenberg [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 07, 2011 5:21 PM  
**To:** CommServ  
**Subject:** FW: Supervisors Wrestle Over Redistricting Maps

TO ALL SUPPERVAISORS.

please support the A3 amended plan .i support zev yarovslavski position.iam againts any new maps .

---

From: [REDACTED]  
Date: Fri, 2 Sep 2011 14:37:31 -0400  
Subject: Fwd: Supervisors Wrestle Over Redistricting Maps  
To: [REDACTED]

Getting lots of requests to have people write to support Zev's position to save our district. Please send your comments to [commserv@bos.lacounty.gov](mailto:commserv@bos.lacounty.gov). Ask the Board of Supervisors to work off of the A3 Amended plan, which looks similar to the existing district map.

Thanks!  
(This will be your last reminder!)  
Marylin

---

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Sent: 9/2/2011 12:08:27 A.M. Pacific Daylight Time  
Subj: Fyi - Supervisors Wrestle Over Redistricting Maps - Park La Brea News, Beverly Press article

<http://parklabreanewsbeverlypress.com/news/2011/09/supervisors-wrestle-over-redistricting-maps/>

## PARK LA BREA NEWS, BEVERLY PRESS

---

### **Supervisors Wrestle Over Redistricting Maps**

*By Aaron Blevins, 9/01/2011*

#### **Yarovslavsky Opposes Proposals That Would Dramatically Alter 3rd District**

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors is working to redraw district lines that have the potential to affect millions of residents and the county services that they rely on.

A final vote on the matter is expected by the end of September, but the supervisors are holding a public hearing next week to further analyze redistricting proposals. If the supervisors cannot reach an agreement, the district lines will be drawn by a commission of Sheriff Lee Baca, District Attorney Steve Cooley and County Assessor John Noguez, per state law.

Thus far, three new maps have been proposed: S2, from Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, 2nd District; A3 Amended, from Supervisor Don Knabe, 4th District; and T1, from Supervisor Gloria Molina, 1st District.

Supervisor Zev Yarovslavsky, 3rd District, who is in his final term, said he is concerned about two of the proposals, S2 and T1, and how they will impact his district and the county at large. He said those maps could shift 3.5 million residents into

new districts while splitting communities.

“That’s a huge shift of political influence and political attention,” Yaroslavsky said. “There’s a lot riding on this. This isn’t just a political decision.”

He fears that S2 and T1 could seriously harm his district, which has worked for years to become more cohesive. Approximately one million residents in the 3rd District would be shifted to another district under those plans, and transportation and healthcare services could suffer as a result, Yaroslavsky said.

He said officials had finally gained some momentum in addressing the transportation needs in the 3rd District, which includes Mid-City, Miracle Mile, the Westside and the West San Fernando Valley. Plans to add a light rail system to Santa Monica and extend the subway down Wilshire Boulevard could be compromised by the two proposed maps, Yaroslavsky said.

“That could all be put in jeopardy. ...The same goes for healthcare,” he said.

Yaroslavsky also said the extensive, integrated network of healthcare providers and clinics that serves the area’s uninsured and under-insured could possibly be harmed. The proposals could have a “profound effect” on the delivery of such services and the availability of funding to support them, he said.

Factored into the redistricting discussion is the proper representation of Latinos, which would have two district majorities of Latino voting-age citizens instead of one, if either S2 or T1 are approved. Yaroslavsky said that while proper representation of minorities is important, other redistricting byproducts, such as services and funding, should be considered as well.

He said the supervisors will have opportunities to make modest adjustments, and he hopes the board would work off of the A3 Amended plan, which looks similar to the existing district map. If the supervisors are seriously considering T1 or S2, Yaroslavsky would rather the county sheriff, assessor and district attorney tackle the issue.

“I’m not going to go down without a fight,” he said. “I’m not going to let my district go down without a fight.”

When the county selects the new district maps, they will stay in effect for the next decade. To approve a new redistricting map, four of the five supervisors must vote in favor of the motion. They have until Sept. 27 to make a decision. Next week’s public hearing will be held at 1 p.m. on Sept. 6 in Room 383 of the Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, 500 W. Temple St. Visit [redistricting.lacounty.gov](http://redistricting.lacounty.gov).

**From:** Matt Jones [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 08, 2011 4:01 PM  
**To:** CommServ  
**Subject:** citizen against redistricting T1 and S2

Hello,

I am against redistricting. As a citizen concerned both for civil rights and for environmental issues, I believe these proposed plans are bad on both counts. They both seek to create districts that are gerrymandered to the detriment of citizens living in all areas.

I do not pretend to be fully educated on the challenges facing people in Long Beach, nor do I suspect that many people there are up to speed on issues facing my community in Topanga. But the people in my community are well-informed about our area, and we take action in many ways including voting. We help protect the environment in our area, so that people in all districts can come and enjoy the beauty our area has to offer.

Matt Jones  
Topanga resident

**From:** Chiarodit, Mike [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 08, 2011 3:02 PM  
**To:** CommServ  
**Subject:** FW: OPPOSITION TO REDISTRICTING PLANS "S2" & "T1"

To: Board of Supervisors:

I am a [long time resident](#) in Toluca Lake & a member of the Board of Directors of the Toluca Lake Home Owners Association. I would like to place on record my strong opposition to the proposed redistricting plans S2 & T1 as inappropriate & ill conceived. The dividing of long established communities of interest is a violation of one of the key principals of redistricting. In the area of Toluca Lake, these maps would separate Toluca Lake from its neighbors, thereby harming the ability of this area to speak with one voice on critical issues such as development and transportation improvements along the 101 freeway corridor and Barham Blvd. These maps would also divide the voice of the community by splitting-up neighborhoods that are protected by the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan Area, that are active in the Federation of Hillside and Canyon Homeowners, and that are active in Communities United for Smart Growth.

These plans would further add to voter confusion by moving roughly 3.5 million people into a new district--giving them a new political representative for whom they never had a chance cast a ballot.

I thereby respectfully urge the board to reject the redistricting proposed by these plans, [and greatly appreciate your support.](#)

Sincerely,

Michael Chiarodit

[REDACTED]  
Toluca Lake, CA 91602

**From:** Peter Hartz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 08, 2011 10:49 AM  
**To:** CommServ  
**Cc:** Yaroslavsky, Zev; Saltsman, Ben; Daniel Savage; Tom LaBonge; [REDACTED] 'Andrew Feola'; Beverly Ventriss; Brian Folb; 'Claire Bartels'; 'Frank Banyai'; 'Hope Schenk-de Michelle'; 'Janice Eaton'; Julie B. Yanow Esq.; 'Kari Negri Donatelli'; 'Mike Chiarodit'; Peter Hartz; 'Scott Morris'; 'Steve Hampar'; 'Suzy Hillshafer'; Terry Davis  
**Subject:** Redistricting Plans Impact on Toluca Lake

Honorable Supervisors – I attended the public meeting on redistricting on September 6 at the Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration and found the testimony for and against to be worthy expressions of democracy at work. While I am in support of A3 as the least disruptive option that would not dissect the existing 3<sup>rd</sup> District and the San Fernando Valley, I want to bring to your attention an unseen consequence of both S2 and T1 that affects Toluca Lake, a small community in the shadow of Universal Studios. As you may know, NBCUniversal has proposed a vast development project called the Evolution Plan, which calls for office high-rise construction on MTA property and the building of thousands of housing units on an undeveloped hill that is bounded by Barham Blvd and Lakeside (Forest Lawn). The S2 and T1 Plans (by my review of the boundary maps) would separate the majority of Universal Studios from this undeveloped hill and its surrounding neighborhoods (Blair Drive, Hollywood Manor and Hollywood Knolls). Toluca Lake and these surrounding neighborhoods are also actively engaging NBCUniversal to seek mitigation for excessive and impulsive sounds from its popular attractions. Redistricting a significant portion of NBCUniversal property would create an unnecessary “political problem” in bringing supervisorial influence to encourage NBCUniversal to be a “good neighbor.”

Please advise if there is a process to revise the proposed boundaries of Plan S2 and T1 to include the aforementioned area. The boundary should unify all NBCUniversal property into one district and maintain NBCUniversal within the same District as the surrounding communities. This is not an issue with the A3 Plan which has our support.

Regards,  
Peter Hartz  
President  
Toluca Lake Homeowners Association  
[REDACTED]

To the Board of Supervisors

"We urgently request that you keep the Third Supervisorial District intact, and maintain the current boundaries. We have worked together across varied ethnic and economic communities to address many critical issues, and present coherent responses to environmental, land use, transportation and many other problems. There are other, less radical means of addressing compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act"

Thank you,

David and Marjelyn Asher

To the Board of Supervisors

"We urgently request that you keep the Third Supervisorial District intact, and maintain the current boundaries. We have worked together across varied ethnic and economic communities to address many critical issues, and present coherent responses to environmental, land use, transportation and many other problems. There are other, less radical means of addressing compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act"

Thank you,

Isabel Janken

To the Board of Supervisors

"We urgently request that you keep the Third Supervisorial District intact, and maintain the current boundaries. We have worked together across varied ethnic and economic communities to address many critical issues, and present coherent responses to environmental, land use, transportation and many other problems. There are other, less radical means of addressing compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act"

Thank you,

Bennett and Marilyn Cohon

To the Board of Supervisors

"We urgently request that you keep the Third Supervisorial District intact, and maintain the current boundaries. We have worked together across varied ethnic and economic communities to address many critical issues, and present coherent responses to environmental, land use, transportation and many other problems. There are other, less radical means of addressing compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act"

Thank you,

Ron and Julie Pardo

To the Board of Supervisors

"We urgently request that you keep the Third Supervisorial District intact, and maintain the current boundaries. We have worked together across varied ethnic and economic communities to address many critical issues, and present coherent responses to environmental, land use, transportation and many other problems. There are other, less radical means of addressing compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act"

Thank you,

Jeffrey Cohon

To the Board of Supervisors

In the interest of time I am hoping this will be sufficient in my sincere request that our district lines remain as they are. We were not informed of this soon enough to be able to respond in a more formal manner. Thank you for your kind consideration.

Helena Stockwell,

[REDACTED]  
Los Angeles CA 90064

To the Board of Supervisors:

We urgently request that you keep the Third Supervisorial District intact, and maintain the current boundaries. We have worked together across varied ethnic and economic communities to address many critical issues, and present coherent responses to environmental, land use, transportation and many other problems. If either one of the proposed plans are chosen half of the population would shift. These ill-conceived plans will radically alter our ability to present a strong, cohesive voice on behalf of our communities.

There are other, less radical means of addressing compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act.

Thank you,  
Deborah Wiss